Boosting: Foundations and Algorithms
by R. E. Schapire & Y. Freund
— *errata* —

Last update: June 7, 2012

page	*correction*
82	**theorem 4.6**: $|\mathcal{H}|$ should be omitted from the second bound, which should instead read: $$\mathrm{err}(H) \le \frac{2T(\lg(2em/T) + d\lg(2em/d)) + 2\lg(2/\delta)}{m}.$$
265	**exercise 8.5(d)**: $\mathrm{RE}\left(\mathbf{p}_0 \parallel \mathbf{q}\right)$ should instead be $\mathrm{B}_G\left(\mathbf{p}_0 \parallel \mathbf{q}\right)$.
266	**exercise 8.7(a)**: The first part of the question should instead read, "Use exercise 8.5(d) to prove that..."
407	**exercise 12.2**: $\rho(x)$ should instead be redefined to be: $$\rho(x) \doteq C(\pi(x), F(x)) - C_{\min}(\pi(x)).$$
410	**exercise 12.5**: In parts (a) and (b), all occurrences of $\widehat{\mathrm{risk}}(\cdot)$ should be replaced by $\ln\left(\widehat{\mathrm{risk}}(\cdot)\right)$. In particular, the displayed equation in part (a) should read: $$\ln\left(\widehat{\mathrm{risk}}(F_{t-1} + \alpha h)\right) \le \ln\left(\widehat{\mathrm{risk}}(F_{t-1})\right) - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{m} D_t(i) y_i h(x_i) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}.$$ And the displayed equation in part (b) should read: $$\ln\left(\widehat{\mathrm{risk}}(F_t)\right) \le \ln\left(\widehat{\mathrm{risk}}(F_{t-1})\right) - c_t \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j D_t(i) y_i \hat{h}_j(x_i) + \frac{c_t^2}{2}.$$ Finally, the expression appearing in the hint for part (b) should instead read: $$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |w_j| \ln\left(\widehat{\mathrm{risk}}(F_{t-1} + c_t \mathrm{sign}(w_j)\hat{h}_j)\right).$$
426	**equation (13.27)** should instead read: $G(\mathbf{z}) = m\Lambda_t(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{z})$.
427	**equation (13.34)** should include an additional factor of $1/2$.
428	**algorithm 13.1**: the equation for $w_t(s)$ should include an additional factor of $1/2$.
450	**equation (13.66)**, and also the definition of $C_{\overline{T}}$ at the very bottom of the page should both include additional factors of $1/2$.
487	**exercise 14.3(c)**: The very last sentence should read, "Also show that this solution is unique, except possibly when $\tau' = 1$."