
Index

- Absolute conception of the world (Williams), 13–14
- Absolutism, 9–10, 13–16, 21, 143, 144. *See also* Content absolutism; Fact absolutism
contrasted with metaphysical realism, 23–24
and correspondence theory of truth, 124–125
implies that there can be but one true account of some subject matter, 14
two faces of, 13–16
- Alcuff, Linda, 124, 125, 164 (n. 4)
- Alethic pluralism, 129–130, 131
internal to a scheme, 132–133
across schemes, 134–135
- Alethic realism. *See* Correspondence theory of truth; Minimal realism; Realism
- Allison, Henry, 153–154
- Alston, William, 4, 107, 116–117, 161 (nn. 20, 24), 163 (n. 10), 164 (n. 11)
distinguishes property from concept of truth, 129, 130–131
and truth, 126–129, 164 (n. 3), 165 (n. 14)
- Analytic/synthetic distinction, 33, 37, 41–42, 46–47, 50–51
- Annis, D., 162 (n. 11)
- Antirealism, 2, 5–6
- Antirealism about truth, 101–102.
See also Deflationism about “true”; Epistemic theory of truth
- Aristotle, 10, 18, 22, 79, 101
- Austin, J. L., 87, 121
- Baker, G. P., 162 (n. 4), 163 (chap. 3, n. 5)
- Basic concepts, 44–45, 55, 127.
See also Concepts; Conceptual schemes
characteristics of, 44
contextual, 46–47, 55–56, 143
determine conceptual scheme, 46
- Beethoven, Ludwig van, 18
- Benardete, Jose, 88, 164 (n. 9)
- Bennett, Jonathan, 161 (n. 5)
- Berkeley, G., 19, 97, 128, 147
- Bivalence, 165 (n. 6). *See also* Vagueness
- Blackburn, Simon, 79–81, 96
- Blanshard, Brand, 102
- Bloomfield, Paul, 160 (n. 9)
- Bradley, F. H., 97, 102
- Carnap, Rudolph, 35, 164 (n. 12)
- Case, Jennifer, 161 (n. 1)
- Cavell, Stanley, 74
- Coherentism, 16, 164 (n. 4)
as applied to conceptual schemes, 37–38
- Collingwood, R. G., 31, 48

- Concepts, 40, 41, 44, 45, 55–75. *See also* Basic concepts; Conceptual schemes; Fluid concepts; Minimal concept(s)
 application/possession conditions of, 56, 69
 change of, 73–74
 concept of, 45, 56, 69, 162 (n. 1)
 crystalline picture of, 56–59
 fluid picture of, 4, 59–73
 and interests, 74–75
 Conceptual fluidity. *See* Fluid concepts
 Conceptual frameworks. *See* Conceptual schemes
 Conceptual schemes, 6, 11, 14, 20, 21, 31–54, 55
 and analytic/synthetic distinction, 33–34, 37, 46–47
 Davidson’s objections to, 38–39, 49–54
 evaluating, 95–96, 149–150
 identity criteria for, 36, 46
 intertranslatability as criterion of, 36–37, 51–54
 Kantian model (KM) of, 32–35, 40–41, 47
 as overlapping, 53–54, 72, 148 (*see also* Fluid concepts)
 Quinean model (QM) of, 35–41, 49, 51, 106–107
 primary components of, 33, 36, 45
 structure of, 34, 37, 47
 Wittgensteinian model (WM) of, 41–49, 49–54, 59
 and worldviews, 51 (*see also* Forms of life; Worldviews)
 Consistency dilemma, 29, 77–81, 91–97
 Content, 14, 55, 80. *See also* Conceptual schemes, as overlapping; Propositions
 no absolute facts about, 72, 94, 143
 shared, 72, 80–81, 93–94
 Content absolutism, 14, 125. *See also* Absolutism
 connection of, with fact absolutism, 15
 Content relativism (relativity), 4, 20–21, 26, 55, 77–78, 93, 123, 160–161 (n. 18). *See also* Conceptual schemes; Content; Fluid concepts; Minimal concept(s)
 compared to spatial relativism, 20–21
 defined, 21
 does not imply truth relativism, 138–140
 holds at meta level, 98–99, 163 (n. 11)
 implies fact relativism, 25–27
 implies regress, 144–145
 taken as virtually absolute, 142
