100% Share of Mind program, 194

Administration costs, 18 Administrative law judge, 10 Aggregation, 207n7 concentration levels and, 98-99 consumer surplus and, 101 exclusive contracts and, 175 (see also Exclusive contracts) external effect and, 66-71 first-mover models and, 140-151 horizontal mergers and, 62-71, 74 merger simulation and, 100-105 precompetitive justifications and, 83 - 84welfare and, 185-188 Aghion, P., 140-144, 152 Agreements, 20-21 Airline industry Continental, 104–105 horizontal mergers and, 76-77, 104-105, 115-123 Northwest, 115-118 Ozark, 115-118 Transworld, 115-118 American Express, 135 Anheuser Busch, 194, 196-197 Antitrust law, 199n1 administrative law judge and, 10

agreements and, 20–21 broad application and, 1-2 business scandals and, 4 cheap talk and, 21-24 Clayton Act and, 5, 7–10 communication and, 21-22 Conwood v. United States Tobacco case, 135 coordination problem and, 21-24 criminal penalties and, 8-9 decrees and, 9, 200n9 efficiencies and, 83-84 equitable relief and, 8-10 exclusive contracts and, 133-134 (see also Exclusive contracts) farmers and, 4 Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Act and, 5, 7-10 formulation of, 3-4 Hart-Scott-Rodino Act and, 8 incentive problem and, 21 information revelation problem and, 24-26 Microsoft case, 135, 214n2 monetary damages and, 8-10 per se rule and, 15-19 rule of reason and, 7, 16, 136 sanctions and, 8-13, 25 Schwinn decisions and, 194

Antitrust law (cont.) competition reduction and, 167-Sherman Act and, 4-6, 9 175 Standard Fashion Company v. exclusive contracts and, 152–153, Magrane-Houston Company case, 156-162, 167-175 136-137, 169, 189-190 offer game and, 156, 167-168 Sylvania decision and, 194 outside parties and, 152-153, Third Court of Appeals and, 167, 217n30 Beckner, C. F., III, 201n4 Trans-Missouri case, 16–18 Beer manufacturers, 194-197 United Shoe Machinery case, 179 Benoit, J.-P., 111 United States and, 4–13 Bergen, M., 192 U.S. v. Dentsply case, 135, 166-167 Bernheim, B. D., 218n39 U.S. v. Visa U.S.A. case, 135 exclusive contracts and, 156, 162, Antitrust policy 166-167, 176, 188 administration costs and, 18 horizontal mergers and, 76 Clayton Act and, 5, 7–10 Berry, S. T., 76, 87–88, 90 collusion and, 52–55 (see also Bertrand model, 72, 102 Besanko, D., 176-177, 187, 206n5 Collusion) Bidding game, 156, 166, 217n26 Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Act and, 5, 7-10 Bid rigging schemes, 49-51, 201n1 price fixing and, 15–55 (see also Bilateral contracting, 139, 160 Price fixing) Bloch, F., 75 Sherman Act and, 4–10, 16, 20–24, Block, K. B., 28, 30 BMW, 89 26, 32, 52–53, 57 Archer-Daniels Midland (ADM), 9, Bolton, P., 111, 140–144, 152 37 - 38Borenstein, S., 117, 212n53 ARCO, 126 Bork, R. H., 4, 6, 134, 137, 169, 182-Areeda, P., 182–183, 185 183 Ashland oil, 126 Bradford, D., 194 Asker, J., 196–197 Bread industry, 30 AT&T, 71 Bresnahan, T. F., 96, 102, 105–106, Athey, S., 25–26, 202n12 109 - 110Ausubel, L. M., 73 Brock, W., 40 Burlington Northern Railroad, 95 Automobile industry, 87–89 Automobiles, 53 Canada's Competition Act, 83–84, Bagwell, K., 25-26, 202n12 199n6 Carlton, D. W., 73 Baker, J. B., 10, 105–106, 109–110 Baldwin, L. H., 204n21 Cartels, 11. See also Price fixing Banking, 123-126 detection of, 38-45 Bargaining process, 215n11 firm behavior and, 45-52

