

Index

-
- Aboutness (of beliefs), 77–78, chap. 5
 passim
causal theory of, 13, 77, 126–129,
 187–188n14
grades of, 125–132
Acquaintance, 14, 17, 94–95, 130, 174,
 181n17, 199n10
and the *de re*, 94–95, 121, 130, 187n6
Adequacy conditions for a theory of
 knowing who, 6–8
Alienation, 153, 193n20
Alt, W., 190n1
Altruism, 162–166, 196–197n21
Ambiguity
 (alleged) of verbs such as “know” and
 “believe,” 190n32
 of knowing-who ascriptions (alleged and
 real), 5–6, 7, 18–21, 42, 179n3
 of knowledge and belief ascriptions due
 to duality of schemes (*see* Sentential
 roles, semantical (or truth-theoretic)
 versus conceptual; Two-schematism)
 of “really” as attached to knowing-who
 locutions, 39
Amis, K., 192n18
Amnesia, 141, 153, 162, 163, 174, 177,
 193n21, 193n22, 193n23
Arnaud, R., 183n2
Attributive constructions as stopping the
 regress of “who” questions, 24–28, 30–
 34
Attributive names, 24–25
Attributive uses of singular terms. *See*
 Referential/attributive distinction
Austin, J. L., 63
Bach, K., 188n25, 189n28
Baier, K., 197n30
Baker, C. L., 180n4
BELIEVE, introduction of, 76
 postulate revised to accommodate *de re*
 ascriptions, 118
Belnap, N., 29, 186n15
Benn, S. I., 154
Bentham, J., 194n2
Bertolet, R., 181n21
Blackburn, S., 183n2
Blum, L., 163, 194n1, 196n20, 197n24
Buchanan, A., 197n7
Burge, T., 122, 133–134, 185n16, 190n35,
 190n36, 198n2
Canonical idiom, 8, 56–61, 68
Castaneda, H.-N., 78, 140–144, 172–176,
 179n1, 186n18, 190n1, 190–191n2,
 191n4, 198n5, 199n14, 199n16, 200n24,
 200n25
Categorematic expression under analysis
 (CEUA), introduction of, 58. *See also*
 Sentence under analysis; Predicate tokening of, 58
Causal theory of reference. *See* Aboutness
 (of beliefs), causal theory of
Character (in Kaplan’s sense), 146
Chastain, C., 182n6
Chisholm, R. M., 187n4, 191n3
Clark, R., 198n5
Clerk metaphor. *See* Questions and
 answers, “filing” account of “who”
Collective/distributive distinction
 as applied to knowing who, 100–106
Colon quotes, 77, 89–90
Computational paradigm, 77
Computational role of an expression. *See*
 Conceptual role

- Conceptual change, geometric example of, 54
- Conceptual role, 52–55, 63, 71–72, 80–81, 121, 183n6. *See also* Sentential roles, semantical (or truth-theoretic) versus conceptual
- Content of belief, 129
- Conveying information, 27–28
- Cooper, R., 184n9
- Cresswell, M. J., 180n4, 185n17, 185n4, 185n5
- Darwall, S., 198n36
- Davidson, D., 50–52, 170, 181n25, 183n1, 183n2
- De dicto* ascriptions of knowledge and belief, chaps. 4, 5 *passim*, 190n33
- Definite descriptions, 18–20, 25–26, 29–32. *See also* Singular terms
“frozen,” 29–30
“near miss,” 188n20
world-indexed, 126
- Deixis. *See* Indexicals and tense
- Dennett, D. C., 133, 189n28, 190n31, 191n10
- Denotation, 12–13. *See also*
Representation; Singular terms (bare) semantical, 112
relativized to utterance contexts, 58–59
- De re* ascriptions of knowledge and belief, 94–96, chap. 5 *passim*, 188–189n25
and acquaintance, 94–95
and the *de se*, 141–142, 144–149, 177
and knowing who, 96, 132–133, 180n8, 188n24, 189n29, 189–190n30 (*see also* Teleological parameter (underlying knowing-who ascriptions), as differing from that controlling *de-re*-ness or quantifying in)
