

TOWARD THE HEALTHY CITY

People, Places, and the Politics of Urban Planning

Jason Corburn

**The MIT Press
Cambridge, Massachusetts
London, England**

© 2009 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

MIT Press books may be purchased at special quantity discounts for business or sales promotional use. For information, please email special_sales@mitpress.mit.edu or write to Special Sales Department, The MIT Press, 55 Hayward Street, Cambridge, MA 02142.

This book was set in Scala serif and Scala sans by SNP Best-set Typesetter Ltd., Hong Kong and was printed and bound in the United States of America.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Corburn, Jason.

Toward the healthy city : people, places, and the politics of urban planning / Jason Corburn.

p. ; cm.—(Urban and industrial environments)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-262-01331-4 (hbk. : alk. paper)—ISBN 978-0-262-51307-4 (pbk. : alk. paper) 1. Urban health. 2. Urban health—California—San Francisco. 3. City planning. 4. City planning—California—San Francisco. I. Title. II. Series: Urban and industrial environments.

[DNLM: 1. City Planning 2009003193 organization and administration—San Francisco. 2. Urban Health 2009003193—San Francisco. 3. Health Planning—organization and administration—San Francisco. 4. Minority Health—San Francisco. 5. Urban Renewal—organization and administration—San Francisco. WA 380 C792t 2009]

RA566.7.C673 2009

362.1'042—dc22

2009003193

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Index

- Accountability, as WHO principle, 8
Acheson Report (Britain), 59
Active Living movement, 93
Activist city, 23, 56–57
Adaptive management, 201
Addams, Jane, 35. *See also* Settlement Houses movement
African-Americans
 in Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood, 104
 and Chicago Exposition, 41
 community health centers for, 39–40
 DuBois’s study on, 31–32
 in foster care, 86
 infant mortality rate for, 56
 inordinate disease rates among, 3
 late 19th- and early 20th-century conditions for, 48
 and residential segregation, 55
 in San Francisco, 18
 and segregationist policies, 77
 and Settlement House movement, 210n.11
 and split over jobs vs. environment, 58
 and urban parks, 34
 and urban renewal, 53–54
 as removal, 85
Air quality. *See also* Pollution, urban and healthy urban governance, 70, 71
San Francisco Bay Area campaign for, 101
 West Oakland’s fight for, 91
Alternative assessment, 201
Alternative issue framings, 23–24.
 See also New politics of healthy city planning
American Medical Association, neighborhood health centers opposed by, 40
American Planning Association, 207
American Public Health Association
 Committee on the Hygiene of Housing of, 50–52
 public health adequacy questioned by, 55
American Sanitary era, 10, 96. *See also* Sanitary City era
Argyris, Chris, 201
Asian-Americans
 and barring of Asian women, 214n.35
 and I-Hotel in San Francisco, 154, 214n.35
Asian Pacific Environmental Network, Laotian Organizing Project of, 194
Association for Improving the Condition of the Poor, New York (AICP), 34

- Asthma
 in Bayview–Hunters Point
 neighborhood, 107
 mold as trigger of, 142
 and SFDPH on substandard
 conditions, 146
 Azucar, Marcela, 133
- Bacteriology, 38–39, 46, 94
 Baldwin, James, 212n.25
Basic Principles of Healthful Housing,
 50
 Bauer, Catherine, 52
 Bay Area Regional Health Inequities
 Initiative (BARHII), 102
 Bayview–Hunters Point
 neighborhood, 19–20, 103–105
 activists and SFDPH re-define
 environmental health, 105–106
 SFDPH building on, 134
 community environmental health
 survey in, 105, 108–109
 and environmental justice narrative,
 204
 food-access programs in, 109–14,
 128
 government-community relations in,
 106–108
 health disparities in, 3, 18
 resident’s indictment of, 103
 Bennett, Edward, 40–41
 “Best practices,” 19, 92, 205
 Bhatia, Rajiv, 108, 122, 124, 135, 144,
 147–48, 165–66, 166, 193
 Biologic embodiment. *See*
 Embodiment
 Biomedical model, 23, 49–50, 94, 96,
 97, 205
 consensus view turning against, 126
 in New York City program, 120, 121
 SFDPH shift from, 116
 vs. social epidemiologists, 59
Birth of City Planning in the United
States, 1846–1917, The (Petersen),
 26
 Black report, 59
- Block committees, for neighborhood
 health centers, 40
 Booth, Charles, 35
 Boston
 health disparities in, 3
 Metropolitan Sewage Commission
 of, 37
 Breast cancer, among African-
 American females in BVHP,
 107
 Britain
Acheson Report in, 59
 Black report in, 59
 Brooklyn, Central
 health disparities in, 2
 incarceration in, 88
 Built environment, 14
 and APHA guidelines, 51
 and BARHII work group, 102
 and environmental review, 62
 as HHS priority, 59
 and paradigm shift (Frumkin), 200
 PHES commitment on, 123
 and physical determinism, 84
 and population health perspective,
 96
 vs. relational view of place, 93, 94,
 95
 “Bureaucratic city,” 50
 Burgess, Ernest W., 46, 47
 Burnham, Daniel, 40–41, 211n.13
- California, and 1990s struggle for
 environmental justice, 106
 California Assembly Bill 1553, on
 environmental justice, 146
 California Department of Health,
 107
 California Endowment (TCE),
 195–96
 California Environmental Protection
 Agency, 70, 106
 California Environmental Quality Act
 (CEQA), 130, 138
 environmental impact assessment
 of, 172