lysine, 36–38

ocean shipping, 193

bidding game and, 156, 166,

217n26

phantom bidders and, 49-51 procurement auctions and, 35-38 Certificates of deposit (CDs), 124 Cheap talk, 21-24 Chicago School, 136–139 Aghion-Bolton model and, 140-Director and, 134 externalities across buyers and, 144 - 151first-mover models and, 140–151 precompetitive justifications and, 178, 180, 188 Choi, D., 31 Clayton Act, 5, 7–10 Coase, R. H., 72 Collusion, 2-3, 200n7. See also Price fixing capacity limitations and, 82 cartel's expected profit, 11 cheap talk and, 21-24 cheating incentives and, 40 coordination problem and, 21-24 D.C. real estate case and, 36 ease of sustaining, 81-82 enforcement actions and, 31-32 horizontal mergers and, 81-82 information revelation problem and, 24-26 lysine cartel and, 36-38 merger simulation and, 102 multimarket contact and, 76-77 procurement auctions and, 33-38 sanctions and, 9-13 sewer construction case and, 36 structural factors affecting, 81–82 sustaining of, 81-82 tacit, 21, 52-55, 76-77 Common agency, 188, 190 Communication, 202n10 cheap talk and, 21-24 coordination problem and, 21-24 detection of, 38-39

enforcement risk and, 28-32 firm behavior and, 45–52 future play mechanisms and, 25 information revelation problem and, 24-26 phantom bidders and, 49-51 price fixing and, 21-26, 38-39 Competition, 1 bargaining process and, 156-162 buyer externalities and, 144-151 Canada's Competition Act and, 83-84, 199n6 coordination problem and, 21-24 Cournot, 52, 54, 63, 69, 71, 74, 161, 165 - 166duopoly profit and, 161, 165-166 durable goods and, 72 event-study approach and, 110-114 exclusive contracts and, 136-139 (see also Exclusive contracts) firm behavior and, 45-52 information revelation problem and, 24-26 oligopolistic, 17, 21-38 (see also Oligopolistic competition) per se rule and, 15-19 precompetitive justifications and, 83 - 84price fixing and, 15-55 (see also Price fixing) railroads and, 16 reducing retail, 155-167 rule of reason and, 136 Sherman Act and, 6 Third Court of Appeals and, 167, 217n30 Trans-Missouri case and, 16-17 Williamson trade-off and, 58-62 Compte, O., 25-26, 40, 71-72 Concentration levels calculation of, 79-81 changes in, 79-81

Concentration levels (cont.) Cournot equilibrium and, 96–97 Horizontal Merger Guidelines and, 79 - 81price effects and, 93-99 Connor, J. M., 36, 38 Consent decree, 200n9 Consumer surplus, 17 Continental Airlines, 104–105 Conwood v. United States Tobacco, 135 Coordination problem, 21–24 Cournot competition duopoly profit and, 161, 165-166 entry and, 74 horizontal mergers and, 63, 69, 71 price fixing and, 52, 54 Cournot equilibrium, 96-97, 102, 131 Cramton, P., 24 Crawford, V., 23 Credit cards, 135 Criminal penalties, 8-9 Culbertson, W. P., 194 Customer lists, 191–192 Davidson, C., 70-71 Decrees, 9, 200n9 Demand elasticity, 86, 210n26 automobile industry and, 87-89

Decrees, 9, 200n9
Demand elasticity, 86, 210n26
automobile industry and, 87–89
Independence of Irrelevant
Alternatives (IIA) and, 88–89
production costs and, 87–93
residual estimation and, 105–110
selection issues and, 90–91
substitution patterns and, 90–91
Deneckere, R. J., 70–71, 73
Dentsply, 135, 166–167
Director, Aaron, 134
Discover, 135
Dual rate contracts, 193
Duopoly profit, 17, 161, 165–166

