

- Barbeito, R., & Ono, H. (1979). Four methods of locating the egocenter: A comparison of their predictive validities and reliabilities. *Behavioral Research Methods and Instrumentation*, 11, 31–36.
- Beck, J. (1982). *Organization and representation in perception*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Beck, J., & Gibson, J. J. (1955). The relation of apparent shape to apparent slant in the perception of objects. *JEP*, 50, 125–133.
- Berkeley, G. (1709/1963). An essay toward a new theory of vision. Reprinted in C. M. Turbayne, (Ed.), *Berkeley's works on vision*, New York: Bobbs-Merrill.
- Beverley, K. I., & Regan, D. (1973). Evidence for the existence of neural mechanisms selectively sensitive to the direction of movement in space. *Journal of Physiology*, 235, 17–29.
- Beverley, K. I., & Regan, D. (1979). Separable aftereffects of changing-size and motion-in-depth: Different neural mechanisms? *VR*, 19, 727–732.
- Beverley, K. I., & Regan, D. (1983). Texture changes versus size changes as stimuli for motion in depth. *VR*, 12, 1387–1400.
- Blake, R., Fox, R., & Westendorf, D. H. (1974). Visual size constancy occurs after binocular rivalry. *VR*, 14, 585–586.
- Blake, R., O'Shea, R. P., & Mueller, T. J. (1991). Spatial zones of binocular rivalry in central and peripheral vision. *Visual Neuroscience*, 8, 469–478.
- Bootsma, R. J., & Oudejans, R. R. D. (1993). Visual information about time-to-collision between two objects. *JEP:HP&P*, 19, 1041–1052.
- Boring, E. G. (1942). *Sensation and perception in the history of experimental psychology*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Börjesson, E., & von Hofsten, C. (1972). Spatial determinants of depth perception in two-dot motion patterns. *P&P*, 11, 263–268.
- Börjesson, E., & von Hofsten, C. (1973). Visual perception of motion in depth: Application of a vector analysis to three-dot motion patterns. *P&P*, 13, 169–179.
- Börjesson, E., & von Hofsten, C. (1975). A vector model for perceived object rotation and translation in space. *Psychological Research*, 38, 209–230.
- Börjesson, E., & von Hofsten, C. (1977). Effects of different motion characteristics on perceived motion in depth. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 18, 203–208.
- Braunstein, M. L. (1962). Perception of depth through motion. *PB*, 59, 422–433.
- Braunstein, M. L. (1966). Sensitivity of the observer to transformation of the visual field. *JEP*, 72, 683–689.
- Braunstein, M. L. (1968). Motion and texture as sources of slant information. *JEP*, 78, 247–253.
- Braunstein, M. L. (1976). *Depth perception through motion*. New York: Academic Press.
- Braunstein, M. L., & Tittle, J. S. (1988). The observer-relative velocity field as the basis for effective motion parallax. *JEP:HP&P*, 14, 582–590.

- Broerse, J., Ashton, R., & Shaw, C. (1992). The apparent shape of afterimages in the Ames room. *Perception*, 21, 261–268.
- Brunswic, E. (1929). Zur Entwicklung der Albedowahrnehmung. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie*, 109, 40–115.
- Brunswic, E. (1956). *Perception and the representative design of psychological experiments* (2nd ed.). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Carlson, V. R. (1960). Overestimation in size constancy. *AJP*, 73, 199–213.
- Carlson, V. R. (1977). Instructions in perceptual constancy judgments. In W. Epstein, (Ed.), *Stability and constancy in visual perception: Mechanisms and processes* (pp. 217–254). New York: Wiley.
- Caudek, C., & Proffitt, D. R. (1993). Depth perception in motion parallax and stereokinesis. *JEP:HP&P*, 19, 32–47.
- Cavanagh, P., & Leclerc, Y. G. (1989). Shape from shading. *JEP:HP&P*, 15, 3–27.
- Cohen, W. (1957). Spatial and textural characteristics of the *Ganzfeld*. *AJP*, 70, 403–410.
- Collewijn, H., Steinman, R. M., Erkelens, C. J., & Regan, D. (1991). Binocular fusion, stereopsis, and stereoacuity with a moving head. In D. Regan (Ed.), *Vision and visual dysfunction*, Vol. 9: *Binocular vision* (pp. 121–136). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Coren, S. (1972). Subjective contours and apparent depth. *PR*, 79, 339–367.
- Cormack, R. H. (1984). Stereoscopic depth perception at far viewing distances. *P&P*, 35, 423–428.
- Cormack, R., & Fox, R. (1985). The computation of retinal disparity. *P&P*, 37, 176–178.
- Cutting, J. E., & Proffitt, D. R. (1982). The minimum principle and the perception of absolute, common, and relative motions. *Cognitive Psychology*, 14, 211–246.
- Duncker, K. (1929). Über induzierte Bewegung [On induced motion]. *Psychologische Forschung*, 12, 180–259.
- Dwyer, J., Ashton, R., & Broerse, J. (1990). Emmert's law in the Ames room. *Perception*, 19, 35–41.
- Ebenholtz, S. M. (1983). Perceptual coding and adaptations of the oculomotor systems. In L. Spillman, & W. Wooten (Eds.), *Sensory experience, adaptation, and perception: Festschrift für Ivo Kohler* (pp. 335–344). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Ebenholtz, S. M. (1988). Long-term endurance of adaptive shifts in tonic accommodation. *Ophthalmic Physiological Optics*, 8, 427–431.
- Ebenholtz, S. M. (1991). Accommodative hysteresis: Fundamental asymmetry in decay rate after near and far focusing. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 32, 148–153.
- Ebenholtz, S. M., & Zander, P. A. L. (1987). Accommodative hysteresis: Influence on closed loop measures of far point and near point. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 28, 1246–1249.
- Emmert, E. (1881). Größenverhältnisse der Nachbilder. *Klinische Monatsblätter für Augenheilkunde*, 19, 443–450.

