Conflicts of Conscience in Health Care

Basic Bioethics

Glenn McGee and Arthur Caplan, editors

Peter A. Ubel, *Pricing Life: Why It's Time for Health Care Rationing* Mark G. Kuczewski and Ronald Polansky, eds., *Bioethics: Ancient Themes in Contemporary Issues*

Suzanne Holland, Karen Lebacqz, and Laurie Zoloth, eds., The Human Embryonic Stem Cell Debate: Science, Ethics, and Public Policy

Gita Sen, Asha George, and Piroska Östlin, eds., Engendering International Health: The Challenge of Equity

Carolyn McLeod, Self-Trust and Reproductive Autonomy

Lenny Moss, What Genes Can't Do

Jonathan D. Moreno, ed., In the Wake of Terror: Medicine and Morality in a Time of Crisis

Glenn McGee, ed., Pragmatic Bioethics, 2d edition

Timothy F. Murphy, Case Studies in Biomedical Research Ethics

Mark A. Rothstein, ed., Genetics and Life Insurance: Medical Underwriting and Social Policy

Kenneth A. Richman, Ethics and the Metaphysics of Medicine: Reflections on Health and Beneficence

David Lazer, ed., DNA and the Criminal Justice System: The Technology of Justice

Harold W. Baillie and Timothy K. Casey, eds., Is Human Nature Obsolete? Genetics, Bioengineering, and the Future of the Human Condition

Robert H. Blank and Janna C. Merrick, eds., End-of-Life Decision Making: A Cross-National Study

Norman L. Cantor, Making Medical Decisions for the Profoundly Mentally Disabled

Margrit Shildrick and Roxanne Mykitiuk, eds., Ethics of the Body: Post-Conventional Challenges

Alfred I. Tauber, Patient Autonomy and the Ethics of Responsibility

David H. Brendel, *Healing Psychiatry: Bridging the Science/Humanism Divide* Jonathan Baron, *Against Bioethics*

Michael L. Gross, Bioethics and Armed Conflict: Moral Dilemmas of Medicine and War

Karen F. Greif and Jon F. Merz, Current Controversies in the Biological

Sciences: Case Studies of Policy Challenges from New Technologies

Deborah Blizzard, Looking Within: A Sociocultural Examination of Fetoscopy Ronald Cole-Turner, ed., Design and Destiny: Jewish and Christian Perspectives on Human Germline Modification

Holly Fernandez Lynch, Conflicts of Conscience in Health Care: An Institutional Compromise

Conflicts of Conscience in Health Care

An Institutional Compromise

Holly Fernandez Lynch

The MIT Press Cambridge, Massachusetts London, England

© 2008 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

For information about special quantity discounts, please e-mail special_sales@ mitpress.mit.edu

This book was set in Sabon on 3B2 by Asco Typesetters, Hong Kong. Printed on recycled paper and bound in the United States of America.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Lynch, Holly Fernandez.

Conflicts of conscience in health care : an institutional compromise / by Holly Fernandez Lynch.

p.; cm. — (Basic bioethics)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-262-12305-1 (hardcover : alk. paper)

- 1. Refusal to treat—Moral and ethical aspects—United States. 2. Physician and patient—Moral and ethical aspects—United States. 3. Physicians—Professional ethics—United States. 4. Medical laws and legislation—United States.
- 5. Conscience—United States. 6. Medical ethics—United States. I. Title.

II. Series.

[DNLM: 1. Ethics, Medical—United States. 2. Refusal to Treat—ethics—United States. 3. Conscience—United States. 4. Health Facilities—United States.

5. Health Services Accessibility—United States. 6. Refusal to Treat—legislation & jurisprudence—United States. W 50 L987c 2008]