misconceptions regarding, 132–134, 189n28
and modality *de re*, 188–189n25
and parataxis, 115–118
- Descartes, R., 139, 178, 190n1
- De se* ascriptions of knowledge and belief, chal. 6 *passim*. *See also* Self-regarding attitudes
- Designation. *See* Denotation; Singular terms
- Devitt, M., 181n21, 181n23, 187n14, 188–189n25, 189n26
- Direct reference, 128–132, 188n24
causal theory of (*see* Aboutness (of beliefs), causal theory of)
- Display samples, complement clauses as. *See* Parataxis (underlying *oratio obliqua*)
- Donnellan, K., 18, 127, 179n1, 181n18, 181n20, 188n20
- Donnellan’s distinction. *See*
Referential/attributive distinction
- Dot quotes, 69–70, 183n1
applied to *de re* constructions, 119–121
use of “I” within, 145–146
- Dowty, D., 184n9
- Egoism, ethical, 161–166, 196–197n21, 197n31
- Empathy, 159–161, 195n11, 195n12
- Epistemic logic, 3, chap. 8 *passim*, 198n1, 200n24
- Essences and essentialism, 14–15, 46, 155–156, 165, 194n31, 198n35. *See also* Haecceities
- Exemplars, complement clauses as. *See* Parataxis (underlying *oratio obliqua*)
- Factive complements. *See* Colon quotes; FACTTHAT
- FACTTHAT, introduction of, 76
postulate for, 76
postulate revised to accommodate *de re* ascriptions, 117–118
- Feminism, 153–155, 193n24, 193n29
- Fictional characters, 39–40, 90, 108, 134, 182n8
- Field, H., 77, 191n8
- Filing analogy. *See* Questions and answers, “filing” account of “who”
- First person, the, chap. 6 *passim*
- Fodor, J. A., 54, 77, 183n6, 186n9, 191n8
- Fotion, N., 194n5
- Fragment F⁺⁺ of the canonical idiom, introduction of, 57
- Frege, G., 43, 77, 81, 112, 128
- Geach, P., 78, 153, 190n2
- Gewirth, A., 161, 194n5, 196n16
- Golden Rule, 157, 160–161, 194n5
- Goodman, B., 195n12, 197n33
- Goodman, N., 103, 186n17
- Grammar. *See* Syntax

- Grice, H. P., 114
 Groenendijk, J., 180n4
- Haecceities, 155–156, 165, 194n31, 198n35. *See also* Essences and essentialism
 Hare, R. M., 157–158, 160–161, 194n5, 195n7
 Harman, G., 43, 182n9, 183n6
 Harsanyi, J. C., 195n7
 Herman, B., 197n25
 Hill, T. E., Jr., 193n25, 198n36
 Hintikka, K. J. J., 8–11, chap. 8 *passim*, 179n1, 180n4, 180n6, 180n7, 181n19, 182n2, 189n29, 198n4, 198n5, 198n6, 198n9, 199n10, 199n13, 199n16, 199n18, 200n24, 200n25
 his dual reading of quantifiers, 172, 198n4, 198n6
 his rule (C.ind=), 173, 199n11
 Hirschbühler, P., 180n4
 Hubin, D. C., 195n7
 Hull, R. D., 180n4
- "I," chap. 6 *passim*
 "Identifying with" others, 159–161
 "Identity" of a person (not in the sense of persistence through time), 4, 46, 152–156. *See also* Pronouns, serving as identity locutions
 "If I were you," 156, 159–160
 Impartialism (in moral theory), 156, chap. 7 *passim*, 194n2
 Implications (felt) of knowing-who locutions, 7, 13–14, 16–17, 90–93, 99–100
 Important names, 15–17, 22, 40–46
 Important predicates, 43–46
 Incest, 154, 193n27
 Indexical rigidity, 59, 62, 72–73
 Indexicals and tense, 54–55, 55–56, 58–59, 62, 72–73, 133–134, 184n12
 Indices (in truth definitions), 58–61
 Indirect discourse, chaps. 3–5 *passim*.
