

Contents

Preface ix

- 1 Majority Judgment 1**
 - 1.1 Inputs and Outputs 1
 - 1.2 Messages of a Common Language 2
 - 1.3 Majority-Grade 3
 - 1.4 Majority-Ranking 5
 - 1.5 Majority-Value 6
 - 1.6 Majority-Gauge 9
 - 1.7 Nomenclature 18
 - 1.8 The Thesis 19

- 2 Voting in Practice 21**
 - 2.1 United States of America 23
 - 2.2 Zürich, Switzerland 27
 - 2.3 Mexico 29
 - 2.4 United Kingdom 32
 - 2.5 Australia 33
 - 2.6 France 36
 - 2.7 The Lessons 45

- 3 Traditional Social Choice 47**
 - 3.1 Traditional Methods and Concepts 47
 - 3.2 IIA and Arrow's Impossibility Theorem 56
 - 3.3 Restricting the Domain 62

- 4 Electing versus Ranking in the Traditional Model 67**
 - 4.1 Condorcet's Method of Ranking 68
 - 4.2 Borda's and Sum-Scoring Methods 71
 - 4.3 Objections to Condorcet-Consistency 74
 - 4.4 Borda-Winners and Condorcet-Rankings 79
 - 4.5 Incompatibility of Electing and Ranking 83
 - 4.6 Preferences over Rank-Orders 89

5	Strategy in the Traditional Model	93
5.1	Gibbard-Satterthwaite's Impossibility Theorem	96
5.2	Galton's Middlemost	100
5.3	Majority Judgment Methods	102
5.4	The Majority Judgment for the Traditional Model	107
6	Fallacies of the Traditional Model in Voting	111
6.1	Unrealistic Inputs	112
6.2	Statistical Left-Right Spectra	117
6.3	Borda's and Condorcet's Bias for the Center	121
6.4	Conclusion	127
7	Judging in Practice	129
7.1	Students	130
7.2	Employees	134
7.3	Musicians	136
7.4	Skaters and Gymnasts	139
7.5	Divers	147
7.6	Countries	148
7.7	Wines	149
7.8	The Paris Wine Tasting of 1976	156
7.9	Conclusion	158
8	Common Language	161
8.1	Examples of Common Languages	161
8.2	Measurement Theory	164
8.3	Common Languages of Grading	166
8.4	On the Optimal Number of Grades	169
8.5	Interval Measure Grades	171
8.6	The Lesson	174
9	New Model	175
9.1	Inputs	176
9.2	Social Grading Functions	176
9.3	Social Ranking Functions	181
9.4	The Role of Judges' Utilities	183
10	Strategy in Grading	187
10.1	Strategy-Proofness in Grading	189
10.2	Order Functions	190
10.3	Minimizing Manipulation	194
10.4	Implications	197
11	Meaningfulness	199
11.1	Reinforcement and Conformity	199
11.2	Language-Consistency	201

- 11.3 Order-Consistency 202
- 11.4 The Meaning of Arrow's Theorem 204
- 12 Majority-Grade 209**
 - 12.1 Middlemost Aggregation Functions 209
 - 12.2 Majority Decision 210
 - 12.3 Minimizing Cheating 211
 - 12.4 Maximizing Social Welfare 213
 - 12.5 Crankiness 215
 - 12.6 Majority-Grade 216
 - 12.7 Implications 217
- 13 Majority-Ranking 219**
 - 13.1 Strategy-Proofness in Ranking 220
 - 13.2 Majority-Value 223
 - 13.3 Characterization 226
 - 13.4 Juries of Different Sizes 230
- 14 Large Electorates 235**
 - 14.1 Majority-Gauge 236
 - 14.2 Abbreviated Majority-Value 239
 - 14.3 Other Rules 244
- 15 Common Language: Voting 251**
 - 15.1 The 2007 Orsay Experiment: Validation 254
 - 15.2 Common Use of Grades: Raw Data 265
 - 15.3 Measuring Homogeneity of Voters' Grades 268
 - 15.4 Conclusion 277
- 16 Objections to Majority Judgment 279**
 - 16.1 "Majority" and "Average" Objections 280
 - 16.2 No-Show Objections 285
 - 16.3 Conclusion 291
- 17 Point-Summing Methods 293**
 - 17.1 Point-Summing Methods: Theory 294
 - 17.2 Point-Summing Methods: Practice 306
 - 17.3 Conclusion 313
- 18 Approval Voting 315**
 - 18.1 Traditional Arguments 315
 - 18.2 The Game of Approval Voting 318
 - 18.3 Approval Judgment 325
 - 18.4 Practice 329

19	Comparisons of Voting Methods	339
19.1	Bias for the Center	340
19.2	Manipulability	343
19.3	Conclusion	349
20	The Game of Voting	351
20.1	Equilibria	352
20.2	Honest Equilibria	355
20.3	Best Response Equilibria	360
20.4	Best Response Dynamics	366
20.5	Strategic Majority Judgment Winner	370
20.6	Condorcet-Judgment-Winner	373
20.7	Conclusion	374
21	Multicriteria Ranking	375
21.1	Aggregating Criteria	376
21.2	Common Language: Wine Competitions	378
21.3	Multicriteria Majority Judgment	382
22	A Summing Up	387
	References	395
	Name Index	405
	Subject Index	409