R727.36.L96 2008

174.2—dc22 2008005529

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Index

Abandonment (by physician). See	Certificate of need, Health
Doctor-patient relationship	professional shortage areas)
Abortion, 2, 7, 19–20, 23, 25, 29, 30,	market failures (see Calibration of
36–38, 39, 40, 53–57, 59, 64, 66,	supply and demand; Last doctor in
69, 79, 83–85, 91–92, 94, 96,	town; Supply)
106, 107, 110, 119–120, 122,	reasonable access, 14, 99-100, 117,
123, 127–130, 132, 137–138,	165, 166–168, 172–174, 177,
142, 148–149, 151–153, 158,	191–192, 195, 200, 213, 217,
165, 167, 169, 172–173, 179,	224, 233, 251–252, 300n46
180, 187, 190, 198, 201, 204–	role in conscience clause debate, xiii-
206, 220, 226–227, 234, 244,	xiv, 2, 8, 10, 12, 24, 33, 62, 68-
247–248, 270n66, 271n80,	69, 71–72, 75, 79–80, 87, 89, 97,
289n29, 298n23, 300n50. See also	99–101, 104, 119, 141, 155, 162,
Access to care	165–166, 172, 193, 207, 213–
Harris v. McRae, 187, 287n5	214, 245, 247, 250
Roe v. Wade, xi, 19-20, 22, 37	rural areas, 8, 72, 74, 181, 189–190,
role in conscience clause debate, 19-	191, 300n52-53
20, 37–38, 83, 128–130, 131–	travel concerns, 117, 168, 173, 176-
132, 172–173	177, 186, 190, 199, 208, 224,
selective reduction, 37, 122	243, 299n42, 200n46, 306n37
sex selection, 119-120, 127-128	(see also Emergency care,
Access to care (geographic). See also	emotional factors)
Affordability of care; Calibration	travel patterns, 166–168, 170–172,
of supply and demand; Last doctor	175, 229n27, 299n27
in town; Referral	Access to Legal Pharmaceuticals Act,
access problems not associated with	103, 306n35. See also Pharmacists
conscientious refusal, 70–72, 169,	Adams, Karen E., 197–198
174, 189–191, 243, 247	Administrative law. See also Counter-
difference from inconvenience, 99–	majoritarian protection; Fair
100, 104, 201, 213, 242–243	process
geographic boundaries, 141, 165-	arbitrary and capricious standard,
178, 191–192, 208–212, 226,	139, 212–213 (see also Licensing
251–252 (see also Antitrust law,	boards, judicial review)

Administrative law (cont.) delegation of authority, 134, 136, 177-178, 212, 290n43-44 involvement of stakeholders, 136-139, 168, 178, 184, 202, 228, 235 notice-and-comment rulemaking, 138–141, 168, 178, 211–212, 228, 235, 250, 253, 254 Affordability of care, 71–72, 133, 177, 243, 278n88. See also Access to care; Insurance Allied health professionals. See Pharmacists American Bar Association (ABA), 232 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), 55, 64, 96, 137, 232, 274n35, 280n21, 313n82 American College of Surgeons, 186 American Medical Association (AMA), 4, 26, 44, 50, 55, 108, 137, 154, 205, 216, 219, 223, 232, 262n8, 266-267n33, 294n25, 295n34, 311n56. See also Professional ethics American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), 157, 288n15 Antitrust law, 169–175. See also Access to care, geographic boundaries; Access to care, travel patterns cellophane fallacy, 172–174 critical loss test, 171 direct competitor test, 170–171 elasticity of demand, 169, 173 Elzinga-Hogarty test, 171, 298n18 geographic market, 169–174 migration due to price, 171, 174

patient origin data, 170

power, abuse of

Apotemnophilia, 131, 133

173

product/service market, 169

Apolitical action. See Monopoly

U.S. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours,

Aguinas, Thomas, 225. See also Double effect "Ashley" treatment (pubertal suppression), 120 Assisted reproduction, 5–6, 37, 120-123, 156-157, 264n12, 287n7, 288n12, 288n15. See also Benitez, Lupita; Homosexual parents Autonomy. See also Doctor-patient relationship; Informed consent; Medical professionalism; Paternalism; Professionalism, generally; Vulnerability movement, 21-22, 45 of patient, 5, 7, 8, 21–22, 45, 62, 67-68, 83, 87, 125-126, 127, 142, 196–198, 203, 219, 222, 223, 238–239 of physician, xiv, 5, 7, 21-23, 44, 47-48, 50, 61, 62, 63, 67-68, 72, 101, 196–199, 216 Avner, Dennis ("Catman"), 120-121. See also Cosmetic surgery Beauchamp, Thomas, 9

Benitez, Lupita, 25, 157–159. See also Discrimination; Homosexual parents
Bloodless surgery. See Jehovah's Witnesses
Blustein, Jeffrey, 63–64, 66
"Brain drain," 184–185, 189. See also Calibration of supply and demand; Supply
Brophy v. New England Sinai

Brophy v. New England Sinai Hospital, Inc. See Conscientious refusal; End-of-life issues