 Context. *See* Conceptual schemes; Forms of life; Worldviews
 Convention T. *See* Tarski, Alfred; T-schema
 Correspondence theory of truth, 101, 119–125. *See also* Minimal realism
 compatible with metaphysical pluralism, 122–125
 and facts, 119–121
 and Horgan’s indirect correspondence, 133
 and identity theory of truth, 120
 traditionally linked to absolutism, 124
 and truth bearers, 119
 and truth as causal relation, 122–123
 and truth as congruence, 121, 122
 and truth as correlation, 121
 and truth makers, 119–121
 Crystalline picture of concepts, 54–59, 84
 and application of concepts, 58
 and conceptual analysis, 57, 59
 and essence, 59, 84
 motivations for, 58
 relation of, to Wittgensteinian model of conceptual schemes, 59
 typically linked to absolutism, 56–57

- David, M., 165 (nn. 7, 8)
- Davidson, Donald, 26, 31, 38–39, 49–54, 122, 161 (n. 1)
- Deflationism about “true,” 102, 111–118. *See also* Disquotational schema; T-schema
 assumes absolutism, 115, 118
 as disquotation, 114
 holds that truth is not a property, 112, 116–118
 motivated by materialism, 117–118
 as performative theory, 113
 and pluralism, 114, 115, 118
 redundancy theory of, 113
 two aspects of, 112
- Devitt, Michael, 122, 159 (chap. 1, nn. 1, 5), 164 (n. 10)
- Discourse, types of, 6, 132, 133
- Disquotational schema (DS), 26, 112–113, 114. *See also* Deflationism about “true”
 distinguished from T-schema, 26, 112
- Dummett, Michael, 163 (n. 4)
- Einstein, A., 38, 138
- Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 9, 157
- Epistemic justification, 66, 68, 103–104, 110
- Epistemic theory of truth, 3, 16, 102–103. *See also* Ideal epistemic conditions (ideal justification)
 and convergence, 110–111
 and epistemic justification, 103–104
 faces dilemma, 108–109
 and metaphysical pluralism, 3, 12, 16, 18, 102, 105–106, 110–111
 objections to, 107–109
 Putnam’s (PT), 102–103, 105–111
 and Quinean model of conceptual schemes, 106
 simple versions of, 104
 as weak conceptual equivalence, 103
- Essence, 59
- Existence, 82, 84–88. *See also* Object and existential quantifier, 82, 84, 86
 as fluid concept, 85, 91–93
 no essence to, 84–85
 as univocal, 86
- Existential quantifier. *See* Existence
- Explanatory priority, 120
- Extensional theories of meaning/
 translation, 36–37, 40
- Fact absolutism, 15, 125. *See also* Absolutism
 connection of, with content absolutism, 15–16
- Fact relativism, 4, 6, 22, 26, 97–98, 123. *See also* Content relativism; Metaphysical pluralism
 defined, 22
 does not imply concept of fact is relative, 139–140
 does not imply idealism, 97–98
 implies content relativism, 24
 implies regress, 144–145
 and modal facts, 98
 taken as virtually absolute, 142
- Facts, 4, 6, 15, 22. *See also* Fact absolutism; Fact relativism; F-schema
 and correspondence theory of truth, 119, 121
 as obtaining states of affairs, 15, 120–121
 as true propositions, 119–120
 virtually absolute, 142–143
- Family-resemblance concepts, 60, 62–66
 admit of multiple paradigms, 65–66
 and concept of a game, 63, 64–65
 different from other concepts, 63–64
- Field, Hartry, 26, 122, 161 (n. 19)
- Fluid concepts (conceptual fluidity), 4, 59–62, 62–66, 66–73. *See also* Family-resemblance concepts; Minimal concept(s); Minimal/robust distinction
 and content relativism, 72, 82, 93–94, 96
 indeterminate, 59–60, 61

- Fluid concepts (cont.)