Durable goods, 72–73 Dutta, S., 192

Eckbo, B. E., 110 Efficiency Cournot equilibrium and, 131 entry and, 188-189 exclusive contracts and, 134, 167-175, 188–189 horizontal mergers and, 127-131 precompetitive justifications and, 83-84 production costs and, 86-88 total-factor productivity and, 128, Endogenous mergers, 75–76 Entry, 73-74, 83, 188-189 Equations Block-Nold-Sidak, 28, 30 cartel's expected profit, 11 concentration levels, 80, 94 consumer surplus, 203n16 Cournot competition, 63 Cournot equilibrium, 96 demand elasticity, 86 equilibrium best-response function, 206n6 exclusive contracts, 157–161, 168, 173-174, 181-182 horizontal mergers, 63–68, 85–88, 91–96, 106–107, 109 market definition, 85–88, 91–93 merger simulation, 101 price fixing likelihood, 39 residual demand estimation, 106retail competition, 157–161, 173 Equilibrium, 206n6, 217n28 babbling, 21-22 bargaining process and, 156-162 cheap talk and, 21-24 Cournot, 96-97, 102, 131 demand elasticity and, 87-93

exclusive contracts and, 155-167, externalities and, 144-167, 182-176–177 (see also Exclusive first-mover models and, 140-151 contracts) free riding and, 192 horizontal mergers and, 66–71 incentive problem and, 21 game theory and, 134 merger simulation and, 102-105 hostility for, 133-134 Nash, 21, 40, 91, 102-105 input market competition and, price endogeneity and, 85-86 167 - 171price fixing and, 40 investment protection and, 178retail competition and, 155-167 symmetry and, 97–99, 176–177 joint payoff and, 153, 168–169 Equitable relief, 8-10 loyalty and, 183-185 Evans, W. N., 76, 213n55 market outcome and, 155-167 Event-study approach Microsoft and, 135 exclusive contracts and, 192-194 multilateral contracts and, 153horizontal mergers and, 110-114 154, 215nn11,13 Exclusionary vertical contracts, 3, multiseller/multibuyer models 133 - 135and, 175-178 Exclusive contracts noncontractible investments and, Aghion-Bolton model and, 140-180 144, 152 offer game and, 156, 167–168 bargaining process and, 152-153, outside parties and, 152-153, 170 156-162, 167-175 partial exclusion through Besanko-Perry model and, 176stipulated damages and, 140-144 precompetitive justifications and, 177 bidding game and, 156, 166, 178 - 189retail competition and, 155-167, bilateral contracting and, 139, 160 171 - 175Chicago School and, 134, 136-151, seller profitability and, 137 178, 180, 188 Sherman Act and, 6 Clayton Act and, 8 symmetry and, 176–177, 187 common agency and, 188, 190 territorial, 194–197 competing for, 152-178 Third Court of Appeals and, 167, competition reduction and, 167-217n30 175 traditional view of, 136-139 complementary effects and, 192 Visa and, 135 customer lists and, 191-192 welfare effects and, 185-188 dual rate contracts and, 193 Externalities duopoly profit and, 161, 165-166 buyer investments and, 184-185 effective price and, 141 complementary, 183 efficiency and, 134 empirical evidence for, 188–197 empirical evidence for, 189–197 exclusive contracts and, 144–167, event-study methods and, 192-194 182 - 183

Externalities (cont.) First-mover models retail competition and, 155-167 Aghion-Bolton, 140-144 seller investments and, 183-184 externalities across buyers and, substitutes and, 184 144 - 151welfare effects and, 66-71, 185partial exclusion and, 140-144 188 Fisher autobodies, 179, 182, 184 Focarelli, D., 124, 126-127 Farmers, 4 Food manufacturing industry, Farrell, J. 130 durable goods and, 72-73 Free riding, 192 endogenous mergers and, 75-76 Froeb, L. M., 35-36, 73, 101 entry effects and, 73-74 Frozen perch case, 35-36 horizontal mergers and, 62, 65-76 Fumagalli, C., 216n17 Federal Bureau of Investigation Future play mechanisms, 25 (FBI), 38 Federal Trade Commission (FTC), Game theory babbling equilibrium and, 21-22 collusion and, 81-82 (see also cheap talk and, 21-24 coordination problem and, 21-24 Collusion) concentration levels and, 79-81 exclusive contracts and, 134 defining relevant market and, 84price fixing and, 40 General Motors, 179, 182, 184 endogenous mergers and, 75-76 Gertner, R. H., 73 entry effects and, 74 Gowisankaran, G., 75 firm behavior and, 47 Great Northern Railway, 193-194, Horizontal Merger Guidelines and, 211nn44,45 77 - 84Green, E. J., 40, 74 market definition and, 77-79 Griffin, J. M., 36 market factors and, 79-83 Grossman, S. J., 191-192 precompetitive justifications and, Gul, F., 73 83 - 84Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Hannan, T. H., 123–124 Act, 5, 7-10Hart, O. D., 155–157, 165, 171, 191– Feinberg, R. M., 31 192, 218n40 Fershtman, C., 201n3 Hart-Scott-Rodino Act, 8 Firm behavior. See also Industry Hastings, J., 126 exclusive contracts and, 134 (see Hausman, J. A., 89, 101 Hay, G. A., 39, 41-45 also Exclusive contracts) merger simulation and, 100-105 Heide, J. B., 192 price fixing and, 45-55 Herfindahl-Hirschman Index residual demand estimation and, banking mergers and, 123 105 - 110horizontal mergers and, 68, 80–81, tacit collusion and, 53-55 96, 99