Calibration of supply and demand, 14, 89, 117, 141, 165, 178–181, 189–192, 195–196, 252. See also Access to care; Demand; Last doctor in town; Licensing boards, new responsibilities; Medical schools; Supply benchmarking, 191–192 failure (*see* Last doctor in town; Licensing boards, accountability to patients)

foreign medical graduates, 184–185 (see also "Brain drain")

funding, 246–247, 251 (see also Conscientious refusal, penalization of)

incentives, 179, 181–184, 186, 187–188, 302n77–79 (*see also* Free exercise clause)

telemedicine, 185–186

traveling physicians, 186

unacceptable methods, 182–184 (see also Conscientious refusal, exclusion of conscientious refusers from profession)

California, 25, 36, 103, 146, 156, 157–159

Canada, 127-128

Canterbury v. Spence. See Informed

Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Albany v. Serio. See Free exercise clause

Certificate of need, 168, 174–176. See also Access to care, geographic boundaries

Charo, R. Alta, 73, 108, 152–153 Childress, James, 9, 200

Church Amendment. See Conscience clauses

Code of Medical Ethics. See American Medical Association; Professional ethics

Complicity (moral), 66, 91–92, 107, 111, 222–223, 229, 231, 232–235, 312n64. *See also* Conscience

Conflicts of conscience. See also
Autonomy; Conscience;
Conscience clauses; Conscientious
refusal; Last doctor in town;
Medical professionalism, primacy
principle; Secularization

compromise, importance of, xi, 7, 9–14, 24, 38, 42, 63, 75, 80, 100–

101, 104, 107–108, 111–113, 119, 129, 152, 193, 205, 213–214, 219, 228, 240–241, 245, 247, 255 (see also Licensing boards) institutional solutions to, 10–12, 73–75, 79–80, 100–113, 208, 286n38, 286n40 (see also

286n38, 286n40 (see also Licensing boards; Medical professionalism, group obligations versus individual obligations)

relationship to medical technology, 22, 25–26, 28, 38, 46, 53

Congress, 20, 134, 139, 187, 230, 268n45

Conscience. See also Autonomy; Conflicts of conscience; Conscience clauses; Conscientious refusal

definition of, xii, 34–37, 160, 270n64, 293n13, 297n57

institutional conscience, xiii, 20, 31, 105, 106–107, 111, 175, 285n27–29

professional conscience (see Professional ethics) protection of (see Conscience

clauses)

relation to personal integrity, xiv, 6, 9, 10, 13, 23, 35–36, 48, 51, 57, 61, 63, 65–67, 75, 80, 92, 147, 149, 161, 180, 196–198, 217, 224, 236–237, 239, 250, 266n22, 297n57

religious versus secular, 33–34, 66–67, 148–149, 265n16, 266n24, 270n63 (*see also* Draft; Free exercise clause)

right of (*see* Free exercise clause; Rights, of physicians)

value in medicine (*see* Conscience clauses, value to society; Counsel of restraint; Moral diversity; Moral uncertainty)

Conscience clauses. *See also* Autonomy; Conflicts of conscience; Conscience; Conscience clauses (cont.) 63-64, 66, 75, 80, 87, 91, 95, 99, Conscientious refusal; Medical professionalism Church Amendment, 20, 27, 106, 265n5 constitutional permissibility, 27, 32-33, 271n80, 287n5 (see also Free exercise clause) definition of, 1, 7 historical background, 13, 19-23, 65 not constitutionally required, 32-33, 149, 269n57 (see also Free exercise clause; Licensing boards, power to require services as condition of licensure) opposition to, 7–8, 23, 57–65, 80, 241 - 245pharmacists, application to (see Pharmacists) scope of, 1-2, 7, 20, 27, 33-34, 94, 106–107, 162, 220, 247–249, 285n27-29 state laws, 3, 20, 27, 42, 54, 69, 94, 101–103, 106–107, 146, 154, 158, 220, 223–224, 247–249 support for, 7–8, 65–70, 80–87 value to physicians, 10, 13, 27–33, 63, 66, 74, 80–81, 86–87, 250, 255–256 (see also Conscience, relation to personal integrity) value to society, xi, 10, 13, 57, 65, 80-82, 85-86, 180, 207, 250, 255–256 (see also Counsel of restraint; Moral diversity; Moral uncertainty) Conscientious refusal. See also Autonomy; Conflict of Conscience; Conscience; Conscience clauses;

Medical professionalism, baseline

empirical data, 2, 26, 104, 156–157,

from profession, 11, 52, 57, 58,

exclusion of conscientious refusers

abuse of (see Monopoly power,

duties

abuse of)

