ing System

Acquisitions systems, 9, 29, 64, 131, 187-188 Administrative responsibility, 94-97, 98, 102, 233-235 Administrative Terminal System (ATS), 137 Advantages of library automation, 101 Airlie House Conference on Networks (1970), 120 ALGOL, 87 Algorithmic languages, 205	developmental tasks, 5 National Science Foundation support, 5, 6 phasing and scheduling, 8-10 systems design features, 7, 8 University Computation Center support, 6 Bindery control, 188 Biomedical Communications Network, 171-182 control, 184 Library Services Control, 174
American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 133	Library Services Component, 173- 176 passim
Assembly language, 7, 88	major components, 173
Association of Research Languages	network engineering plan, 180
(ARL), 151, 152	objectives, 172, 173, 182
Authority files, 134	regional access centers, 175 requirements, 179, 180
BALLOTS project (Stanford Uni-	system design elements, 177
versity), 42-51	technical development plan, 180, 181
background and relation to SPIRES,	Book card and label production, 8, 9
42-44 (see also SPIRES/BAL-	Book catalogs, 134
LOTS project) major system design features, 46-48	Book Processing System, (University of Chicago), 183-192
phasing and scheduling, 48-51	basic Phase I design, 189
use of MARC files, 44-47	bindery shipment control, 188
BALLOTS I (prototype system), 44,	card production, 187
45, 212–213	data input, 186
BALLOTS II (production system), 45,	MARC II data, 188
46, 48 Basic problems, 119, 120	multiprogram phasing, 191, 192 operational computer programs, 189
BATAB system (Baker & Taylor Co.),	print train, 189
115	programming phases, 189-191
Batch processing, 194, 195	purchase orders, 187
BCN. See Biomedial Communications	software system, 189
Network Pibliographic Automation of Large	tele-processing software, 186 Budgeting, 5, 14, 23-24, 43, 99, 149-
Bibliographic Automation of Large Library Operations Using a Time-	151. See also Economic and fi-
Sharing System, See BALLOTS	nancial aspects
project	Business oriented languages, 205
Bibliographic data	
in library automation, 183	Catalana I I II a catalana
permanent files, 16, 17, 125, 126 (see also Data encoding; MARC)	Catalog card production, 8, 184, 186- 187
Bibliographic data processing system	Cataloging in Publication (CIP), 142
(University of Chicago), 5-10,	Cataloging proof file, 16
122, 123. See also Book Process-	Cataloging systems, 8, 30, 64, 135,
ing System	183

Cataloging proof file, 16 Cataloging systems, 8, 30, 64, 135, 183

Chicago, The University of, Libraries, Cross-referenced files, 76 3, 5-10. See also Bibliographic CRT terminals, 67, 223 data processing system; Book CUMARC data file, 8 Processing System CIP. See Calaloging in Publication Data banks, 147-148 CLSD. See Collaborative Library Sys-Data encoding, 74-83, 186, 222-223 tems Development project Data management system, 123-125 COBOL, 87, 88 design parameters, 127, 128 Collaborative Library Systems Developgeneralization of, 126, 127 ment project (CLSD), 162, 169, levels of utilization, 129 187, 226-236 Digest of Public Bills, 137 Columbia University Libraries, 11-30 Disadvantages of library automation, budgeting, 14, 15, 23, 24 100, 101 current projects, 15, 25-28 Display terminals. See CRT terminals file design, 15-17 Dissemination of information, 227 hardware, 17, 18 by written documentation, 228, 231 organization and staffing, 13, 14 Documentation, 228, 231 phasing and scheduling, 20 Dollar commitment, 99 products and services, 19, 20 Dura paper tape typewriters. See Paper program modules, 16, 29, 30 tape typewriters Commercial services, 110–117 Commitment to automation pro-Economic and financial aspects, 14, grams, 98 15, 23, 122, 129, 149–151, 155, dollars, 99 197–199, 208, 217, 218, 222, manpower, 98, 99 223. See also Cost space, 99, 100 ENCODE Module, 29 Experimental background, 226, 227 Compatibility of hardware systems, 232 Computer costs, 199. See also Cost FAUL. See Five Associated University Computer file organization. See File Libraries organization Fees for interlibrary services, 150 Computer hardware, 72, 194, 231-232 File management system, 123-129, 221 Computer programs, 29-30, 189-192 File organization, 123, 140, 219-221 Computer service, centralization, 200 Financial constraints. See Cost; Eco-Computer software, 72, 128-129, 194nomic and financial aspects 197, 203-211 Fiscal File, 16 Consortium approach, 106-109 Fiscal module, 29 Consultant services, 110-112 Five Associated University Libraries Content designators, 133 (FAUL), 106. See also Con-Cooperation, inter- and intrainstitusortium approach tional, 119, 120. See also Con-Flexowriter. See Paper tape typewriters sortium approach; Cooperative Format recognition, 132, 133 ventures; NELINET project FORTRAN, 87-89 Cooperative ventures, 149, 226. See Funding, 129, 154-155 also Consortium approach; FAUL; NELINET project Copyright office, 138 Hardware and software in library ap-Cost, 23-24, 146, 149, 155, 193, 197plications, 72-74 198, 213, 217-218. See also Eco-Higher-level programming languages, nomic and financial aspects 88, 205. See also FORTRAN: Criteria for operating systems, 206, 207 PL/1 language