and healthy city planning, 159
 lawsuit under, 106
 obstacles to broadening of, 166
 and social determinants of health, 160–61
 and Trinity Plaza Project health impact analysis, 144, 146–48
 California Healthy Places Act, 195
 California Pan-Ethnic Health Network, 195
 Canada, health-impact assessment in, 136
 Cancer (breast cancer), among African-American females in BVHP, 107
 Capacity building activities, as WHO requirement, 9
 Carson, Rachel, 57, 200
 Cases on healthy city planning, 19
 on health impact assessment, 21–22
 on healthy urban development, 20–21
 on reframing environmental health, 19–20
 Causation vs. distribution of disease, 12–13
 CBA. *See* Community benefit agreement
 CCP (Committee on the Congestion of Population), 25, 41
 Centers for Disease Control, 58
 Central Park, NYC, 34
 Cerrito, California, 195
 Chadwick, Edwin, 28
 Chicago
 generalized map of, 47
 health disparities in, 3
 Chicago School of Sociology, 35
 concentric zone model of, 46
 Chicago World's Columbian Exposition (1893), 40, 41
 Child care, and healthy urban governance, 71, 75–76
 Chion, Miriam, 165
 Chlorination of municipal drinking water, 39

Cities
 as crucial to development, 11
 death rates in (selected American cities, 1901–1905), 42
 scientific views of, 10 (*see also* “City scientific;” Science of the city)
 social statistics of (Hull House survey form), 36
 as truth spots, 27, 210n.8
City, The (Park and Burgess), 46
 City Beautiful movement, 26, 41, 46, 93
 City governments, in WHO Healthy Cities project, 58. *See also at* Urban
City Making: Building Communities without Building Walls (Frug), 100
 City planning. *See also* Healthy city planning; Planning processes
 as aesthetic design vs. social justice, 40–44
 critical history of, 27–28
 and population health, 1
 histories of, 22, 60
 birth of, 25–26
 miasma and sanitary city (1850s to 1900s), 3, 23, 28–38
 germ theory and “city scientific” (1900 to 1920s), 23, 8–49
 biomedical model and pathogenic city (1930s to 1950s), 23, 49–55
 crisis and activist city (1960s to 1980s), 23, 55–59
 reconnection with public health (Healthy Cities movement), 58–59
 refocusing on social epidemiology (1990s to 21st century), 23, 59
 social justice roots of, 1
 and transformation of environmental health, 127
 as urban governance, 4–5
 City planning and public health, professional degrees in, 198, 216n.43