172 - 173

101, 108, 110-111, 183, 216, 256 (see also Conscience clauses, value to society; Counsel of restraint; Moral diversity; Moral uncertainty; Supply) litigation, 25, 27–28, 267n38, 268n39, 282n48 Brophy v. New England Sinai Hospital, Inc., 135-136, 267n38 Davis v. U.S., 25 (see also Jehovah's Witnesses) Grace Plaza of Great Neck, Inc. v. Elbaum, 40, 106 Gray v. Romeo, 105-106 Harbeson v. Parke–Davis, Inc., 25 Jobes, In re, 105, 219 North Coast Women's Care Medical Group (see Benitez, Lupita) Reguena, In re, 104–105, 167–168, 186, 242 Shelton v. University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, 59 Stormans, Inc. v. Selecky, 30, 102-103, 269n49 Thomas v. Abdul-Malek, 25, 220 Vandersand v. Wal-Mart Stores, *Inc.*, 102 Walker v. Pierce, 25, 147 penalization of, 2, 14, 57, 58, 60, 63, 95, 101, 108, 145, 159, 179–180, 195, 203-204, 206-207, 214, 215-216, 246, 253, 306n30 (see also Last doctor in town; Medical professionalism, baseline duties; Supply) permissible circumstances (limitations on), 6-7, 14, 202-203 (see also Last doctor in town; Medical professionalism, baseline duties) permissible and impermissible reasons judgment, 23–24, 151 objection to patient, 149–151, 152, 153–159 (see also Discrimination)

objection to service, 149-153 sincerity, 159-162, 251, 296n51 (see also Draft) validity, 147-159, 161, 163, 251 by public servants, 59-60 (see also State action) Endres v. Indiana State Police, 59– Rodriguez v. City of Chicago, 59 Shelton v. University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey, 59 reason-giving (explanation), 222, 236-239, 296n51 accuracy of reasons, 5, 237 consistency, 6, 154, 156, 161, 237 dialogue, 237–239 proselytizing, 238 self-evaluation, 236–237 Conservatorship of Morrison v. Abramovice. See Doctor-patient relationship Contraceptives, 2, 20, 23, 31, 40, 53, 65, 96, 101–104, 107, 110, 125, 132, 148, 152, 198, 243–244, 248, 284n10. See also Morningafter pill; Natural family planning; Pharmacists

Contract. See Doctor-patient relationship

Cosmetic surgery, 35, 120–121, 131, 132, 226. See also Avner, Dennis

Council on Graduate Medical Education, 182–183, 185

Counsel of restraint, xiv, 6, 8, 10, 80-87, 127, 134, 206, 255–256. See also Conscience clauses, value to society; Moral expertise; Moral uncertainty

Counter-majoritarian protection, 66, 133, 137, 139–140, 208. See also Administrative law, notice-andcomment rulemaking; Fair process

Daar, Judith, 146 Daniels, Norman, 48–51, 54, 72, 73, 130-131, 137, 140

Davis, John K., 8, 135 Davis v. U.S. See Conscientious Death with Dignity Act, 3, 263n9. See

also End-of-life issues; Oregon

Delaware, 103

Demand (for medical services), 110, 141, 190, 250–251. See also Access to care; Calibration of supply and demand; Supply measurement of, 109, 118–119, 141

medical needs (versus preferences), 130–133, 167–168, 290n37

setting limits on satisfaction of demand, 119-134, 137-143, 250-251 (see also Administrative law; Fair process; Licensing boards; Mill, John Stuart; Monopoly power; Moral expertise; Moral uncertainty; Professional ethics; Standard of care)

legal services, 123-124, 134, 137 medical expertise, 5, 124–126, 137, 142, 202–203, 288n15, 293n14, 295n39

moral limits, 120–123, 127–140,

Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), 176, 232. See also Health professional shortage area

Dickens, Bernard M., 113, 123 Dignitary harm (to patient), 148, 151–152, 154, 167. See also Conscientious refusal; Discrimination

Discrimination. See also Conscientious refusal, permissible and impermissible reasons; Doctorpatient relationship, matching; Medical professionalism, baseline duties