 and myth of definite article, 62
 not simply vagueness, 61
 open in future application, 60
 and truth, 131–133
 and Wittgensteinian model of conceptual schemes, 60, 72
- Forms of life, 51, 74. *See also* Wittgenstein; Worldviews
- Foundationalism, 34
 as applied to conceptual schemes, 34, 47
- Frege, G., 57–58, 60, 86, 112, 163 (n. 4)
- F-schema, 15, 26, 128. *See also* T-schema
- Gettier, Edmund, 163 (n. 9)
- Glock, Hans-Johann, 42–43
- Goodman, Nelson, 12, 94–95, 151, 159 (n. 4), 160 (n. 13)
- Grim, Patrick, 160 (n. 9)
- Grover, Dorothy, 165 (n. 7)
- Hacker, P. M. S., 161 (n. 1), 162 (n. 4), 163 (chap. 3, n. 5)
- Hales, Steven, 167 (n. 2)
- Harre, R., 53, 163 (n. 2)
- Hawking, Stephen, 10
- Henderson, David, 162 (n. 11)
- Heraclitus, 101
- Historicism, 2
- Horgan, Terence, 133, 151–152, 159 (Intro., n. 3), 161 (n. 19), 164 (n. 5), 166 (n. 19)
- Horkheimer, Max, 55
- Horwich, Paul, 114, 128–129
- Ideal epistemic conditions (ideal justification), 102, 103. *See also* Epistemic theory of truth
 can be interpreted weakly or strongly, 107–109
- Idealism, 6, 28, 128, 146
- Idealism objection, 28, 97–99
- Identity, 17, 96. *See also* Self-identity
- Identity theory of truth, 120
- Incommensurability, 38, 53–54
- Incompatibility, counterfactual analysis of, 93–94. *See also* Consistency dilemma
- Internalism, 11
- Internal realism (Putnam), 5, 23, 25
- James, William, 1, 9, 31, 51, 102, 161 (n. 2)
- Johnston, Mark, 125
- Kant, Immanuel, 3, 11, 31–32, 33, 85, 141, 161 (n. 4), 162 (n. 6)
 and analytic/synthetic distinction, 33–34
 and conceptual schemes (*see* Conceptual schemes, Kantian model of)
 and noumena, 152–154
 and transcendental reflection, 153
- Kantianism. *See* Relativistic Kantianism
- Kirkham, Richard, 116, 122, 164 (n. 1), 165 (nn. 8, 10), 166 (n. 21)
- Kitcher, Patricia, 161 (n. 5)
- Krausz, M., 53, 163 (n. 2)
- Kripke, Saul, 10, 129
- Kuhn, Thomas, 38, 40. *See also* Incommensurability
- Lawhead, William, 161 (n. 4)
- Leibniz, G. W., 10
- Lepore, E., 159 (n. 5)
- Lewis, David, 10, 159 (chap. 1, n. 1)
- Loewer, B., 159 (n. 5)
- Lowe, E., 163 (chap. 4, n. 5)
- Many-worlds problem, 29–30, 94–95
- Materialism, 10, 91, 118
- McDowell, John, 119–120
- McMichael, Alan, 159 (n. 5), 163 (n. 1)
- Mereology, 17, 23, 77–78
- Metaphysical debate, 12, 16
 as encouraging metaphysical pluralism, 11–12, 16–19

- Metaphysical pluralism, 3, 4, 10–11, 13, 16, 20–24, 75, 77–78, 91, 141, 151. *See also* Conceptual schemes; Content relativism; Fact relativism; Pluralism
 and antirealist theories of truth, 3, 12, 16, 18, 102, 105–106, 115–118
 compatible with realism about truth, 3, 6, 26, 122–125, 131–134
 does not imply truth relativism, 136–140
 implies global pluralism, 8, 167 (n. 1)
 and Kantian model of conceptual schemes, 35
 motivation for adopting, 11–12, 16–20
 not antimetaphysical, 155–157
 not verificationism, 19
 objections to, 27–30, 77–81, 91–99, 141–145, 151–155
 as one element of relativistic Kantianism, 4–5, 13
 and Quinean model of conceptual schemes, 38, 106–107
 and self-reference, 141–144
 threatens a loss of objectivity, 1–3, 12
 two faces of, 20–24
- Metaphysical realism (Putnam), 23–24
- Metaphysics, 9, 12, 13, 19
 as assuming absolutism, 9–10
 as descriptive, 156
 importance of, 3