- “City scientific,” 38–49. *See also*
 Science of the city
- Clinton, Bill, 106
- Coalition for Clean and Safe Ports (CCSP), 101
- Coalition for Healthier Cities and Communities, 58
- Coalitions and coalition-building, 99–102
 fragmentation and specialization overcome by, 85
 regional, 99–102, 195–96, 206
 in San Francisco redevelopment (MAC), 132–33
- Collaborative ecosystem management, 201
- Collaborative Food System
 Assessment, San Francisco, 114
- Collins, Jazzie, 158
- Columbia Foundation, 111
- Committee on the Congestion of Population (CCP), 25, 41
- Committee for the Study of the Future of Public Health* report, 59
- Communities for a Better Environment (CBE), 194, 195, 196
- Community-based coalitions, and population health, 1–2
- Community-based organizations, and Trinity Plaza controversy, 131
- Community benefit agreement (CBA)
 Los Angeles coalition negotiates, 101–102
 for Rincon Hill Area project, 157–58
- Community/cultural facilities, as social determinant of health, 64
- Community environmental health survey, in Bayview–Hunters Point, 105, 108–109
- Community participation. *See also*
 Public participation
 in healthy city (ENCHIA vision), 174, 177
 as WHO principle, 8
- Community Reintegration Network (NYC), 88
- Comprehensive approach to health, in WHO principles for health impact assessment, 167
- Comprehensive program of activity, as WHO requirement, 9
- Compton, California, health disparities in, 3
- Concentric Zone model, 46, 48, 211n.14
- Condition of the Working Class in England, The* (Engels), 16, 29
- Contagion, 33–34, 38
 nineteenth-century theories of, 3
- Contra Costa Health Service
 Department, 194
- Co-production of scientific knowledge, 88–90, 202–203
 measurement, assessment and monitoring for, 92
- Council of Environmental Quality, 62
- Crime
 as BVHP concern, 105
 and healthy urban governance, 73
- Cultural facilities, and healthy urban governance, 72
- Daly, Chris, 148, 153, 156, 157
- Danish Consensus Conference and Science Shops, 167
- Death and Life of Great American Cities* (Jacobs), 57
- Death rates
 disease-specific (selected cities), 49
 reduction of (late 19th and early 20th century), 48–49
 in selected American cities (1901–1905), 42
- De-industrialization, 54
- Democracy, in WHO principles for health impact assessment, 167
- Department of Agriculture, US, 109
- Department of Health and Human Services, 118

- Action Agenda for the Elimination of Health Disparities of, 59
- Depression, the, health impact of, 50
- Detroit, Sugrue on failures of, 56
- Development. *See* Urban development; Urban renewal
- Development impact fee, for Rincon Hill Area project, 155–58
- Disease-specific death rates (selected cities), 49
- Distribution, of disease and wellness, 12–13, 59
- Ditching Dirty Diesel Campaign, 100–101, 102, 213n.27
- Dotcom high-tech boom, and San Francisco gentrification, 132
- Douglass, Frederick, 41
- DuBois, W. E. B., 31
- Duhl, Leonard, 6–7

- East Bay Community Foundation, 194
- Eastern Neighborhoods Community Health Impact Assessment (ENCHIA), 21, 165, 169–75, 196
 - community articulation of elements in, 174–79
 - and co-production of science, 202
 - criticisms of, 183
 - employment study, 179–80
 - evaluations of, 22
 - focus-group meetings, 179–80
 - formal authority lacking for, 199
 - goals and objectives of, 169
 - and HDMT, 21, 165, 185–87, 200–201 (*see also* Healthy Development Measurement Tool)
 - healthy-city vision of, 165, 169–70, 171, 188–90, 204
 - and experiences of community residents, 179
 - objectives in, 174–75, 177
 - positive consequences from, 187–88
 - and merging of laboratory with field-site view, 205–206
 - metropolitan region and state influenced by, 193–96, 206
 - participants in, 214–15n.39
 - Planning Department shift as result of, 193
 - positive consequences of, 187–88
 - process map of, 168
 - professional relationships through, 190–91
 - and public policy, 180
 - public trust built by, 191–92
 - and relational view of place, 204
 - “scorecard” developed by, 184–87 (*see also* Healthy Development Measurement Tool)
- East SoMa, 193
- Economics, neoclassical, 54
- Economy
 - in healthy city (ENCHIA vision), 174, 177
 - and Rincon Hill Plan, 152
 - urban (assumptions about), 54–55
- Ecosystem management, collaborative, 201
- Education
 - and healthy urban governance, 71, 75–76
 - residential segregation adversely affects, 76
- Elderly persons, concerns of (ENCHIA discussions), 180
- Embodiment, of social condition, 12, 16, 29, 97–99
- Employment and economic opportunities, and healthy urban governance, 72, 78–70
- and living wage (San Francisco), 115–16, 122
- residential segregation adversely affects, 76
- Employment study (ENCHIA), 179, 180
- ENCHIA. *See* Eastern Neighborhoods Community Health Impact Assessment
- Engels, Friedrich, 16, 29–30, 30