discriminatory effect (incidental), 129, 152–153 disincentives, 159

Discrimination (cont.) by doctors, 8, 14, 32, 50, 149–159, 163, 253, 254, 266–267n33, 294n23, 294n25, 295n34-35 by employers (see Employment) invidious discrimination, 149-150, 153–159, 163, 215, 247, 275n49 by patients, 92–93, 282n45, 294n22 Doctor-patient relationship. See also Autonomy; Medical professionalism generally, 45–46, 71, 90, 155, 242– legal issues (e.g., abandonment, creation and termination of relationship), 25-28, 32, 41, 230-231, 235, 271n87, 310n39 Conservatorship of Morrison v. Abramovice, 232 Leach v. Drummond Medical Group, 200 Matthies v. Mastromonaco, 25 Payton v. Weaver, 36–37 Walker v. Pierce, 25, 147 matching (of doctor and patient, based on moral values), 13, 63, 87–93, 95–97, 151–152, 175, 217-218, 256, 281n30 (see also Discrimination) accommodation of physicians, 93-95 (see also Employment) contracting, negotiating, and promise-keeping, 10, 13, 46, 65, 88-90, 97, 241-242, 281n34 ensuring matches (see Calibration of supply and demand; Licensing boards) examples of, 95–97 gaps (matching not feasible), 97, patient preferences, 91-93, 168 trust, 63, 90-92, 155 vulnerable patient (see Vulnerability) Double effect (doctrine of), 224–226, 309n38. See also Emergency care

Draft (military), 149, 154–155, 160–161, 197, 200. See also Conscientious refusal U.S. v. Seeger, 160, 293n13 Welsh v. U.S., 160 Dresser, Rebecca, 73 Dudzinski, Denise, 47 Duties, 42. See also Rights of patients, 216–217, 218, 229, 230 of physicians (see Medical professionalism) of profession (see Medical professionalism)

Emergency care, 7, 44, 59, 133, 167, 198, 217, 223–228. See also Access to care; Double effect; Last doctor in town; Medical professionalism, baseline duties; Vulnerability definition of emergency, 133, 224, 226–228 (see also Morning-after pill) duty to rescue, 41, 70-71, 226 ethical obligations, 61, 69, 207, 215, 223-224, 226, 228, 235, 239, 254 emotional factors, 167, 173, 294n17 EMTALA, 40–41, 223, 226 legal obligations, 40-41, 223-224, 226, 248, 271–272n87 transfer, 224 (see also Referral) Employment. See also Conscientious refusal; Licensing boards; Medical schools; Pharmacists; Rights accommodation of employee conscience, 27, 93–95, 101–104, 107-108, 248, 253, 286n37 case law, 27, 268n39, 282n48 Shelton v. University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, 59 Stormans, Inc. v. Selecky, 30, 102-103, 269n49 Title VII, 27, 28, 42, 94, 103, 268n39, 283n49 unique position of physicians, xiixiii, 27-28, 95, 107-108, 283n52

Employment Division v. Smith. See Employment Division v. Smith, 30, Free exercise clause 302n77 End-of-life issues, 3–4, 27, 37–38, 40, Johnson v. Robison, 187–188 (see 46, 83, 85, 88, 95, 104–106, 107, also Calibration of supply and 124, 131, 146, 167, 219, 225, demand, incentives) 263n8, 263n9, 267n38, 280n22. St. Agnes Hospital v. Riddick, 32, See also Futility 269n52 Brophy v. New England Sinai Stormans, Inc. v. Selecky, 30–31, Hospital, Inc., 135-136, 267n38 102–103, 269n49 Grace Plaza of Great Neck, Inc. v. Summers, In re, 32 Elbaum, 40, 106 government interest, 30 Gray v. Romeo, 105–106 neutral law of general applicability, *Jobes, In re*, 105, 219 30 - 32Requena, In re, 104-105, 167-168, O'Callaghan, Nora, 29-32, 269n46 186, 242 voluntary choice, 31-32, 269n52 Endres v. Indiana State Police. See (see also Medical professionalism, Conscientious refusal, by public consent model) servants Free-riding, 109–111, 179–180, 300n50 Establishment clause (of First Fried, Charles, 67 Amendment), 32–33 Futility (medical), 38, 119, 126, 138, Euthanasia. See End-of-life issues 146. See also End-of-life issues Execution, physician participation in, 38, 82 "Gag" clauses, 223. See also Informed consent; Insurers Fair process, 137–140, 142–143, 212– Germany, 152 213. See also Administrative law Goodin, Robert, 70–73, 112, 199, 278n89 Female circumcision (genital mutilation), 83, 85, 124, 280n21. Grace Plaza of Great Neck, Inc. v. See also Mill, John Stuart, harm Elbaum. See Conscientious refusal; principle; Moral uncertainty End-of-life issues Fenton, Elizabeth, 166, 198 Gray v. Romeo. See Conscientious First Amendment, See Establishment refusal; End-of-life issues clause; Free exercise clause Greenawalt, Kent, 35, 148 Fleischman, Alan R., 63–64, 66 Griswold v. Connecticut, 40. See also Free exercise clause (of First Amend-Contraceptives ment), 29-32, 158-159, 187-188, 268-269n45, 269n57, 302n77. Harbeson v. Parke-Davis, Inc. See See also Benitez, Lupita; Con-Conscientious refusal; Informed science; Conscientious refusal; Employment; Licensing boards, Harm, to self and others. See Mill, **John Stuart** power to require services as condition of licensure; Pharmacists; Harris v. McRae. See Abortion Rights Health Professional Shortage Area case law (HPSA), 176–177, 182. See also Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Access to care, geographic Albany v. Serio, 31 boundaries