 as investigation of basic concepts and principles, 9, 13, 48, 149, 156
 as revisionary, 156
- Mind, concepts of, 67–68, 70–71, 73
- Minimal concept(s), 66–72, 138, 140. *See also* Minimal/robust distinction
 defined, 68
 extendable in different directions, 70, 72
 as functions, 68, 127
 of object, 83–84, 91–93
 of truth, 126–129
- Minimal realism (MR), 4, 101–102, 125–140, 165 (n. 15). *See also* Truth
 and antirealism, 133–134
 compatible with alethic pluralism, 129, 131–132
 entails minimal concepts of fact and proposition, 127–128
 and evidential constraint, 134
 and metaphysical pluralism, 131–133
 and representation, 145–146
 and T-schema, 126, 145
 as weak conceptual equivalence, 126
 why minimal, 126
 why realist, 127
- Minimal/robust distinction, 68–73
 analogous to function/realization distinction, 69
 benefits of, 71–72
 can be put in different ways, 68
 comparative and a matter of degree, 69, 71
 defined, 68
 examples of, 66–72
 not absolute, 71
- Moser, Paul, 25, 62, 66, 163 (n. 10)
- Nagel, Thomas, 52, 108
- Neustadt, Robert, 167 (n. 4)
- Newton, Isaac, 38
- Nominalism, 6, 18
- Noumena, 5, 151–155
- Numbers, metaphysics of, 18, 96
- Object, 4, 17, 80, 82–89. *See also* Existence
 concept of, in logic, 84, 90–91
 as fluid concept, 85–86, 92–93
 metaphysical concept of, vs. absolute concept of, 83–84, 88–89, 92–93
 minimal concept of, 83–84, 91–93

- Ontological commitment, 89–91, 116–117
- Ontological inclusivism, 78–79
- Ontology. *See* Metaphysics
- Paraphrase, 90–91, 116–117. *See also* Ontological commitment
- Peacocke, Christopher, 56
- Peirce, Charles, 102
- Personal Identity. *See* Identity
- Pitcher, George, 121, 122
- Plato, 18, 160 (n. 14)
- Pluralism, 1–3, 10, 21–23, 31, 35, 94. *See also* Content relativism; Fact relativism; Metaphysical pluralism
- actual vs. modal, 47–48, 96
- horizontal vs. vertical, 6, 7–8
- local vs. global, 7–8
- and morality, 7, 133
- strong modal, 48
- about truth (*see* Alethic pluralism)
- weak modal, 48, 96, 148
- Points, metaphysics of, 18
- Post, John, 155, 159 (Intro., n. 3)
- Postmodernism, 2
- Pragmatic realism. *See* Internal realism
- Pragmatism, 16
- Price, Huw, 159 (Intro., n. 2), 165 (n. 7)
- Propositions, 14, 21, 80, 81, 94, 119
- as indexed to schemes, 21, 55
- interpreted minimally, 68, 72
- minimal concept of, 127–128, 159–160 (n. 6)
- virtually absolute, 142–144
- Putnam, Hilary, 3, 4–5, 12, 17, 23–24, 25, 63, 77, 78, 79, 104, 126, 129, 146, 147, 151, 159 (Intro., n. 1; chap. 1, n. 3), 160 (nn. 9, 13)
- on analytic/synthetic distinction, 41–42, 46
- argues that pluralism implies an epistemic theory of truth, 28, 103–104, 159 (n. 4)
- and conceptual schemes, 41, 106–107
- and content relativism, 20, 27
- and fact relativism, 22, 27, 161 (n. 21)
- and “How many objects?” example, 17, 77–78, 160 (n. 12)
- and idealism, 98
- and objects, 86, 96
- on Quine, 115
- on truth, 101, 102–111, 164 (n. 3) (*see also* Epistemic theory of truth)
- Quine, W. V., 31–32, 36, 44, 106, 161 (n. 3)
- and analytic/synthetic distinction, 37–38
- and conceptual schemes (*see* Conceptual schemes, Quinean model of)
- and objects, 83, 89, 90, 163 (nn. 4, 6, 7)
- and ontological commitment, 89–91
- and truth, 114, 115
- Ramsey, F. P., 112, 113, 115
- Rappaport, Steven, 165 (n. 9), 166 (n. 23)
- Real, concept of, 87