- Enright, J. T. (1989). The eye, the brain, and the size of the moon: Toward a unified oculomotor hypothesis for the moon illusion. In M. Hershenson (Ed.), *The moon illusion* (pp. 59–121). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Epstein, W. (1973). The process of taking-into-account in visual perception. *Perception*, 2, 267–285.
- Epstein, W. (1977a). Historical introduction to the constancies. In W. Epstein (Ed.), *Stability and constancy in visual perception: Mechanisms and processes* (pp. 1–22). New York: Wiley.
- Epstein, W. (1977b). *Stability and constancy in visual perception: Mechanisms and processes*. New York: Wiley.
- Epstein, W., & Landauer, A. (1969). Size and distance judgments under reduced conditions of viewing. *P&P*, 6, 269–272.
- Epstein, W., & Park, J. (1963). Shape constancy: functional relationships and theoretical formulations. *PB*, 60, 265–288.
- Epstein, W., Park, J., & Casey, A. (1961). The current status of the size-distance hypothesis. *PB*, 58, 491–514.
- Erens, R. G. F., Kappers, A. M., & Koenderink, J. J. (1993a). Estimating local shape from shading in the presence of global shading. *P&P*, 54, 334–342.
- Erens, R. G. F., Kappers, A. M., & Koenderink, J. J. (1993b). Perception of local shape from shading. *P&P*, 54, 145–156.
- Flock, H. R. (1964a). A possible optical basis for monocular slant perception. *PR*, 71, 380–391.
- Flock, H. R. (1964b). Three theoretical views of slant perception. *PB* 62, 110–121.
- Foley, J. M. (1966). Locus of perceived equidistance as a function of viewing distance. *JOSA*, 56, 822–827.
- Foley, J. M. (1967). Disparity increase with convergence for constant perceptual criteria. *P&P*, 2, 605–609.
- Foley, J. M. (1980). Binocular distance perception. *PR*, 87, 411–434.
- Foley, J. M. (1991). Binocular space perception. In D. Regan (Ed.), *Vision and visual dysfunction, Vol. 9: Binocular vision* (pp. 75–92). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Fox, R. (1991). Binocular rivalry. In D. Regan (Ed.), *Vision and visual dysfunction, Vol. 9: Binocular vision* (pp. 93–110). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Gibson, E. J., Gibson, J. J., Smith, O. W., & Flock, A. (1959). Motion parallax as a determinant of perceived depth. *JEP*, 54, 40–51.
- Gibson, J. J. (1950a). *The perception of the visual world*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Gibson, J. J. (1950b). The perception of visual surfaces. *AJP*, 63, 367–384.
- Gibson, J. J. (1959). Perception as a function of stimulation. In S. Koch (Ed.), *Psychology: A study of a science* (pp. 456–501). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gibson, J. J. (1966). *The senses considered as perceptual systems*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

- Gibson, J. J. (1968). What gives rise to the perception of motion? *PR*, 75, 335–346.
- Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Gibson, J. J., & Carel, W. (1952). Does motion perspective independently produce the impression of a receding surface? *JEP*, 44, 16–18.
- Gibson, J. J., & Gibson, E. J. (1957). Continuous perspective transformations and the perception of rigid motion. *JEP*, 54, 129–138.
- Gibson, J. J., Olum, P., & Rosenblatt, F. (1955). Parallax and perspective during airplane landings. *AJP*, 68, 372–385.
- Gilchrist, A. L. (1977). Perceived lightness depends on perceived spatial arrangement. *Science*, 195, 185–187.
- Gilinsky, A. S. (1951). Perceived size and distance in visual space. *PR*, 58, 460–482.
- Gilinsky, A. S. (1955). The effect of attitude upon the perception of size. *AJP*, 68, 173–192.
- Gilinsky, A. S. (1989). The moon illusion in a unified theory of visual space. In M. Hershenson (Ed.), *The moon illusion* (pp. 167–192). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gogel, W. C. (1965). Equidistance tendency and its consequences. *PB*, 64, 153–163.
- Gogel, W. C. (1969). Equidistance effects in visual fields. *AJP*, 82, 342–349.
- Gogel, W. C. (1977). The metric of visual space. In W. Epstein (Ed.), *Stability and constancy in visual perception: Mechanisms and processes* (pp. 129–181). New York: Wiley.
- Gogel, W. C. (1981). The role of suggested size in distance responses. *P&P*, 32, 241–250.
- Gogel, W. C., & Da Silva, J. A. (1987a). Familiar size and the theory of off-sized perceptions. *P&P*, 41, 318–328.
- Gogel, W. C., & Da Silva, J. A. (1987b). A two-process theory of the responses to size and distance. *P&P*, 41, 220–238.
- Gogel, W. C., & Mertz, D. L. (1989). The contribution of heuristic process to the moon illusion. In M. Hershenson, (Ed.), *The moon illusion* (pp. 235–258). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gogel, W. C., & Teitz, J. D. (1973). Absolute motion parallax and the specific distance tendency. *P&P*, 13, 284–292.
- Graham, C. H. (1951). Visual perception. In S. Stevens (Ed.), *Handbook of experimental psychology* (pp. 868–920). New York: Wiley.
- Gruber, H. E. (1954). The relation of perceived size to perceived distance. *AJP*, 67, 411–426.
- Gulick, W. L., & Lawson, R. B. (1976). *Human stereopsis*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Haber, R. N. (1978). Visual perception. In M. R. Rosenzweig & L. W. Porter (Eds.), *Annual Review of Psychology*, 29, 31–59.