

Index

- Adjusted Average per Capita Cost (AAPCC), 51, 58
- Adjusted Community Rate (ACR), 58
- Administered pricing. *See also* Medicare; Prospective Payment System (PPS); Resource Based Relative Value Scale (RBRVS)
- creates relevance for agency, 124
- errors in, 52–53, 117
- Medicare as a case study in, 20–60
- and new products, 19, 32–33
- risk adjustment contains, 169–170
- reasons for in medical care, 7–12
- tendency to contain rents, 13–17
- tendency to develop problems over time, 42
- used to improve quality, 202–204
- Administratively set medical prices
- problems related to, 13–19
- reasons for, 8–12
- Agency
- complementarity with payment incentives, 102–103
- in Chalkley-Malcolmson model, 95–96
- in Eggleston model, 126–128
- in Ellis-McGuire model, 88–93
- in for-profit and not-for-profit enterprises, 78, 96, 128
- in mental health services, 4
- maintenance of traditional medical ethics, 101–102
- and physician indebtedness, 89
- provider ethics, 4, 123–124
- and public subsidies, 124
- rationale for not-for-profit and public delivery, 4
- Any willing provider, 10
- Arrow, Kenneth J., 4–5, 82, 87, 103, 107
- Business case for quality, 202–204
- Canada, 6, 9–10, 12, 205
- Carveouts, 195–197, 200
- Chalkley-Malcolmson model of quantity of services, 93–98
- Competitive pricing, inapplicability given medical care institutions, 1, 8–13
- Cutler-Reber model of selection, 109–115
- Demand-side incentives ability to promote quality, 83–88
- Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs)
- coding accuracy, 56–57
- description, 22–24
- heterogeneity within and incentives for selection, 31–32, 123, 135–136
- inapplicability to certain hospitals, 27
- and outlier payments, 24–25, 123
- power of, 39–42
- and teaching hospitals, 25–27
- and unbundling, 34–35
- weights and regulatory lag, 32–33
- Dynamic efficiency, 19
- Economies of scale, 30–31, 35, 48
- Egalitarianism in medical care, 101–102, 185, 190, 206
- Eggleston model of selection, 124–146
- Ellis-McGuire model of quantity of services, 88–92
- Ethics. *See* Agency

- Fee-for-service pricing, 7, 22–61, 204
- Formularies, 73–74, 120
- Freedom of choice of provider, 9–10
- Friedman, Milton, 14, 17

- Germany, 3, 10, 12
- Great Britain (also United Kingdom), 3, 6, 64, 205
- Group insurance
 - pooling of heterogeneous risks in, 119
 - pricing in, 105–106, 109
 - rationale, 5

- Health Maintenance Organization (HMO)
 - basis for reimbursing physicians, 73
 - characterization of, 68–71
 - choice of network, 71–73
 - consumer choice of, 109–114
 - effects on use of services, 77, 99
 - in the Medicare program, 21, 53–54, 132–134, 171–172, 205
 - and rationing of services, 101, 183–184
 - and standardized benefit, 120
 - in traditional American delivery system, 65
- Hospitals, split decision making between physicians and administrators, 98

- Individual insurance, 17
 - equilibrium may not exist, 118
 - loadings, 62
 - preexisting condition clauses in, 107
 - selection in, 5, 135
 - supplementary insurance in Medicare, 22, 108
 - use of medical information to set premium, 106
- Inertia in plan changing, 152, 183–184
- Information
 - asymmetric, 5, 107
 - efforts to improve consumer, 83–87, 202
 - greater use of in individual than in group insurance market, 106
 - and health care institutions, 3–6, 9
 - and incentives for induced demand, 16, 82
 - poor among consumers, 4–5, 83, 202–203
 - poor among providers, 77
 - problems created for insurance, 9, 204–205
 - risk adjustment using patient reported, 174–175
 - and salaried systems, 61
 - and stinting, 82
- Integration of insurance and delivery, 63–64
- Israel, 3, 64, 205

- Keeler-Carter-Newhouse model of market equilibrium, 173–193

- Lock-in to job, 107

- Managed care
 - appropriation of provider rents, 63
 - changes over past two decades, 65–71
 - definition of, 65, 68
 - errors in pricing and growth, 60
 - instruments used by, 11, 71–77
 - in mental health, 99
 - models of, 124–146, 173–193
 - and patient freedom of choice, 10
 - role of cost sharing, 17–18, 101
 - types of, 69–71
- Managed competition and standardized benefits, 120–121
- Medical care markets, differences from standard assumptions, 3–5
- Medicaid
 - Congressional desire to increase use of capitation in, 60
 - distortion of mix of services in Medicaid plans, 136, 199
 - in Medicare formula for reimbursing health plans, 54
 - physician acceptance of patients, 49
 - supply response to variation in fees, 18, 49, 99
- Medicare. *See also* Prospective Payment System (PPS); Resource Based Relative Value Scale (RBRVS)
 - additional benefits from rents in health plan payments, 58–59
 - asymmetric information as cause of enactment, 5
 - description, 20–22
 - economies of scale, failure to consider, 30–31, 48–50
 - geographic adjusters, 49–50, 58
 - health plan reimbursement, 53–59
 - hospital reimbursement, 22–42