HIV/AIDS, 29, 50. See also Medical professionalism, epidemics Homosexual parents, 156–159, 295n38. See also Benitez, Lupita; Discrimination HPV vaccine, 4-5 Hutchins, Kathleen, 227 Hyde Amendment, 187. See also Abortion

Illinois, 20, 27, 101–102, 249, 284n10 Informed Consent, 26, 41, 82, 219– 223, 239, 254, 308n25. See also Autonomy; Medical professionalism, baseline duties; Vulnerability Canterbury v. Spence, 219 Harbeson v. Parke-Davis, Inc., 25 Thomas v. Abdul-Malek, 25, 220 Insurance, 31, 45, 72, 90, 110, 154, 158, 223, 243, 286n34. See also Affordability of care; Insurers Insurers, 21, 24–25, 109–111, 118,

Jackson v. Metro. Edison Co. See State action Japan, 185-186 Jehovah's Witnesses, 25, 38, 125, 142, 151. See also Autonomy; Moral expertise; Professional ethics

133, 138, 153, 243, 286n34

Jobes, In re. See Conscientious refusal; End-of-life care Johnson v. Robison. See Free exercise

clause

Last Doctor in Town. See also Access to care; Calibration of supply and demand; Emergencies; Licensing boards; Medical professionalism, group obligations versus individual obligations; Supply; Vulnerability actual versus artificial, 179, 200

disincentives, 101, 204-206, 214, 228, 269n47 (see also Conscientious refusal, penalization of) duties, 68, 73-74, 79, 196-201, 203, 207, 214, 224–228, 254, 304n6 enforcement of duties, 14, 203–204, 207, 214, 228 grounds for refusal, 200–203, 304n19 lottery, 200 (see also Draft) market failure, 178-179, 181, 184, 193 patient compensation (see Licensing boards, accountability to patients) Leach v. Drummond Medical Group. See Doctor-patient relationship Legal professionalism, 61-62, 63, 67-69, 81–82, 197, 238–239, 275– 276n54, 276n55, 276n62 Lesnick, Howard, 81, 82, 238–239 Levinson, Sanford, 81 Licensing boards. See also Conflicts of conscience; Conscientious refusal; Medical professionalism, group obligations versus individual obligations; Pharmacists accountability to patients, 14, 140, 196, 207-214, 218, 252 (see also Last doctor in town) criticisms of (as institutional solution), 241–246 fines (monetary awards), 208, 210-212, 252, 306n37 flexibility of (as institutional solution), 245, 249 funding (see Calibration of supply and demand) gaps in accountability, 209-210, 214, 306n34 as institutional solution, 11, 108, 111-113, 191, 192, 230, 250, 256-57, 314n8 judicial review, 212–213 models, 11, 101–104, 104–106

new responsibilities, 11, 117–118, 141–143, 162–163, 165–166, 169, 178–179, 191–193, 196, 229, 246, 250–252 (see also Calibration of supply and demand) political feasibility, 11–13, 241, 247 power to require services as condition of licensure, 28-33, 112, 204 (see also Conscience clauses, not constitutionally required; Free exercise clause) Lomasky, Loren, 166, 198 Malpractice, 25, 27, 181–182, 204, 220, 309n28 Matthies v. Mastromonaco. See Doctor-patient relationship May, Thomas, 155 Medicaid/Medicare, 40-41, 118, 133, 147, 182, 187, 230 Medical professionalism baseline duties, 7, 9, 13–14, 29, 49, 51, 63–65, 163, 215, 217, 239–240, 246, 253–254 (see also Conscientious refusal, reason-giving; Discrimination; Emergencies; Informed consent; Last doctor in town; Notice; Referral) consent model (voluntary commitment), 8, 48-57, 197-198 contracting (see Doctor-patient relationship, matching) epidemics, 48, 50–51, 195–196, 216 - 217gatekeeping power (see Monopoly power; Vulnerability) group obligations versus individual obligations, 10, 13, 42, 59-60, 73–75, 79–80, 107, 111–112, 119-120, 199-200, 206, 224 (see also Last doctor in town; Licensing boards, as institutional solution) patient-centric model, 57-65, 275n41 (see also Autonomy, of