oil industry and, 126	ease of entry and, 83
price fixing and, 33	efficiency and, 83-84, 127-131
Hold-out problem, 75	endogenous mergers and, 75-76
Holmes, T. J., 75	entry and, 73-74, 83
Holt, C., 23	equations for, 63-68, 85-88, 91-96
Horizontal Merger Guidelines, 7, 61,	106–107, 109
209n20	event-study approach and, 110-
capacity limitation and, 82	114
concentration levels and, 79-81,	examining actual results of, 114-
93–99	131
demand elasticity and, 85-86	exclusive contracts and, 133-134
ease of entry and, 83	(see also Exclusive contracts)
econometric approaches to, 84-99	external effect and, 66-71
efficiencies and, 83-84	Herfindahl-Hirschman Index and,
market definition and, 77-79, 84-	68, 80, 96
93, 99–114	hold-out problem and, 75
performance evaluation and, 82	Independence of Irrelevant
precompetitive justifications and,	Alternatives (IIA) and, 88-89
83-84	inverse demand function and, 67-
SSNIP test and, 78	68
structural factors and, 81-82	market definition and, 77-79, 87-
substitution patterns and, 82	93, 99–114
sustaining collusion and, 81–82	multimarket contact and, 76–77
Horizontal mergers	new product development and, 76
actual results examination and,	precompetitive justifications and,
114–131	83–84
aggregation and, 62–71, 74	price effects and, 62–71, 115–127
airline industry and, 76–77, 104–	product changes and, 127
105, 115–123	production costs and, 86-88
banking industry and, 123-126	purchase delays and, 72–73
Bertrand model and, 72, 102	railroads and, 95, 112
capacity limitations and, 82	repeated interaction and, 71–72
Clayton Act and, 8	residual demand estimation and,
collusion and, 81–82	105–110
concentration levels and, 79–81,	scale technologies and, 74
93–99	Sherman Act and, 6, 57
defining relevant market and, 84–	simulation and, 100-105
93	substitution patterns and, 82, 90–
demand elasticity and, 85–93	91
Department of Justice/Federal	sufficient conditions for, 62–71
Trade Commission guidelines	telecommunications industry and,
and, 77–84	70–71
durable goods and, 72–73	U.S. court hostility and, 57–58