- interactions among various
 - reimbursement systems, 36–37
 - lessons from for pricing, 59–61
 - new entrants, 35–36
 - physician reimbursement, 42–53
 - and risk adjustment, 170–172
 - selection by hospital, 135–136
 - selection by plan, 132–135
 - Sustainable Growth Rate, 45–48
 - teaching hospital reimbursement, 25–27, 37–38
 - upcoding, 56–57
 - upper limits on benefits, 108
 - Volume Performance System, 45–48
- Mental health and managed behavioral health care 99, 136, 169, 199. *See also* Carveouts
- Moral hazard
 - and conundrum of health care financing, 204
 - and demand for medical care, 16–18, 64–67
 - and health plan reimbursement, 55–56, 167, 171, 182, 192–193
 - managed care as an instrument to reduce, 64–67
 - and prevention efforts by health plans, 143–144
 - and quality, 95
 - and supply-side cost sharing, 90–93
 - and supply-side versus demand-side cost sharing, 73, 79–83, 101
- Multitasking problem
 - and business case for quality, 94, 203
 - and measurement of hospital quality, 84
- Netherlands, 3, 64
- Networks, 11, 68–74
- Noncontractible service and Chalkley-Malcolmson model, 93
- Partial capitation. *See* Supply-side cost sharing
- Persistence in health spending over time. *See* Regression of health care spending to the mean
- Physician fees
 - incentives to underserve or stint, 38, 46, 81–83, 88–98
 - optimal fee, 43–44
 - physician response to variation in, 18–19
 - treatment of overhead costs, 50–51
 - updating, 45–48
- Physician interspecialty income differentials, 14–15, 51–52
- Point-of-Service plan (POS), 69–70
- Post-acute care, 34–37
- Power of administered price systems, 39–42
- Preferred Provider Organization (PPO), 69–70, 109–114
- Prevention, 77, 144–145
- Price competition
 - among integrated insurance plans, 68
 - in provider markets, 11–12, 63
 - in traditional insurance markets, 10–11, 63
- Principal Inpatient Procedure-Diagnostic Cost Groups (PIP-DCGs), 55, 199
- Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), 172
- Prospective Payment System (PPS)
 - caused reduced use of services, 28–29
 - description, 22–28
 - and incentives for selection, 31–32, 123
 - outlier payments, 24–25
 - pathologies, 28–38
 - teaching hospital adjustment, 25–27, 37–38
- Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALYs), 177
- Quality of health care
 - business case for quality, 202–204
 - consumer ability to assess, 4–5
 - control for in estimating cost, 52
 - coordination problems, 76–77, 204
 - demand-side incentives for, 83–88
 - incentive for health plan to improve, 69, 202–204
 - information about, 83–84
 - as noncontractible service, 82, 93–98
 - optimal, 79
 - and payment for care, 41, 82–83, 99
 - potential to improve, 73–77
 - reputation effects, 85–86
- Regression of health care spending to the mean, 153–156
- Rent-seeking behavior, 38
- Rents in medical prices, 12–19, 38, 46, 52–53, 59–60

- Resource Based Relative Value Scale (RBRVS), 42–53
- Risk adjustment
 adaptation of behavior to, 165–166
 and clinical treatment, 169–170
 complementarity with reinsurance, 173–176
 demographic adjusters, 160–161
 diagnosis-based adjusters, 161–169
 evaluation of various risk-adjustment methods, 151–153
 in Eggleston model, 131–132
 in Medicare, 54–57, 170–172
 and market equilibrium, 177–196
 optimal, 195–200
 outlier schemes, 158–160, 183, 192
 and patient-reported information, 172–173
 retrospective versus prospective, 166–169
 with patient-reported information, 172–173
- Rothschild-Stiglitz model of health insurance market, 5, 118–120
- Salary payments, 61
- Selection
 and carveouts, 193–195
 from consumer actions with passive suppliers, 105–115
 costs of, 156–157
 in Cutler-Reber model, 109–115
 in Eggleston model, 124–146
 empirical evidence of, 107–109, 113–114, 132–136
 and enrollment period, 57, 148–149
 greater vulnerability with capitation payments, 61
 in Keeler-Carter-Newhouse model, 177–193
 not attributable to regulation, 105–106
 and outlier schemes, 160–162
 potential profits from, 149, 154, 164–166, 174–176
 privately optimal amount, 124–128
 in Shen-Ellis model, 200–202
 from supply-side actions, 117–146
 welfare loss, 114–115, 121–123
- Skewness of health care spending, 147–149
- Sticky prices, 15
- Stinting or underservice
 in Chalkley-Malcolmson model, 93–98
 and dispute resolution, 100
 in Ellis-McGuire model, 88–93
 empirical evidence of, 99–100
 greater vulnerability with capitation, 61
- Supply-side cost sharing
 in Eggleston model of selection, 127–131
 in models of stinting, 88–98
 and pricing errors, 176
 simulation results supporting, 191–193
 Switzerland, 3, 64
- Technological change, 19, 32–33
- Time inconsistency in health insurance, 106–107
- Unbundling, 34–35
- Variable Cost Insurance, 12–13
- Welfare economics
 applicability of conventional, 66, 100–101
 estimates of welfare loss from selection, 114–115, 185–193
 estimates of welfare loss from varying cost sharing, 190–193