
Index

- 308 Reports, 31, 35
- 1966 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 138
- 1972 Water Pollution Control Act Amendments, 39–45
- 1974 Resource Planning Act (RPA), 40
- 1976 Government in the Sunshine Act, 138
- 1987 Clean Water Act Amendments, 45
- A&D. *See* Analysis and deliberation
- ACF. *See* Advocacy coalition framework
- Administrative Procedure Act of 1946, 138
- ADR. *See* Alternative dispute resolution
- Advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 18, 175, 189–199, 201–202, 280 and alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 174, 194–197, 275, 199 and beliefs, 192–194, 202, 208 and exclusion, 288–289, 294 and institutional analysis and development (IAD), 190, 192, 244 and institutional rational choice (IRC), 243–244 and National Estuary Program (NEP), 276 and policy outputs and outcomes, 275–276 and procedural legitimacy, 288 and survival, 288
- Alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 18, 21n9, 275–276 and advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 174, 194–197, 199 and collaborative learning (CL), 149 emergence of, 43–44
- Alternative venues, 11, 196, 243
- Analysis and deliberation (A&D), 6, 118, 120–125, 149, 290
- Army Corps of Engineers, 24, 27–28, 31, 33, 36, 38–39
- Attitudes
- civic, 117, 139
 - collective action (*see* Collective action, attitudes)
 - environmental, 141, 143–145, 155, 159–160
 - during Environmental Era, 37
 - toward participation, 16, 141, 143–145
 - of stakeholders, 8, 112, 149, 163–165
- Autonomy, 64, 123–124, 148, 163, 165, 181, 245, 281
- agency, 11, 190
 - and consent, 58, 62–63
 - and fair consideration, 60–62
 - as moral value, 53–57
 - and representation, 56–58, 78n12, 166
- Beliefs
- in advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 192–194, 202, 208

- Beliefs (cont.)
 collective action (*see* Collective action, beliefs)
 deep core, 192, 103
 environmental, 144, 148, 159
 general political, 152, 159–160
 policy, 202–204, 208–209, 213, 217–218, 220–221, 223, 226
 policy core, 192, 194
 and representation, 18, 60, 160, 164–165
 secondary, 193
 stakeholders', 163, 201
 and vigilance, 91
 Belief systems, 220, 223
 Benefit-cost analysis, 70–71
 Bureau of Reclamation, 31, 33, 38–39
 Bureaucratic expert, 28, 30, 37, 50–51. *See also* Impartial, expert civil servant, Neutral civil servant
- Capacity-building strategy, 43
 Citizen suit provisions, 39, 51n7
 Civic community, 15, 16, 271–272. *See also* Civic culture, Networks of reciprocity, Social capital
 and collaboratives, 173, 196, 201, 261
 and legitimacy, 280–281, 285
 and policy outputs, 15, 275–276, 279, 289
 and trust, 8, 122
 variables, 117, 277
 Civic culture, 16, 64, 73, 264. *See also* Civic community, Networks of reciprocity, Social capital
 CL. *See* Collaborative learning
 Clean Water Act, 3, 5, 10, 20n3
 Clean Water Action Plan, 7
 Cognitive conflict, 202
 Collaborative engagement process, 4, 6, 8–11, 17, 137, 148, 163, 164, 266
 Collaborative Era, 24, 25, 43, 50. *See also* Watershed Collaboration Era
 Collaborative learning (CL) 6, 149, 150–152, 160, 163, 167, 168n1, 263, 290
 Collaborative management studies. *See* Studies of collaborative management
 Collaborative partnerships, 6, 18, 20n4
 Collaborative superagencies, 7, 20n4, 266
 Collaborative watershed partnerships. *See* Collaborative partnerships
 Collective action, 18, 179, 186–188, 208
 attitudes, 15, 221
 beliefs, 201–203, 205, 207–208, 210, 212–217, 220–221, 223, 229–230n6, 231n12, 272–273, 276–278
 benefits and transaction costs, 180, 188, 201, 206–208, 213–214
 and National Estuary Program (NEP), 203, 220–222
 Collective choice rules, 179, 180, 185
 Command-and-control, 204–205, 223–224, 262, 265, 290
 regulations, 17, 24, 181
 Compensation. *See* Stakeholder, compensation of
 Conflict resolution, 6, 47, 201, 272
 in advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 199
 component of institutional performance, 206–207, 214, 272
 in institutional rational choice (IRC) framework, 185
 in National Estuary Program (NEP), 209, 213–214, 220, 223, 276
 Consensus, 47, 53, 63, 69, 94–95, 101, 116, 162, 181, 237, 250, 287
 in advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 192, 195
 and collaborative learning (CL) process, 149
 decision rule, 4, 195
 in National Estuary Program (NEP), 214, 220
 policy beliefs and disagreement, 208
 processes, 20n5, 255, 281
 rule, 5, 6, 21n7, 44, 50, 262
 Consultative, 24, 25, 36, 50