Horizontal mergers (cont.) steel, 112-114, 193-194 welfare effects and, 62-74 telecommunications, 70-71 Williamson trade-off and, 58-62, Information revelation problem, 24 - 26Hosken, D. S., 126 Innes, R., 188 Hospitals, 126 Insurance industry, 191–192 Howard, J. H., 36 Internet Explorer, 135 Interstate Commerce Commission, Incentive problem, 21 Independence of Irrelevant Inverse demand functions, 86 Alternatives (IIA), 88–89 Investments Indiana, 194 buyer, 184-185 Industry competition reduction and, 167aggregate effects and, 62-71 175 agreement and, 20 complementary effects and, 192 airline, 76-77, 104-105, 115-118 empirical analysis of, 188-197 automobile, 87-89, 179, 182-184 equipment and, 179, 192 banking, 123-126 exclusive contracts and, 171-175 cheap talk and, 21-24 (see also Exclusive contracts) communication and, 17, 20-26 noncontractible, 180 coordination problem and, 21-24 precompetitive justfications and, demand function and, 17 178 - 188exclusive contracts and, 152-178 protection of, 178-188 (see also Exclusive contracts) relationship-specific, 179, 182, 184, firm behavior and, 45-52 food manufacturing, 130 seller, 183-184 future play mechanisms and, 25 training and, 179 health care, 126 welfare effects and, 185-188 Iron industry, 193-194 information revelation problem and, 24-26 Iron Law of Consulting, 86 insurance, 191-192 inverse demand function and, 63 Jenny, F., 40, 71–72 iron, 193-194 Johnson, R. L., 212n53 National Industrial Recovery Act Joint payoff, 153, 168-169 and, 204n21 Joskow, A. S., 212n53 oil, 126 price fixing and, 33–38 (see also Kaimen, M. I., 75 Price fixing) Kandori, M., 25-26 railroads and, 16-18, 95, 112, 193-Kaplow, L., 183, 185 194, 211n44 Kaserman, D., 36 residual demand estimation and, Kelley, D., 39, 41–45 105 - 110Kennedy administration, 193 shipping, 193 Kessides, I. N., 76, 213n55

Kihlstrom, R., 24 collusion and, 81-82 (see also Kim, E. H., 117-119, 124, 126-127 Collusion) Kindahl, J. K., 31 competition reduction and, 167-175 Klein, B., 179–180 Knock-out auction, 36 concentration levels and, 79-81, Koyak, R. A., 35-36 93-99 defining relevant, 84-93 Kwoka, J. E., Jr., 36 demand elasticity and, 86-93 Leasing, 193-194 durable goods and, 72–73 Legal issues. See Antitrust law ease of entry and, 83 Leonard, G., 89, 101 efficiencies and, 83-84 Levi, E., 134 exclusive contracts and, 136-139, Levin, D., 62 155-167 (see also Exclusive Levinsohn, J., 87-88, 90, 131 contracts) Lichtenberg, F. R., 128, 130 foreclosure and, 136 Longitudinal Establishment Data Herfindahl-Hirschman Index and, (LED), 128, 130 68, 80, 96 Lysine cartel, 36-38 Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives (IIA) and, 88–89 McAfee, R. P., 24, 62, 111, 155 input, 167-171 McCall's, 190 mergers and, 100–105 (see also McCutcheon, B., 32 Mergers) McGuckin, R. H., 128, 130 multimarket contact and, 76-77 performance evaluation and, 82 Mackay, R. J., 75 precompetitive justifications and, McMillan, J., 24 Magrane-Houston, 136–137, 169, 83 - 84189 - 190price fixing and, 41 (see also Price Mankiw, N. G., 16, 18, 74 fixing) Marathon oil, 126 purchase delays and, 72-73 Marin, P. L., 193, 220n50 residual demand estimation and, Market definition, 77–79, 99 105 - 110econometric approaches to, 84structural factors and, 81-82 substitution patterns and, 82, 90-91 event-study approach and, 110symmetry and, 176-177 merger simulation and, 100-105 triangular structures and, 175-178 residual demand estimation, 105-Williamson trade-off and, 58-62 110 Marshall, R. C., 204n21 Markets Marvel, H. P., 179, 182, 184, 189beer manufacturers and, 194-197 192, 195 Mas-Colell, A., 74 Canada's Competition Act and, 83-84, 199n6 Mason, C., 76