patient; Conscientious refusal, opposition to) physician-centric model, 65-70 (see also Autonomy, of physician; Conscience; Conscientious refusal, support of) primacy of patients (relationship to physician self-interest), 8, 43–48, 74–75, 236, 273n5 (see also Doctor-patient relationship) specialization, 25, 53, 56, 57, 64-65, 72, 80, 87–88, 95, 97, 180, 188, 253, 276n66 (*see also* Autonomy, of physician; Doctor-patient relationship, matching; Moral diversity; Professionalism, generally) Medical schools. See also Calibration of supply and demand acceptance of conscientious refusal by students, 183-184, 205 (see also Conscientious refusal, exclusion of conscientious refusers from profession; Moral diversity) admissions, 182-184, 301n61 (see also Doctor-patient relationship, matching; Moral diversity) clinical programs, 184 Meyers, Christopher, 179, 296n51 Mill, John Stuart harm principle, 82-84, 127-130, 289n24, 289n26 moral debate, 84-87, 93, 139-140, 237-239 (see also Moral diversity; Moral uncertainty) Mississippi, 20, 154, 220, 249 Monopoly power. See also Conscience clauses; Conscientious refusal; Duties; Last doctor in town; Medical professionalism; Pharmacists; Vulnerability abuse of, 12, 23–24, 68–70, 74, 86, 129, 132, 198–199, 201, 221, 224, 250, 266n22, 283-284n1 expanding to other professions, 79, 186–187, 190–191

Monopoly power (cont.) of physicians, 6, 10, 13, 70–75, 86, 111, 120, 132, 197, 199, 250

Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Irvis. See State action

Moral diversity, 22, 80–82, 129, 163, 182, 206, 246. See also Conscientious refusal, value to society; Counsel of restraint; Doctor-patient relationship, matching; Mill, John Stuart; Moral uncertainty

Moral expertise, 134–136, 202–203, 291n45, 291n50. *See also* Professional ethics

Moral risk, 51–55, 61, 197, 216, 238. *See also* Conscience; Conscientious refusal; Medical professionalism

Moral uncertainty, 84–86, 123, 129, 148, 151, 201–203, 256, 280n17, 280n21. See also Conscience clauses, value to society; Counsel of restraint; Mill, John Stuart; Moral diversity

Morning-after pill (Plan B), 2, 31, 101, 131–133, 152, 227, 237, 313n74. See also Abortion; Contraceptives; Emergency care; Pharmacists

Natural family planning, 96, 125. See also Contraceptives; Tepeyac Family Center; Pope Paul VI Institute

Nazi doctors, 82
Negligence, 29, 209. See also
Malpractice
New Jersey, 25, 29, 59, 104, 105
New York, 31, 103, 175
North Coast Women's Care Medical
Group, Inc. See Benitez, Lupita
Notice (of conscientious refusal), 4–5,
90, 217–219, 254. See also
Medical professionalism, baseline
duties

Notice-and-comment rulemaking. See Administrative law; Fair process

Objection. *See* Conscientious refusal Oregon, 3, 54, 103, 263n9. *See also* Death with Dignity Act Orentlicher, David, 88, 218, 281n30

Palliative care. See End-of-life issues Paternalism, 5, 19, 21, 61, 135, 219, 223. See also Autonomy; Doctorpatient relationship; Moral expertise

Payment (for medical services). See Affordability of care; Insurers Payton v. Weaver. See Doctor-patient relationship

Pellegrino, Edmund, 66–69, 91–92, 106, 196, 216, 231, 237

Pennsylvania, 25, 175, 220, 227
Pharmacists (and pharmacies), xiixiii, 2, 11, 20, 24–25, 28, 30–31,
219, 20, 100–104, 107–108, 146,
152–153, 166, 168, 176, 186–
187, 198–199, 210, 219, 249,
278n88, 284n9–10, 286n38,
289n8–10

Physician-assisted suicide. See End-oflife issues

Planned Parenthood of Minnesota v. Rounds, 84. See also Abortion; Mill, John Stuart, moral debate

Pluralism, 21, 22, 87, 135, 151. See also Conflicts of conscience; Moral diversity; Secularization

Pope John Paul II, 1, 14, 283n1 Pope Paul VI Institute for the Study of Human Reproduction, 96. See also Doctor-patient relationship, matching