 Institutional sense of knowing who, 107–108, 182n5, 186n19
 Intentionality. *See* Aboutness (of beliefs)
 Irreducibility thesis (anent self-regarding attitudes), 141–145, 191n4, 191n7, 191–192n11
 two-schematism applied to, 142–147
- Kalin, J., 197n24
 Kant, I., 157, 159, 163, 194n1, 194n2, 195n11, 195n12, 196n13
 Kaplan, D., 9, 11–17, 121, 125, 128, 130, 146, 180n12, 180n13, 180n14, 180n15, 187n8, 188n16, 189n27, 192n15
 Karttunen, L., 180n4
 Kekes, J., 197n24
 Kenny, A., 179n1
 "Ken" relations, 129–131
 Kilgore, C., 186n18
 KNOW, introduction of, 76
 postulate revised to accommodate *de re* ascriptions, 118
 Kraut, R., 155–156, 189n27, 189n29, 194n30, 197n22, 199n18
 Kripke, S., 15–16, 28–29, 78–81, 111, 128, 144, 179n1, 181n16, 181n21, 188n16, 188n20
 Kripke's Puzzle, 78–82, 111, 144
 Kvart, I., 188n24
- Lakoff, G., 194n33
 Language games, 63, 80–81. *See also* Conceptual role
 Language of thought, 12–13, 15, 16, 19, 40–46, 77–78, 180n13, 182n9, 183n11, 185–186n7
 Latitudinarianism, 114, 120–121, 131–132, 171, 187n4, 187n5, 189n27
 and two-schematism, 120–121
 Lenzen, W., 199n13
 Lewis, C. I., 79
 Lewis, D., 130, 185n17, 190n38, 191n3, 191n8
 Lexical presumption, 144–145
 Loar, B., 185n7, 188n18, 192n14
 Lockwood, M., 179n1, 181n18, 182n2
 Logical form, 8, 49, 56–57
 and parataxis, 66
 of "who" clauses, 82–88
 Loyalty, 196–197n21
- MacKay, T., 186n11
 McCawley, J., 182n9
 McDowell, J., 183n2
 McGinn, C., 183n2
 Marcus, R., 186n11
 Massey, G., 186n16
 Mayes, A., 193n22

- Meaning, 55, 183–184n8
 Medlin, B., 197n30
Mentalese. *See* Language of thought
 Methodological solipsism, 54, 133, 183n6
 Micheldene, R., 192n18
 Millianism versus Russellianism, 80, 174
 Mill, J. S., 80, 174
 Mode of presentation. *See* Representation
 Montague, R., 56, 180n4, 183n4, 184n9,
 184n12. *See also* Universal Grammar
 Moral reasons, chap. 7 *passim*, 196n21,
 197n22. *See also* Two-schemism, and
 moral reasons
 reflexive versus impersonal, 161–166,
 196n21, 197n22
 “subjective”/“objective,” 161
 Morton, A., 188n22
 Munsat, S., 185n4
- Nadel, L., 193n22
 Nagel, T., 161–164, 190n1, 191n7,
 191–192n11, 195n7, 195n8
 Nemiah, J. C., 193n21, 193n22
 Neu, J., 193n27
 Nomenclature (NOM), 40–44
 Nonexistent individuals, 134. *See also*
 Fictional characters
 Nozick, R., 166, 194n2
- Ockham, William of, 75
 Oldenquist, A., 163, 193n20, 196n18,
 196n19, 196n21, 196n23, 196n24,
 198n36
 Oneself, chap. 6 *passim*, 193n28
 Opacity. *See* Referential opacity
 O’Shaughnessy, B., 192n19
 Overbey, B., 195n8
- Parameter of knowing who. *See* Purpose
 relativity of knowing who; Teleological
 parameter (underlying knowing-who
 ascriptions)
- Parafactive sentence under analysis (PSUA),
 introduction of, 64
 Parataxis (underlying *oratio obliqua*), 50–52,
 63–65
 and the *de re*, 115–118
 and illocutionary force, 50–51, 83
 and logical form, 66
 and “who” clauses, 82–85
 Parsons, T., 184n9
- Pastin, M., 114, 187n4
 Patriotism, 162–166, 196n21
 Perry, J., 78, 143–144, 151, 191n3, 191n8,
 191n10
 Personalism (in ethics), 163–166, 197n32
 Persons, in the moral sense, chap. 7 *passim*,
 194n31
 inviolability of, 166–167, 194n2, 198n34,
 198n35
 “Perspectival facts” (as surds in nature),
 142–143, 150, 200n22
 Platinga, A., 126, 189n27
 Platts, M., 183n2
 Pollock, J., 181n24, 190n38
 Possible worlds, 11, 77
 Postema, G., 198n36
 Pragmatics, 183n4
 Predicate abstract under analysis (PAUA),
 introduction of, 58. *See also* Sentence