Masten, S. E., 179, 183-184

capacity limitations and, 82

Mathematics, 2 Matsushima, H., 25–26 Mercedes, 89 Mergers, 1, 3 aggregate effects and, 62-71 consumer surplus and, 101 cost reduction and, 73-74 durable goods and, 72-73 dynamic environment and, 71-77 efficiency and, 73-74, 127-131 endogenous, 75-76 entry and, 73-74 event-study approach and, 110-114 examining actual results of, 114exclusive contracts and, 133–197 (see also Exclusive contracts) horizontal, 114-131 (see also Horizontal mergers) product changes and, 127 profits and, 73-74 purchase delays and, 72-73 repeated interaction and, 71-72 scale technologies and, 74 simulation of, 100-105 Williamson trade-off and, 58-62, Microsoft case, 135, 214n2 Miller beer, 194, 196–197 Monetary damages, 9–13 Monopolies, 6, 53, 209n16 AT&T, 71 competition reduction and, 167– 175 durable goods and, 72-73 exclusive contracts and, 152-178 (see also Exclusive contracts) externalities across buyers and, 144 - 151railroads and, 16 retail competition and, 155-167 Western Electric, 71

Motta, M., 216n17 Mullin, G. L., 112, 192–194 Mullin, J. C., 112, 192–194 Mullin, W. P., 112, 192–194 Multibuyer models, 175–178 Multimarket-contact effects, 76–77 Multiseller models, 175–178

Nash equilibrium, 21, 40 demand elasticity and, 91 merger simulation and, 102–105 National Beer Wholesalers Association, 195 National Industrial Recovery Act, 204n21 Neal Report, 53 Netscape, 135 Nevo, A., 88, 90, 101 New York Times, 1 Nguyen, S. V., 128, 130 Nold, F. C., 28, 30 Northern Pacific Railway, 211n44 Northwest Airlines, 115–118 NOW accounts, 124

O'Brien, D. P., 155 Offer game, 156, 167-168 Office-supply superstores, 94–95 Ohio, 33-35 Oil industry, 126 Oligopolistic competition, 17 buyer externalities and, 144–151 coordination problem and, 21-24 durable goods and, 72-73 Herfindahl-Hirschman Index and, information revelation problem and, 24–26 new product development and, 76 price fixing effects and, 26-38 Williamson trade-off and, 58-62 Olley, G. S., 70–71, 130, 131 Ornstein, S., 219n48

Outside parties, 152–153, 170 Block-Nold-Sidak model and, 28, Ozark Airlines, 115–118 cheap talk and, 21-24 cheating incentives and, 40 Pakes, A., 130–131, 201n3 dynamic-oligopoly model and, communication and, 17, 20-26, 76 38-39, 45-52 market definition and, 87–90 coordination problem and, 21–24 costs of, 41-45 telecommunications industry and, 70 - 71D.C. real estate case and, 36 Palfrey, T., 24 detection of, 38-52 Panetta, F., 124, 126–127 determining, 19 Pautler, P. A., 126 enforcement actions and, 28-32 estimating likelihood of, 39-40 People Express, 104–105 Perry, M., 176-177, 187 exclusionary behavior and, 42-43 Per se rule, 15–19 future play mechanisms and, 25 Pesendorfer, M., 131 game theory and, 40 Peters, C., 102-105, 119, 123, 212n53 incentive problem and, 21 Petrin, A., 131 information revelation problem Phantom bidders, 49–51 and, 24-26 Philappatos, G. C., 31 lysine cartel and, 36-38 Phillips, O., 76 market size and, 41 Porter, R. H. per se rule and, 15-19 firm behavior and, 47-52 phantom bidders and, 49-51 price fixing and, 33-36, 40, 47-52 potential gain and, 41 Posner, R. A., 4, 53-54, 134 procurement auctions and, 33-38 Prager, R. A., 123-124 regression analysis of, 28-38 Prat, A., 218n40 risk in, 31-33 Precedent effects, 111 sanctions and, 8-13 Precompetitive justifications school milk case and, 33–35 aggregation and, 83-84 sewer construction case and, 36 exclusive contracts and, 178-189 Sherman Act and, 6 investment protection and, 178social benefits and, 16, 32 structural evidence and, 39-45 188 Price fixing, 205n28 tacit collusion and, 52-55 administration costs and, 18 theory of, 20-26 aggregate surplus and, 17 Trans-Missouri case and, 16–18 agreements and, 20-21 U.S. Sentencing Guidelines and, 45 Archer-Daniels Midland (ADM) U.S. Supreme Court and, 16 and, 9 welfare and, 16-18 ban effects and, 20-38 Prices, 1-3 behavioral evidence and, 45–52 aggregate surplus and, 17 airline mergers and, 76-77 bid rigging schemes and, 49–51, 201n1 Bertrand model and, 72