- Cooperation
 and belief change, 224
 beliefs about institutional
 performance, 206, 229n5
 disagreements impede, 202, 208
 goal of collaborative processes, 9,
 263, 276, 290
 and institutional analysis and
 development (IAD) framework, 179
 and institutional rational choice
 (IRC), 175–176, 185
 and National Estuary Program
 (NEP), 202–203, 213–214, 216,
 223, 229n3, 276
 policy implementation and influence,
 56, 203
 representation and participation,
 271, 292
 and social capital framework, 187–
 188
 transaction costs, 92, 133n41, 201–
 202, 204, 207, 209, 214
 and trust, 8–9, 88–89, 111, 113,
 131n22, 132n35, 277, 283
 voluntary, 10, 262
- Corps of Engineers. *See* Army Corps
 of Engineers
- Deference, 17, 33, 109, 116
 and trust, 87–88, 93, 117
- Democratic governance, 19
- Devil shift, 192, 193, 199, 202, 280
- Distrust
 and advocacy coalition framework
 (ACF), 190, 193–194, 196
 in alternative dispute resolution
 (ADR) literature, 276
 and analysis and deliberation
 framework (A&D), 118–119, 125
 asymmetry, 111–112
 contexts of, 93–94, 98–99, 114,
 119, 290
 dynamic trust judgments, 106, 110,
 112–113
 of government, 37, 115, 290
 and National Estuary Program
 (NEP), 218, 223
 official, 95, 97, 119
 social, 95, 98–99, 114, 119, 290
 and social capital, 188, 235
 and vigilance, 87, 89, 91–92, 117
 in Watershed Partnership Project,
 249, 253
- Ecosystem science, 37
- Effectiveness, 9–10, 19, 53, 55, 64,
 76n1, 77n10, 179, 183, 201, 261,
 275, 278, 294
 facilitator, 294
 and legitimacy, 280–285, 288
 of National Estuary Program (NEP),
 214, 223
 outcome, 80n34, 267, 294
 policy, 53, 61, 86, 88, 95, 100, 202
 policy output, 87–89, 92–93, 96,
 101, 116, 122, 277
 substantive, 46
 trust and participation, 87–89, 92–
 93, 96, 98–101, 117–118, 206
 of Watershed Partnership Project
 partnerships, 275, 278
- Efficacy, 142, 148. *See also* Personal
 efficacy, Self-efficacy
 political, 74, 272
 of watershed collaboratives, 275,
 284
- Endangered Species Act, 42, 44
- English common law, 26
- Environmental Era, 24, 36, 42, 43,
 49–51n7
- Environmental governance, 179, 261,
 264, 265
- Environmental mediation, 6, 43, 44
- E.P.A. *See* U.S. Environmental
 Protection Agency
- Fairness
 as collective action belief, 201, 214,
 217, 272
 in compensation, 72
 component of institutional
 performance, 206, 213–214
 in consideration of stakeholders'
 concerns, 60–62