 under analysis
 Predicative conception of knowing who, 46
 Prior, A., 179n1, 179n3, 180n8
 Privacy, 154, 193n26
 Privileged names, 11–17
 Progenitor (of a sentential complement),
 56, 62, 64, 69, 145
 Projects and purposes, 6, 17
 Promiscuous predicates, 103–106. *See also*
 Collective/distributive distinction as
 applied to knowing who
 Pronouns, 25, 32–33. *See also* Singular
 terms
 serving as identity locutions, 155–156,
 193n28
 Proper names, 20, 80, 186n13. *See also*
 Attributive names; Singular terms
 as figuring in Kripke’s Puzzle, 80
 Public figures, 26–27. *See also* Institutional
 sense of knowing who
 Purpose relativity of knowing who, 3–6,
 13. *See also* Projects and purposes
 contrasted with purpose relativity of *de re*-ness, 132–133
 Putnam, H., 53–54, 183n5, 183n6, 183n7
- Quantifying into doxastic and epistemic
 contexts, 8–10, chap. 5 *passim*, 131–132, chap. 8 *passim*, 180n7, 180–181n15
 Questions and answers, 23–37, 84–85, 98,
 186n15

- "filing" account of "who," 34–39, 44, 86–87
- presuppositions of questions, 98, 186n14
- pseudo—"who" questions, 28–29, 32
- regress of "who" questions, 24–28
- Quine, W. V., 9–10, 11, 14–15, 112–113, 130, 134, 180n7, 187n3, 189n27, 189n29, 189–190n30, 190n32
- Railton, P., 197n24
- Rapport, 13–14, 129–131
- Rawls, J., 158–161, 163, 166, 194n2, 195n7, 195n8
- Reasons. *See* Moral reasons; Sentential roles, semantical (or truth-theoretic) versus conceptual, and reasons for acting
- Referential/attributive distinction, 17–21, 42–46, 73, 139–140, 169–170, 181n20 discarded, 73, 93–96
- Referential designators, 15–16, 29, 181n18, 188n20. *See also* Rigid designation; Singular terms
- Referential opacity, 7, 9, 11, 17–21, 51, 55, 71–73, 169–170. *See also* Singular terms explained by two-schemism, 71–73
- Regimentation, 7–8, 22
- Reiman, J. H., 193n26
- Representation, 12–14, 77–82, 129, 181n17. *See also* Denotation as supplanting "mode of presentation," not figuring in truth conditions, 128
- Representationalism, 75, 77–82, 111–112, 185–186n7 arguments for, 77–82, 111–112 and sententialism, 75
- Rights, 198n34. *See also* Persons, in the moral sense, inviolability of
- Rigid designation, 15–16, 125–132, 181n16, 181n17. *See also* Indices, indexical rigidity; Referential designators; Singular terms
- Roles, semantical and conceptual, of complement sentences. *See* Sentential roles, semantical (or truth-theoretic) versus conceptual
- Russell, B., 8, 18, 30, 43, 80, 94–95, 125–126, 128, 130, 169, 174, 187n6, 194n3
- Sandel, M., 196–197n21, 197n24
- SAY, introduction of, 51
- postulate for, 66–67
- Scanlon, T. M., 195n11
- Schiffer, S., 187n5, 187n6, 188n23
- Schumm, G. F., 181n22, 198n4
- Scott, D., 184n12
- Searle, J., 190n31, 190n33
- Self, the, chap. 6 *passim*
- Self-regarding attitudes, chap. 6 *passim* and epistemic logic, 173–177, 200n24
- Sellars W., 19, 52, 62, 69, 73, 75, 77, 170, 183n1, 198n5
- Semantical roles of complement sentences. *See* Sentential roles, semantical (or truth-theoretic) versus conceptual
- Sense data, 130, 174
- Sentence under analysis (SUA), introduction of, 58. *See also* Paratactic sentence under analysis; Predicate abstract under analysis
- Sententialism. *See* Representationalism, and sententialism
- Sentential roles, semantical (or truth-theoretic) versus conceptual, 52–55, 61–63, 142, 191n8
- distinction of explains referential opacity, 71–73, 78–82, 92–93