Prices (cont.) exclusive contracts and, 193-194 capacity limitations and, 82 Great Northern, 193–194, cartel's expected profit, 11 211nn44,45 Clayton Act and, 8 horizontal mergers and, 95, 112 concentration levels and, 93-99 Santa Fe, 95, 98-99 demand elasticity and, 87-93 Southern Pacific, 95 demand function and, 17 Trans-Missouri case and, 16-18 endogeneity of, 85-86 transportation costs and, 4 event-study approach and, 110-Union Pacific, 95, 98-99 Ramseyer, J. M., 144, 152, 176 examining actual mergers and, Rasmussen, E. B., 144, 152, 176 115 - 127Ravenscraft, D. J., 128, 130 exclusive contracts and, 136-151 Regression analysis (see also Exclusive contracts) airline industry and, 115-123 farmers and, 4 banking industry and, 123-126 first-mover models and, 140-151 Block-Nold-Sidak model and, 28, hold-out problem and, 75 30 concentration levels and, 93-99 Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives (IIA) and, 88-89 examining actual mergers and, market definition and, 87–93 114 - 127merger effects and, 62-71, 115-127 firm behavior and, 45-52 (see also Mergers) frozen perch case and, 35-36 monetary damages and, 9-13 hospitals and, 126 predatory, 6 least squares, 97 purchase delays and, 72–73 oil industry and, 126 railroads and, 4, 16 price fixing and, 28-38 SSNIP test and. 78 school milk case and, 33-35 steel, 112-114 Republic Airlines, 115–118 welfare effects and, 62-71 Resale-price-maintenance agree-Williamson trade-off and, 58-62 ments, 6 Procurement auctions Research & development (R&D), D.C. real estate case and, 36 62, 76, 97, 102 frozen perch case and, 35-36 Residual demand estimation, 105lysine cartel and, 36-38 110 phantom bidders and, 49-51 Retail competition school milk case and, 33-35 bargaining process and, 156sewer construction case and, 36 162 Protectionism, 144 bidding game and, 156, 166 Punishment. See Sanctions bilateral contracting and, 160 Purchase delays, 72–73 competition reduction and, 171-175 Railroads, 211n44 exclusive contracts and, 155-167 Burlington Northern, 95 offer game and, 156

Third Court of Appeals and, 167, entry effects and, 73-74 217n30 horizontal mergers and, 62, 65-76 Sherman Act, 4-6, 9-10 Rey, P., 40, 72, 214n1 Richard, J.-F., 204n21 agreements and, 20-21 Roberts, K., 24 communication and, 20-21, 32 coordination problem and, 21-24 Ross, D., 43 Rule of reason approach, 7, 16, 136 effects of, 26 Rustichini, A., 218n40 horizontal mergers and, 57 limiting application of, 52–53 Sacher, S., 126 Standard Oil case, 7 Salant, S. W., 10 U.S. Supreme Court and, 16 Salop, S. C., 201n4 Shipping companies, 193 Sanchirico, C., 25 Shleifer, A., 70 Sanctions, 25 Sicotte, R., 193, 220n50 Sidak, J. G., 28, 30 Clayton Act and, 10 criminal penalties and, 8-9 Siegel, D., 128, 130 equitable relief and, 8-10 Simplicity Pattern Company, 189monetary damages and, 9-13 prosecution probability and, 11 Simpson, J., 216n17 Sherman Act and, 10 Singal, V., 117–119, 124, 126–127 Santa Fe Railroad, 95, 98-99 Snyder, E. A., 179, 182–184 Sass, T. R., 194–197 Southern Pacific Railroad, 95 Sauerman, D. S., 194-195 Sproul, M. F., 27, 29, 32, 203nn14,15 Scale technologies, 74 Spulber, D. F., 206n5 SSNIP (small but significant and Scharfstein, D., 111 Scheinkman, J., 40 non-transitory increase in price) Scherer, F. M., 43, 128, 130 test, 78 School milk case, 33–35 Standard Fashion Company v. Schwartz, M., 155 Magrane-Houston Company, 136-Schwinn decisions, 194 137, 169, 189-190 Standard Oil case, 7 Segal, I., 192, 216n23 bargaining process and, 156 Steel industry, 112–114, 193–194 buyer externalities and, 144, 151 Stefanadis, C., 216n17 multiseller/multibuyer models Stigler, G. J., 18, 31, 40 and, 176 Stillman, R., 110 precompetitive justifications and, Substitution patterns, 82, 90–91 179-180, 183 Summers, L. H., 70 Sewer construction case, 36 Sylvania decision, 194 Sexton, R. J., 188 Symmetry, 97–99, 176–177, 187 Shaffer, G., 155 Tacit collusion, 21 Shapiro, C. durable goods and, 72-73 antitrust policy toward, 52-55