- Fairness (cont.)
 goal of watershed partnerships, 209
 and National Estuary Program (NEP), 213–214, 216–217, 219–224, 276, 281–282
 of outcomes, 70–73
 procedural, 8, 53, 198, 208, 250, 281–282
 as process variable, 244
 and trust, 250
 in welfare distribution, 70–72
- Federal 1972 Water Pollution Control Act Amendments. *See* 1972 Water Pollution Control Act Amendments
- Federalism, 19, 179, 183, 261
- Federal/New Deal Era, 24, 31, 35–36, 49
- Financial optimism, 141–143, 145
- Flood Control Act of 1936, 31, 33
- Flood control, 3, 24–25, 50, 162, 164, 190
 in Environmental Era, 38
 in Federal/New Deal Era, 31–33, 36
 in Progressive Era, 27, 30
- Forest Service. *See* U.S. Forest Service
- Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 138. *See also* FOIA Amendments of 1974
- Geographic scope of management, 24
- Government in the Sunshine Act, 138
- Gridlock, 43
- Halo effect, 198, 236, 255, 280, 285, 288
- Hubbard Brook watershed study, 37
- Human capital
 aspect of civic community, 15, 173, 272
 “attributes of the community,” 177
 and participation, 268–269, 271
 and partnership formation, 181
 and trust, 117, 242, 245, 250–255, 274–275
- Hurting stalemate, 195, 243
- IAD. *See* Institutional analysis and development
- Ideology, 152, 154, 155, 159, 160, 164
- Impartial, expert civil servant, 38. *See also* Bureaucratic expert, Neutral civil servant
- Institutional analysis and development framework (IAD), 174–176, 179, 180, 183, 190, 192, 198–199n1
 and advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 190, 192, 244
 and cooperation, 179
 process rules, 244, 257n9
- Institutional rational choice (IRC), 18, 174–176, 182–185, 188, 197–199, 243
 and advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 243–244
 conflict resolution in, 185
 and cooperation, 175–176, 185
- Interest domination, 213–214, 217, 219, 221–222, 276
- Interstate compacts, 32
- IRC. *See* Institutional rational choice
- Justice, 53, 163
 and distribution of welfare, 30, 54, 66
 environmental, 67
 as moral value, 54–55, 65–66, 281
 procedural, 124, 185
 in trust judgments, 107
- Law 2514 (Washington state), 11
- Legitimacy, 5, 9, 15, 19, 86, 138, 261, 273, 276, 280–285, 289
 and analysis and deliberation (A&D) framework, 123–124
 and civic community, 73–74, 281, 285
 of collaboratives, 5–7, 13, 17, 224
 criteria for, 54, 166, 282–283
 democratic, 3, 46
 and effectiveness, 281–285, 288
 government, 33, 35, 139

- links, distinctions between
 - procedural and substantive, 55, 69, 73–74, 77n6
- participation contributes to, 53, 69, 85, 271, 281–283
- policy, 17, 88, 123
- political, 53–54, 137
- procedural, 8, 30, 54–64, 69, 123, 148, 151, 163, 165, 271, 281–283, 285, 287–288
- and representation, 56–60, 137, 281–283
- substantive, 54, 64–74, 123, 165, 277, 283–285, 287–288, 292
- and survival of collaboratives, 5, 74–76, 285–288
- Leon Creek Restoration Council, 152, 155, 159, 160, 167
- Levels of government, 23, 24, 25, 49, 267
- Litigation, 4, 6, 43, 44, 46, 50–51n7, 204
- Local knowledge, 24, 47, 50–51
- Lower Mississippi Valley Floods of 1927, 31

- Manifest Destiny Era, 24–25
- Miami Valley Floods of 1913, 31
- Model of the individual, 173, 176, 190, 192, 194
- Muddling through, 202, 209, 220, 224, 292
- Multiple Use Act of 1960, 35, 40

- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 39, 42, 43
- National Estuary Program (NEP), 18, 201, 205–225, 263–264, 267, 271, 274
 - and advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 276
 - and collective action, 203, 220–222, 276, 278
 - and conflict resolution, 209, 213–214, 220, 223, 276
 - and consensus, 214, 220
 - and cooperation, 202–203, 213–214, 216, 223, 229n3, 276
 - and distrust, 218, 223
 - effectiveness of, 214, 223
 - fairness, 213–214, 216–217, 219–224, 276, 281–282
- National Forest Management Act (NFMA), 5, 40
- National forests, 27, 28, 40
- Natural Resource Conservation Districts, 34. *See also* Soil conservation districts
- NEPA. *See* National Environmental Policy Act
- Networks
 - of reciprocity, 15 (*see also* Civic community, Civic culture, Social capital)
 - social, 73–74, 86, 181, 186, 187, 189, 234, 272
- Neutral civil servant, 28. *See also* Impartial, expert civil servant, Bureaucratic expert
- New Deal Era. *See* Federal/New Deal Era
- New Environmental Paradigm (NEP), 147, 155–156, 160, 164
- NFMA. *See* National Forest Management Act
- Norms of reciprocity, 86, 174, 186–189, 234, 244, 250, 253, 272, 279

- Operational rules, 179–180, 185
- Owens Valley (California), 29–30

- Pareto criterion, 66n37, 70n41–n42, 71n43
- Participation
 - in analysis and deliberation (A&D) framework, 124–125
 - in collaborative watershed processes, 4, 24, 56–64, 74–76, 261, 278 (*see also* Stakeholder)
 - continuity of representatives, 195
 - democratic, 8
 - in Environmental Era, 37, 42

- Participation (cont.)
 by government, 267
 inclusiveness, 202, 208–209, 216
 influence on policy output
 effectiveness, 92–93
 and legitimacy, 53, 55–57, 69, 75,
 281–283
 political, 8, 272
 reasons for, 95, 97–100, 164–165,
 269, 277
 and representation, 58–60, 137–145,
 148, 151, 159–165, 167, 265–268
 and social capital, 244, 268, 271
 stakeholder, 60–62, 64, 66, 71, 73,
 86, 109–110, 113, 116, 265–266,
 268, 271, 281, 287
 transaction costs of, 92, 117, 184
 and trust, 8–9, 85, 87–89, 91, 94–
 101, 105, 116–119, 142–143,
 168n2, 273–274, 277
 vigilant, 117, 125
 and Wise Use movement, 46
- Participation strategies, 86–89, 93,
 96, 100, 113–116
 confirmation, 96, 109–110, 116
 consultation, 97, 109–110
 facilitation, 98, 109–110
 negotiation, 99, 109–110, 116, 118
- Partnership success. *See* Watershed
 collaboratives, success of
- PCF. *See* Political contracting
 framework
- Personal efficacy, 141, 164. *See also*
 Efficacy, Self-efficacy
- Place-based management, 47
- Policy beliefs. *See* Beliefs, policy
- Policy outcomes, 15, 64, 89
 citizen preferences, 138
 effectiveness of (*see* Effectiveness,
 outcome vs. output)
 fairness of (*see* Fairness, of
 outcomes)
 influences, 17, 117, 122
 justification of, 65
 and policy outputs, 277
- Policy output effectiveness. *See*
 Effectiveness, policy output
- Political contracting framework (PCF),
 176, 179–180, 183
- Practitioners
 implications for, 71–74, 116–118,
 165–168
 recommendations for (*see*
 Recommendations for practitioners)
- Prior appropriation, 26
- Problem perceivers, 165
- Problem severity, 201, 209, 213–214,
 216, 220, 229n5
- Procedural criteria, 11, 55, 75, 282
- Progressive Era, 24, 26, 29–31, 36,
 38, 50–51n3
- Prospect theory, 165, 190
- Public lands, 28, 33, 35
- Reasonable use, 26
- Reciprocity, norms of. *See* Norms of
 reciprocity
- Recommendations for practitioners,
 289–293
- Recommendations for researchers,
 293–295
- Regulatory agencies “captured,” 38
- Representation, 261–262, 266
 citizen, 137–139, 155, 163
 concerns and criticisms, 7–8
 governmental, 25
 and legitimacy, 56–60, 137, 281–
 283
 recruitment, 148, 151–152, 159,
 165–168, 271
- Restoration projects, 233–235, 237,
 240–241, 244–246, 250, 252–255,
 257n11
- Risk acceptability, 91, 111
- Risk analysis, 119–121
- Salado Creek Foundation, 166–167
- Salado Creek Restoration Council,
 152, 155–156, 158–160, 167
- Salmon analogy, 263–264, 293, 295
- SCF. *See* Social capital framework
- Scientific expertise, 244, 250
- Scientific knowledge, 206, 213–214,
 223

