Boghossian, Paul, 15, 183–184, 189–191, 278, 285 B-rational, 184–189 Brewer, Bill, 238 Burge, Tyler, 163–164, 175–178

Campbell, John, 180, 206–210, 213–214
Circular, 243–244, 258–260, 269. See also Conditional analysis
Closure
of knowledge, 239, 241–243, 288–289, 304–307
of warrant, 239, 305–307
Conditional analysis, 246–257, 306
Consequence problem, 234–237, 310. See also Reductio argument

Davies, Martin, 31, 234, 236, 238–244, 257–269, 288, 303–306 DeRose, Keith, 238 Descriptive model of thought. *See* Thinking of an object, by description

Descriptive thought. See Thinking of an object, by description

Descriptive version of antiindividualism, 16, 85, 114, 116, 126, 151

and the discrimination argument, 38–39, 137

and the reductio, 279–283, 285, 292

Discrimination argument, 26–28, 37–73, 75–77, 83–97, 100, 103–106, 110–117, 135–137, 154, 309

standard response to, 26–27, 43–73, esp. 43–45, 309
Discriminative abilities and knowledge, 26–28, 41–42, 44–47, 59–73, 75, 83, 93–96, 109–110, 136, 154, 310

and knowledge of thought, 26–28, 38, 40, 44, 58, 69–71, 75–77, 83–85, 88–91, 96, 110–113, 116, 136–138, 309 required for thought, 9, 28, 73, 76–96, 98, 106–109, 111, 136, 215–217, 223–224 and thought, 26–28, 38, 40, 44, 48–59, 69–77, 84–106, 110–113, 116, 136–138, 140, 309 Distinguishing kinds, 108–110, 144 Distinguishing objects, 9, 28, 77–89, 92, 98, 106–111, 215, 223 Dretske, Fred, 240–242

Evans, Gareth, 3, 73, 75–112, 136, 213–216, 223–224 Externalism (epistemological), 68, 249–251, 257, 263, 266–268

Falvey, Kevin, 64–71, 161–162, 165, 297
Frances, Bryan, 217–225
Frege, Gottlob, 20–21. *See also*Anti-individualism, Fregean; Sense

Generality problem, 132–133 Gettier, Edmund, 124–125, 263–269 Global reliabilist approach, 121, 124–129, 132 Global reliability, 28, 121, 123–134, 155, 267, 315n9 Goal argument, 260–263 Goldman, Alvin, 45–47, 59–63, 120–121, 124–126, 250–251, 264, 267–268

Heal, Jane, 172-174

Illusion argument, 26, 28, 30, 37, 113–119, 121–123, 129–137, 155, 309

Illusions of thought, 1, 15–16, 26, 28, 37, 39, 78, 85, 90–91, 114–119, 122–123, 129, 133–135, 137, 148, 151, 155, 207, 214, 255, 279–289, 291–294, 300, 303, 309

Illusion version of antiindividualism, 1, 16–17, 77, 126, 151. See also Illusions of thought; Illusion argument and the discrimination argument, 38–39, 84–85, 90–91, 115–116 and the reductio, 279–283, 292–293

Internalism (epistemological), 249, 251, 263, 266–268

Local reliabilist approach, 121, 124–129 Local reliability, 28, 120–129, 134, 155, 268–269, 315n9

McGinn, Colin, 46–47, 120–121, 124–126, 130–131
McKinsey, Michael, 236
McLaughlin, Brian, 67–71, 297, 323n6, 325n14, 326n4
Memory
preservative, 168, 175–177
and slow switch, 55–58, 92–93, 171, 178–179, 215 (see also Two-concept view)
Merricks, Trenton, 325n18
Moore's proof of the external world, 243, 261

Nondescriptive model of thought. *See* Thinking of an object, nondescriptively
No-reference case, 15–16, 39, 84–85, 111–117, 135, 137, 147–154
Nozick, Robert, 120–121, 240–242