multimarket contact and, 76-77

endogenous mergers and, 75-76

Taylor, C. T., 126, 204n21 price fixing and, 42 Telser, L. G., 179 U.S. Sentencing Guidelines, 9, 45 Thrifty, 126 U.S. Supreme Court, 193, 200n10, Ticketmaster, 187 202n6 Tirole, J., 40, 155-157, 165, 171, administration costs and, 18 214n1, 218n40 agreement and, 20 Conwood v. United States Tobacco Total-factor productivity, 128, 130 Trans-Missouri Freight Association, case, 135 16 - 18decrees and, 9 Trans World Airlines, 115-118 Microsoft case, 135, 214n2 Triangular market structures, 175price fixing and, 16 rule of reason and, 7 178 Turner, Donald, 53 Schwinn decisions and, 194 Sherman Act and, 16 Union Pacific Railroad, 95, 98-99 Standard Oil case, 7 United Shoe Machinery Sylvania decision and, 194 Corporation, 179 Trans-Missouri case and, 16–18 United States Steel, 112-114, 193-United Shoe Machinery case, 179 U.S. v. Dentsply case, 135, 166-167 United States Tobacco, 135 U.S. v. Visa U.S.A. case, 135 University of Chicago. See Chicago U.S. Third Court of Appeals, 167, School 217n30 U.S. Census Bureau, 128, 130 U.S. Civil War, 4 Vertical mergers, 8, 133-134. See U.S. Congress, 5–6, 193 also Exclusive contracts U.S. Department of Defense, 35–36 Visa U.S.A., 135 U.S. Department of Justice, 7–10, Vita, M. G., 126 28, 39, 53, 187 Vives, X., 24 Antitrust Division budget and, 30 collusion and, 81-82 (see also Wall Street Journal, 1 Collusion) Washington, D.C., 36 concentration levels and, 79-81 Welfare defining relevant market and, 84aggregate effects and, 62-71 93 durable goods market and, 72-73 endogenous mergers and, 75-76 endogenous mergers and, 75-76 entry effects and, 74 entry effects and, 73-74 firm behavior and, 47 exclusive contracts and, 185-188 Horizontal Merger Guidelines and, external effects and, 66-71 Herfindahl-Hirschman Index and, market definition and, 77–79 68 market factors and, 79-83 hold-out problem and, 75

horizontal mergers and, 62-74

Trans-Missouri case and, 16–18

precompetitive justifications and,

83 - 84

```
Werden, G. J., 35-36, 70, 73, 101,
  212n53
Western Electric, 71
Whinston, M. D., 176, 216n23,
  218n39
 anticompetitive exclusive dealing
  and, 152, 156
 bargaining process and, 162, 166-
  167
 buyer externalities and, 144
 horizontal mergers and, 74, 76
 investment categories and, 192
 precompetitive justifications and,
  179-180, 183, 188
 price fixing and, 16, 18
White, L. J., 37-38
Wickelgren, A. L., 216n17
Wiley, J. S., 144, 152, 176
Williams, M. A., 62, 111
Williamson, O. E., 58-62, 70
Yi, S.-S., 75
Yugo, 89
Zang, I., 75
Zona, J. D.
 firm behavior and, 47-52
 price fixing and, 33-36, 47-52, 89,
  101
```