President's Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral Research, 219, 231–232. *See also* Informed consent Professionalism, generally, 43, 81, 109-110, 133. See also Legal professionalism; Medical professionalism altruism, 47–48, 71 challenges to traditional models, 45-47 (see also Doctor-patient relationship) competence (as prerequisite to duty), 204–206, 228 contract with society, 43, 47, 49, 52, 54, 57, 58, 71, 75, 88–89, 123, 124, 136, 156, 207 (see also Monopoly power; Vulnerability) freedom of association, 26, 32, 35, 54, 67–68, 72, 153–154, 200, 271-272n87 (see also Discrimination; Doctor-patient relationship) Professional ethics, 4, 34, 53, 54–55, 134–137, 138, 149, 202–203, 221, 230, 288n15, 305n21. See also American Medical Association; Demand, setting limits; Moral expertise

Racism. See Discrimination Referral. See also Complicity; Medical professionalism, baseline duties ethical obligations, 229-236, 254 legal obligations, 41, 230-231, 233 professional standards, 232-233 role of licensing boards, 229–230, self-referral, 229-230 (see also Duties, of patients) Refusal. See Conscientious refusal Refusal clauses. See Conscience clauses Religion. See Conscience, religious versus secular; Discrimination; Free exercise clause; Secularization Requena, In re. See Conscientious refusal; End-of-life care Rhodes, Rosamond, 62-63, 68 Rights. See also Access to care; Conscience; Conscientious refusal;

Duties; Free exercise clause; Medical professionalism legal, of doctors, 42, 65, 269n57 legal, of patients, 19-20, 21, 39-41, 120, 155–156, 271n80, 287n5 moral, 1, 7-8, 9, 39, 65, 67, 120, 155 relationship to duties, 42 role in conscience clause debate, 39-42 as trumps, 39 Rodriguez v. City of Chicago. See Conscientious refusal, by public Roe v. Wade. See Abortion Rural areas. See Access to care; Last doctor in town; Supply SARS, 195–196. See also Medical professionalism, epidemics Savulescu, Julian, 58–63, 134, 153, 275n41 Secularization, 22–23, 66. See also Conflicts of conscience; Pluralism Selective Service. See Draft (military) Self harm. See Mill, John Stuart Shelton v. University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey. See Conscientious refusal; Employment Sokol, Daniel, 216-217 South Carolina, 173 South Dakota, 84 Specialization. See Medical professionalism St. Agnes Hospital v. Riddick. See Free exercise clause Standard of care, 41, 138, 221, 292n60. See also Demand, setting limits; Professional ethics State action, 32–33 Jackson v. Metro. Edison Co., 32 Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Irvis, 32 Stem cells, 26, 38, 130, 274n33 Sterilization, 7, 20, 65, 96, 106, 220,

222, 248. See also Church

Amendment

Stormans, Inc. v. Selecky. See Conscientious refusal; Employment; Free exercise clause; Pharmacists Summers, In re. See Free exercise clause

Supply (of physicians), 251. See also Access to care, geographic boundaries; Calibration of supply and demand; Conscientious refusal; Demand; Last doctor in town; Licensing boards, new responsibilities; Medical schools maldistribution, 189, 196, 303n2 market failure, 178–182, 184, 189–193, 211 registry of willing physicians, 145–147, 162, 217, 218, 229–230, 251, 254

shortage, 172, 189–191, 196, 206, 208, 303–304n2–3 Swartz, Martha, 20, 34–35, 38, 136–137

Taylor v. St. Vincent's Hospital, 2. See also Church Amendment; Sterilization

Texas, 146, 181

Tepeyac Family Center, 96. See also Doctor-patient relationship, matching

Thomas v. Abdul-Malek. See Conscientious refusal; Informed consent

Torture, physician participation in, 38, 82

Tuskegee syphilis study, 82

U.S. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours. See Antitrust law U.S. v. Seeger. See Draft (military)

Vandersand v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. See Conscientious refusal; Pharmacists Veatch, Robert, 13, 88–90, 97, 123, 136, 216, 233 Vulnerability (of patients), 10, 68, 70, 73–74, 112, 120, 196–199, 217, 220–221, 223, 224, 226, 229, 233, 243–245, 278n89, 304n13. *See also* Monopoly power, abuse of

Waldron, Jeremy, 39
Walker v. Pierce. See Conscientious
refusal; Doctor-patient relationship
Wardle, Lynn, 106
Washington, 20, 25, 30, 102, 249,
278n88
Washington, D.C., 189
Welsh v. U.S. See Draft (military)
Willowbrook hepatitis study, 82
Withholding/withdrawing medical
care. See End-of-life issues; Futility
Woods, Robert D., 179, 296n51
World Health Organization (WHO),
192, 280n21, 303n84