- Realism, 5–6. *See also* Correspondence theory of truth; Minimal realism
- about truth, 3, 4, 12, 26, 101–102, 119–125, 125–136
- Reality, concept of, 94–95, 151, 152–155. *See also* Noumena; Real, concept of
- Reductionism, 6, 118
- Redundancy theory of truth, 113
- Reference, 88–89, 122, 133
- Relativism, 4, 20. *See also* Metaphysical pluralism; Pluralism; Relativistic Kantianism
- about a concept, 136, 138
- about content (*see* Content relativism)
- about a fact (*see* Fact relativism)

- about simultaneity, 138
- spatial, 21
- about truth (truth relativism), 136–140
- Relativistic Kantianism, 30, 75, 138, 141, 147, 154, 156. *See also* Metaphysical pluralism; Minimal realism
 - defined, 4–5, 13
- Rescher, Nicholas, 53, 161 (n. 1)
- Rorty, A. O., 160 (n. 11)
- Rorty, Richard, 12, 146–147, 162 (n. 12)
- Rosenthal, David, 67
- Russell, Bertrand, 10, 121
- Sacks, Mark, 161 (n. 19)
- Searle, John, 82, 163 (n. 3), 164 (n. 11)
- Self-identity, 88
- Self-reference, 141–142
- Sklar, L., 167 (n. 24)
- Sosa, E., 98, 161 (n. 19)
- Spinoza, 10, 18, 23, 79
- States of affairs, 15, 120–121
- Strawson, Peter, 44–46, 48, 156, 157
- Substance, 18
- Superassertibility, 130
- Swoyer, Chris, 136–137, 159 (chap. 1, n. 2)
- T-argument, 25–27. *See also* Content relativism; Fact relativism
- Tarski, Alfred, 26, 112, 122, 164 (n. 1)
 - Convention T, 26, 165 (n. 10)
- Truth, 2, 12, 101–140, 166 (n. 18). *See also* Correspondence theory of truth; Deflationism about “true”; Epistemic theory of truth; Minimal realism; T-schema
 - Alston’s theory of, 125–130
 - antirealism about, 101–102, 102–111, 111–119
 - distinction between property and concept of, 129, 131
 - as fluid, 125, 131–132
 - Horgan’s theory of, 133
 - Horwich’s theory of, 128–129
 - pluralism about, 130–136
 - Putnam’s theory of, 103–111
 - realist theories of, 3, 4, 12, 26, 101–102, 119–125, 125–136
 - relativism about (truth relativism), 136–140
 - as stable, 125, 131–132
 - Tarskian recursive definition of, 26, 122
 - Wright’s theory of, 129–132
- Truth relativism. *See* Truth, relativism about
- T-schema, 15, 112, 126, 128, 130, 145. *See also* Deflationism about “true”; F-schema; Truth distinguished from disquotational schema (DS), 26, 112
 - distinguished from Tarski’s Convention T, 26
 - necessary truth of, 26, 112
 - and vagueness, 135
- Vagueness, 61, 135
- Van Cleve, James, 125
- Van Gulick, R., 159 (Intro., n. 3)
- Van Inwagen, Peter, 91, 160 (n. 15), 164 (n. 11)
- Verificationism, 6, 19, 52–53, 164 (n. 12)
- Virtual absolutes, 142–144
- Weak conceptual equivalence, 103, 126
- Williams, Bernard, 13–14, 161 (n. 20)
- Williams, C. J. F., 114, 163 (chap. 4, nn. 5, 8), 165 (n. 7)
- Williams, Michael, 114
- Wittgenstein, 42–43, 48, 51, 57–58, 59–60, 73–75, 77, 121, 162 (n. 3)
 - on analytic/synthetic distinction, 42–43, 46
 - compared concepts to tools, 73–74

- Wittgenstein (cont.)
on conceptual schemes (*see* Conceptual schemes, Wittgensteinian model of)
and family-resemblance concepts, 60, 62–66, 166 (n. 18) (*see also* Family-resemblance concepts)
Wolterstorff, Nicholas, 162 (n. 2)
Worldviews, 4, 14, 21, 74. *See also* Conceptual schemes; Forms of life defined, 51
evaluating, 147–150
Wright, Crispin, 4, 125, 130, 133, 134, 165 (n. 16), 166 (n. 17)
and alethic pluralism, 129–130, 132