- distinction of solves Kripke's Puzzle, 78–82
- as figuring in truth conditions, 67–68 and the irreducibility thesis, 142–147, 191n4, 191–192n11
- mutual independence of, 53–55
- and reasons for acting, 162–163
- and role markers SEM and CON, 59, 18n13
- salience of, 52, 55, 71
- as splitting the traditional notion of a "proposition," 129, 143–145, 191n10
- Shapiro, S., 182n8, 190n37
- Sidgwick, H., 158
- Silverstein, H., 196n15
- Singular propositions, 125, 128–129, 131
- Singular terms 3, 7, 20, 23–24. *See also* Definite descriptions; Denotation; Privileged names; Pronouns; Referential designators; Referential opacity; Rigid designation; Vivid names
- Sleigh, R. C., 172–173, 187n18, 198n5, 198n8, 199n12
- Sober, E., 186n15
- Sosa, E., 114, 187n4, 188n22, 189n27

- Stalnaker, R., 194n32
- Stampe, D., 182n2, 182n3, 182n5
- Steel, T. B., 186n15
- Stich, S., 190n31, 191n8
- Stine, G., 171, 172, 173, 179n1, 198n4, 198n5, 198n6, 199n12
- Stockert, M., 163, 197n24, 197n29
- Stokhof, M., 180n4
- Substitutivity. *See* Referential opacity
- Syntax, 8, 49, 55–56, 179–180n4, 184n15
- Tarski, A., 58, 165
- Teleological parameter (underlying knowing-who ascriptions), 7, 14, 16, 19, 170. *See also* Purpose relativity of knowing who
- as differing from that controlling *de-re*-ness or quantifying in, 132–133, 171–172
- effects of shift in, 21, 173
- Teleological relativity of knowing who. *See* Purpose relativity of knowing who; Teleological parameter (underlying knowing-who ascriptions)
- Test cases. *See* Implications (felt) of knowing-who locutions
- Tests for knowing who, 3–6, 10–11
- “That” clauses, chaps. 3–5 *passim*
- complements of “that” clauses as display samples (*see* Parataxis (underlying *oratio obliqua*))
- as reflecting parataxis (*see* Parataxis (underlying *oratio obliqua*))
- THAT, introduction of, 51
- postulate for, 67
- postulate revised to accommodate *de re* ascriptions, 116–117
- THE-WHO-OF-IT, introduction of, 83
- postulate for, 87–88
- postulate revised, 105, 123–124
- Thomas, L., 198n36
- Thomas, M., 193n29
- Thomason, R., 199n18
- Titles. *See* Institutional sense of knowing who
- “To” as complementizer, 162–163
- Truth conditions. *See* Logical form
- for constructions in *oratio obliqua*, 67–68
- for *de re* constructions, 118–121
- for “who” constructions, 88–93
- Truth-theoretic format for semantics, 58–61, 184n9, 184n10
- applied to parataxis, 63–65
- order of procedure, 59–60
- Truth-theoretic roles of complement sentences. *See* Sentential roles, semantical (or truth-theoretic) versus conceptual
- Two-schemism, 120, 129, 135, 144, 162. *See also* Sentential roles, semantical (or truth-theoretic) versus conceptual and the *de se*, 142–147, 191n4 and epistemic logic, 170, 175–177 and latitudinarianism, 120–121 and moral reasons, 162–166 splits the traditional notion of a “proposition,” 129, 143–145
- Uniqueness of individuating descriptions, 19–20, 28–29
- Universal Grammar, 56, 184n9
- Universalizability (in ethics), chap. 7 *passim*, 194n5
- fanatic problem, 158, 167, 194n5
- Utilitarianism, 158, 166–167, 194n2, 195–196n13
- Utility, 158–159, 166–167, 194n2
- Van Inwagen, P., 181n18, 182n8
- Vendler, Z., 186n9
- Vivid names, 11–14, 180n14. *See also* Privileged names
- Wallace, J., 188n17
- White, S. L., 192n14
- Williams, B., 163–164, 166, 167, 195n9, 197n24, 197n25, 197n26
- Wilshire, B., 192n19
- Wolf, S., 166, 197n24, 197n26
- Wolff, R. P., 195n7, 195n8, 195n10