- Section 319 grants, 45
- Self-efficacy, 152, 155, 163. *See also* Efficacy, Personal efficacy
- Sewage treatment, subsidies, grants, loans for, 35–36, 40–41, 51n6
- Shared values. *See* Values, shared
- Snowball technique, 140, 150, 159
- Social capital. *See also* Civic community, Civic culture, Networks of reciprocity
 “attributes of the community,” 177
 and civic engagement, 186
 definition of, 186
 influences on, 15, 95, 99–100, 173, 216, 286–287
 and legitimacy, 74
 levels of, 19, 86, 263
 as motive for participation, 268–269, 271
 in National Estuary Program (NEP), 219, 221–222, 224
 partnerships likely to form, 181, 265
 and success, 233–235, 242, 245, 250–255
 and trust, 15, 64, 88, 100, 117, 181, 202, 207, 233–236, 245, 252–255, 257n11, 285
- Social capital approach, 174, 198
- Social capital framework (SCF), 18, 186–189
- Soft systems methodology, 149
- Soil Conservation Districts, 34–36.
See also Natural Resource Conservation Districts
- Soil Conservation Service (SCS), 31, 33–34, 39
- Stakeholder
 in advocacy coalition framework (ACF), 195–196, 199, 202, 243–244
 in alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 195–196, 199
 beliefs, 163, 201–202, 205, 214, 272
 compensation of, 71–73
 conflict, disagreement, 204, 206, 208–209, 213, 216–220
 consent of, 49, 57–58, 62–64, 72, 78(n13), 281
 cooperation, 204, 207, 209
 definition of, 20n2, 66, 77n8
 governmental, 24, 47, 55–56, 211, 267
 in institutional analysis and development (IAD), 179
 in institutional rational choice (IRC), 175, 185, 244
 legislation requires involvement, 43
 multiple, 50, 104
 in National Estuary Program (NEP), 201–203, 205–206, 208, 214, 220–225, 264, 276
 nongovernmental, 24, 46, 56–57, 63, 267
 participation, 60–62, 64, 66, 71, 73, 86, 109–110, 113, 116, 265–266, 268, 271, 281, 287
 partnership formation, 180–181, 243, 264
 perceptions, 233, 236, 241, 243, 250, 255, 284
 in political contracting framework (PCF), 179–180
 representation of, 7–8, 23, 49–50, 58–60, 73, 85, 137–138, 150–152, 155, 159–165, 236–237, 262–263, 271, 281–283
 rights of, 66–69
 role of, 24, 30, 47, 50, 149, 253
 in social capital framework (SCF), 186
 testimony by, 60–62
 and trust, 85–89, 91–101, 105–125, 206–207, 234–235, 249–250, 272–277, 287
- Stakeholder participation
 constitutive, 133n39
 cooperative, 94
 defensive, 94
 enhanced, 95
 evidentiary, 133n39
 subdued, 95
- Statistical representation, 151, 159