IEBGENER computer program, 84-86

Process Information File, 135, 136 Inefficient machine file processing, 122 production of book catalogs, 134 In-house development, 98-101 Library Systems Design, 7, 15-17, 46-In-process file, 16, 46 48, 55-57 IN-PROCESS program (Columbia Uni-LIBRICON (commercial service), 115 versity), 29 List processing languages, 205 INQUIRE (commercial service), 115 Lister Hill National Center for Bio-Integrated technical service systems, medical Communication, 171, 3 - 68174-176. See also Biomedical Chicago, 5-10 Communications Network Columbia, 11-41 Logistical problems, 229 Stanford, 42-68 Low-level language, 88 Interinstitutional cooperation. See Consortium approach; Cooperative Machine-based national data bank, 166 ventures; NELINET project Macroinstructions, 205 International Nuclear Information Magnetic tapes, 114 System (INIS), 133 Management Information System, 138 Interrupt system for machine schedul-Management problems, 233-235 ing, 204 Manpower commitment, 98, 99 MARC, 4-6, 15, 16, 19, 21, 27, 142-Job control language (JCL), 203, 204 BALLOTS project, 44-47 Key Logic machine, 75, 81 Distribution Service, 131 Keypunching input, 74 Library of Congress program, 134-136 Language and jargon, 230, 231 National Libraries, 164, 166, 167, LC. See Library of Congress 169 Liaison with national agencies, 228 University of Chicago project, 8-10, Library commitment to major auto-188 mation programs, 94-97 MARC SEARCH/MARC PROC module, commercial service approach, 112-30 MASFILE, 106 consortium approach, 106-109 Mass storage, 197, 198 independent development, 98-101 NAL. See National Agricultural Library interinstitutional approach, 102-105 Library of Congress, automation pro-National Agricultural Library, 162, gram, 130-143 163, 167, 168, 170 National Commission on Libraries and Administration Terminal System, 137 Information Science, 157 cataloging-in-publication (CIP) pilot project, 135 National coordination, 151-153, 156, in collaboration of national libraries, 160, 167, 168, 170 National libraries, 160-163. See also Computer Service Center, 139 National Libraries Task Force on consolidation of indexes, 135 Automation National Libraries Task Force on Auto-Copyright office, 138 file organization study, 140 mation, 136, 160-170 format recognition, 132 Advisory Committee, 162 MARC project (see MARC) compatibility problems, 164 objectives, 130 control over technical report litera-Order Division, 131 ture, 165 photocomposition devices, 134 Descriptive Catalog Group, 164, 165

National Libraries Task Force on Auto-Political problems, 120 mation (continued) Program library, 204 elimination of duplication of effort, Programming languages, 83-90, 204-168, 169 206 immediate targets, 168, 169 Project scheduling and management, long-range planning, 168 20-21, 48-51, 100, 196, 200machine-readable cataloging, 164 201, 232 National Serials Data Program, 166 problem areas and working groups, Query language, 221, 222 161, 162 serials, 166 RECON pilot project, 132 services provided, 163 Record and file design, 76-83 short-range planning, 167, 168 Regional Medical Library, 120 standardization, 163-167 Systems Working Group, 167 Scheduling, automatic versus priority, National Library of Medicine, 160 207, 208 Biomedical Communications Net-Selective Dissemination of Information work, 174, 175 (SDI), 208 in collaboration with National Li-Serials control, 108, 136, 162 braries, 163, 164, 167, 168, 170 Service bureau, 106-107 National network, 120 Single-key access methods, 123 National Serials Data Program, 166 SKED, 134, 136, 139 SNAP, 87, 88 National Union Catalog of Manuscript Collections, 133 SNOBOL, 205 NELINET project, 102–105 Software systems. See Computer softbackground, 102, 103 effects of cooperative approach, 103 Sort-key building program. See SKED internal relationships, 104 Space commitment, 99, 100 Networks, 148, 150, 171-182 SPIRES. See Stanford Public Informa-New England Library Information Nettion Retrieval System work. See NELINET SPIRES/BALLOTS project (Stanford New York State Union List of Serials, University), 52-63 120, 121 BALLOTS I and SPIRES I, 54, 55 NLM. See National Library of Medicine BALLOTS II and SPIRES II, goals, NYSILL, 120 57-59 shared facilities, 59 OCR input, 75 system development, 55-57 On-line debugging, 89 Spooling systems, 204, 208 On-line versus off-line systems, 98 Staffing, 6, 13-14, 42-43, 98 Operating system, 203 Standard calendar date code, 165 Operations problems in university Standard character set for magnetic computing centers, 207, 208 tape, 165 Standards, 89, 120, 163 Optical character recognition. See OCR Standard serial number (SSN), 121 ORBIT II, 114, 115 Standard utility computer program, 83 Packaged programs, 114-116, 124 Stanford Public Information Retrieval Paper tape typewriters, 74, 75, 81 System, 52, 58, 212-225. See Personnel, 199, 200, 202 also SPIRES/BALLOTS project PL/1 language, 205, 206 cost effectiveness study, 222, 223 Planning Council (CLSD), structure and file organization, 219-221

implementation, 218, 219

composition, 229

Stanford Public Information Retrieval
System (continued)
major goals, 212-214
operating costs, 217, 218
query language, 221, 222
scope, 215
Stanford University Libraries, 3, 42-63.
See also BALLOTS project;
SPIRES/BALLOTS project
Structure of collaborative effort, 228, 229
SUNY Biomedical Communication, 120
Systems Design, 31-42, 55-57, 177, 231

Technical meetings, 229, 230
Technical problems, 230, 231
Technical services, 3-4
Technology, 148-150
Terminals, 140, 223
Three R's program, 120
Time sharing, 47, 214-215
Transferability, 70-71, 101, 232, 236
Typewriter terminals versus display terminals, 223

Underestimation of complexity, 119 User Services, 146, 154, 212-214