Owens, Joseph, 64–71, 161–162, 165, 186–187

Perceptual demonstrative thoughts, 6, 13-15, 76-77, 80-81 and the discrimination argument, 83–84, 86–96, 145–151, 154 and the illusion argument, 115–135, 147–151, 154 and the reductio, 289-294 and transparency, 206-210, 213-217, 222-225 Plantinga, Alvin, 325n18 Pritchard, Duncan, 323n6 Privileged access, 36-37, 152-156, 233–236. See also A priori knowledge, of thought; Discrimination argument; Illusion argument; Reductio argument Pryor, James, 323n9

Question-begging, 258–259, 269, 323n4

Rational agent, concept of, 25, 29, 32, 158–161, 166, 169–170, 182–184, 190–192, 195, 224, 229–231, 310. *See also* B-rational Rationality and arguments for sense, 30, 196–204, 209, 217, 226–230

Recognitional capacities, 6–7, 79, 81–84, 96–112, 143–145, 150, 153

Recognition-based thoughts, 6–7, 81–83, 96–112, 135, 143–145, 147, 149, 150, 153

Reductio argument, 1, 31, 236–239, 241–242, 246, 251, 254–257, 269–274, 303–307, 310. *See also* Illusion version of anti-individualism, and the reductio

Sense, 1, 20-22, 25, 32, 194. See also Reductio argument (cont.) argument; Relevant alternatives, Anti-individualism, Fregean and the reductio argument; a priori knowledge of difference Water argument of sense, 221-222, 224 and natural kind antiarguments for, 30, 196-203, 226, individualism, 274-289, 293-294, 228, 230 300 - 301object-dependent, 22, 29-30, and singular anti-individualism, 194–195, 206, 214, 311 289-294 transparency of, 206–210 and social anti-individualism, transparency of difference of 294-301 content and, 169, 208, 212, Relevant alternatives, 27-28, 32, 220-221, 224 41-43, 46-48, 58-62, 64-73, transparency of sameness of 75–77, 83–88, 120–123, 135–138, content and, 165-166, 169, 205, 152-155, 310 207-209, 212 and illusion of thought, 117–118, Skepticism, 30-31, 41-42, 62, 134, 147-151 238–239, 246–247, 251–254, 257, and perceptual demonstrative 262 thought, 86-96, 145-147 Slow switch, 37-45, 48-59, 64-73, and recognitional capacities, 87-96, 138-142, 153, 159, 97 - 110166-180, 206-219, 223-224, 226 and recognition-based thought, 97–105, 107–112, 143–145 Thinking of an object and the reductio, 249, 258-269, by description, 5–8, 11–16, 21, 39, 298-299, 304 79–80, 94–96, 114, 137, 141, 151, and social anti-individualism, 194, 279-286, 289-294 (see also 138 - 142Descriptive version of anti-Reliabilist account of warrant, 250, individualism) 267 - 269nondescriptively, 6–8, 14–15, 77, Reliability. See also Local reliability; 280, 282, 291, 293 Local reliabilist approach; Global Transmission reliability; Global reliabilist of knowledge, 31, 239, 242–243, 287-289, 303-304 approach and discriminative abilities, of warrant, 31, 239, 243-246, 248, 43–73, 154 251, 254, 256–266, 270, 304–306 and illusion argument, 116–119, Transparency of difference of 121-123, 129-137 content, 29, 160, 166-170, 172, 176, 182–187, 191, 310, 319n2 and knowledge, 27–28, 45–47, 59-73, 135-137, 154 and Fregean anti-individualism, about thoughts, 27, 43-44 29, 160, 169, 195–196, 210–230 and non-Fregean antiindividualism, 29, 169, 195-196, Sawyer, Sarah, 238, 314n3 216-217, 222, 230 Segal, Gabriel, 163

Transparency of sameness of content, 29, 160–166, 182–187, 191, 310, 319n2 and arguments for sense (see Sense, arguments for) and Fregean anti-individualism, 29, 160, 165–166, 169, 195–196, 200–210, 212, 225–230 and non-Fregean anti-individualism, 29, 165–166, 195–196, 200–204, 209, 230 Two-concept view, 168, 170–183, 210–220 Tye, Michael, 67–71, 171–172, 297, 326n4

Warfield, Ted, 238 Water argument, 240–246, 248, 254–258, 261 Wright, Crispin, 31, 239–258, 269, 288, 303–304, 306–307

Zebra argument, 241, 243–248, 251–252, 257–262