- Studies of collaborative management,
 11–12, 293–295
 explicit theories, 12
 generalizability of conclusions, 12
 internal validity, 12
 interpretive methods, 12
 multivariate world, 12
 previous studies, 11, 294
 sampling bias, 12
 second-generation studies, 13, 289
- TCE. *See* Transaction cost economics
- Technical competence, 95, 106–108
- Technical expertise, 42
- Technical experts, 24, 291
- Technology-based standards, 41–42
- Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA),
 31–34
- The corps. *See* Army Corps of
 Engineers
- TMDL. *See* Total maximum daily
 load
- Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL),
 3, 5, 11, 43, 45–46, 265
- Transaction cost economics (TCE),
 174–176, 199n1, 201, 202, 273
- Transaction costs, 179–181, 188,
 203, 205, 244, 265, 288
 and collective action, 180, 188, 201,
 206–208, 213–214
 and cooperation, 133n41, 201–202,
 204, 207, 209, 214
 in National Estuary Program (NEP),
 213–214, 216–217, 223–224
 and participation, 92, 117, 142, 184,
 282
- Trust
 in advocacy coalition framework
 (ACF), 193, 195–196
 and agreements, 225, 234, 247, 249,
 254, 291–292
 asymmetry, 111, 112, 130n18,
 132n34, n36, 133n39
 and civic community, 8, 122, 272,
 277
 contexts, 88, 92, 101, 133n40
 and cooperation, 8–9, 88–89, 111,
 113, 131n22, 132n35, 207, 277,
 283, 290
 and deference, 87–88, 93, 117
 and effectiveness, 87–89, 92–93, 96,
 98–101, 117–118, 206
 expert, 106–108, 133n40, 134n45
 and fairness, 250
 generalized, 187, 271
 government, 86, 106–108, 115, 117,
 131n23, 133n40
 and human capital, 117, 242, 245,
 250–255, 274–275
 interpersonal, 233, 236, 242, 247,
 250
 in National Estuary Program (NEP),
 213–214, 216–223
 official, 86, 89, 91, 93, 95, 96–98,
 100–101, 108–110, 113–115,
 131n21, 132n33, 133n39
 and participation, 8–9, 85, 87–89,
 91, 94–101, 105, 116–119, 142–
 143, 168n2, 273–274, 277
 and partnership age, 247–249,
 257n11, 277
 and perceived impacts, 280
 social, 86–87, 89, 93–100, 110, 113,
 115, 132n33–133n39
 and social capital, 15, 64, 88, 100,
 117, 181, 202, 207, 233–236, 245,
 252–255, 285
 in social capital framework (SCF),
 186–189
 specific, 187, 195
 and stakeholders, 85–89, 91–101,
 105–125, 206–207, 234–235, 249–
 250, 272–277, 287
- TVA. *See* Tennessee Valley Authority
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *See*
 Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 (EPA), 20n3, n5, 21n5, n10, 24,
 39–41, 43, 45, 46, 49, 51n8, 52n9
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 34
- U.S. Forest Service, 28–29, 35, 38–40

- Values, 54–55, 65–66, 124–125, 139, 149, 163, 164, 281. *See also* Justice, Welfare
 shared, 95, 107–108, 100, 117
- Vigilance, 87–88, 91–93, 109, 113, 117
- Water management, 10, 23–25, 30–32, 36, 42, 43
- Water Pollution Control Act of 1948, 35
- Water Pollution Control Act Amendments. *See* 1972 Water Pollution Control Act Amendments
- Water Quality Act of 1965, 39, 41
- Watershed Collaboration Era, 43, 46. *See also* Collaborative Era
- Watershed collaboratives, 4, 10
 legitimacy of (*see* Legitimacy of collaboratives)
 moral evaluation of, 53–54 (*see also* Autonomy, Fairness, Justice, Welfare)
 participation in (*see* Participation in collaborative watershed processes)
 success of, 4–5, 11–12, 15–16, 18, 75, 123, 173–174, 176, 180, 183–184, 196, 224, 233–235, 237, 240, 243, 245, 251–253, 255, 271, 278, 291
 survival of, 5, 74–75, 261, 265, 285–288, 294–295
- Watershed restoration council, 137, 140, 148–149, 152–156, 158, 160, 163–164, 166, 168n4
- Weeks Act of 1911, 28–29
- Welfare, 56–57, 163, 192, 267–268, 271
 and autonomy, 61, 63
 fair distribution of (*see* Fairness, in welfare distribution)
 gains, 204, 283–284
 substantive, 30
 trade-offs of, 65–66, 70–73
 as value, 54–55, 65, 124–125, 281
- Willingness to participate, 137, 140–146, 163, 168n1
- Wise use movement, 5, 46–47, 204