Environment(s)
 residential, 77–78
 and *Silent Spring*, 57
 and urban pollution as sign of progress, 30

Environmental health
 community survey on (BVHP), 105, 108–109
 re-defining (reframing) of environmental health, 106, 204 (*see also* Re-defining of environmental health)

Environmental health planning
 new foundation of, 126–28
 paradigm shifts in, 200

Environmental health practice, framing of, 197

Environmental health science.
 political governance practices linked with, 37

Environmental impact assessment (EIA), 61–66
 in comparisons. 138–40, 172
 and health impact assessment, 136, 138–40, 144
 and “significant impact,” 140, 145, 147, 148
 and residential displacement or segregation, 200
 resistance to, 198
 for Trinity Plaza project, 130

Environmental Impact Report (EIR), for Eastern Neighborhoods, 166

Environmental justice (EJ)
 Bayview–Hunters Point struggles for, 105, 126, 128 (*see also* Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood)
 and reframing of public health, 104
 and SFDPH letter on Trinity Plaza project, 146

Environmental justice movement, 200

Environmental legislation, 57–58

Environmental Protection Agency, US (EPA), 57
 and air pollutants, 70, 211n.19
 and cleanup of BVHP Navy yard site, 104
 and West Oakland group, 91

Environmental quality
 Environmental Equity Report of (1992), 106
 and healthy urban governance, 71
 as social determinant of health, 64

Environmental stewardship
 in healthy city (ENCHIA vision), 174, 177
 in Healthy Development Measurement Tool, 186
 and Rincon Hill Plan, 152

EPA. *See* Environmental Protection Agency

Epidemiology, social, 23, 59, 96
 and relational view of place, 204, 205

Equitable city planning
 challenges for, 198
 governance issues crucial to, 197

Equity. *See also* Health equity
 as PHES commitment, 124, 125
 and Rincon Hill Plan, 152
 as WHO principle, 8, 167

Ethical use of evidence, in WHO principles for health impact assessment, 167

Euclid v. Ambler, 44

European Union, 212n.22

Evaluative categories, of WHO on healthy city, 8

Evidence
 community experience as, 169
 gathering of, 197, 202
 in health impact assessment, 176, 178
 ethical use of (WHO principles), 167

Executive Order 12808 (Clinton), 106

Executive Park Sub Area Plan, 191

Expertise
 and co-production frame, 203
 and ENCHIA process, 191–92

- Farmers market Nutrition Program (FMNP), 112
- Farmers markets, and BVHP food-access programs, 111–12, 114
- Federal Aid Highway Act, 54
- Federal Housing Administration (FHA), 52–53
- Field model of health, 117, 119
- Field site view of city, 10, 28, 96
in embodiment hypothesis, 99
and laboratory view, 117, 199, 205–206
- Figueroa Corridor Coalition, 101–102
- Flesh and Stone: The Body and the City in Western Civilization* (Sennett), 48
- Food. *See also* Grocery stores
as BVHP concern, 105, 108, 109
and SFDPH role, 128
as personal and political, 114
“Food justice” projects, 114–15
- Foster care, 86–87
- Fragmentation, 32, 85, 99, 198
avoidance of, 84, 99, 102
- Free markets, and decline of neighborhood health centers, 40
- Friedan, Thomas J., 120
- Frieders, David, 111
- Frug, Gerald, 100
- Frumkin, Howard, 200
- Fullilove, Mindy, 143
- Garden City movement, 45, 46–48
- Geddes, Patrick, 45
- Gender roles, and playground movement, 34
- Gentrification
definition of (SOMCAN), 154
hard data needed on (ENCHIA participant), 192
in San Francisco, 132
- Germ theory, 23, 38–49
- Ghosh, Amit, 146
- GI Bill, and residential segregation, 77
- Global movement for healthy cities, 8, 58–59 (*see also* Healthy cities movement, international; World Health Organization)
- Good Neighbor Program (BVHP food project), 111
- Goods and services
as HDMT objective, 185–87
in healthy city (ENCHIA vision), 174, 177
and healthy urban governance, 73, 80
and Rincon Hill Plan, 152
- Gordon, Margaret, 91–92
- Gothenburg Statement, 166
- Government-community relations, in Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood, 106–108
- Grande, Oscar, 160
- Great Communities Collaborative (GCC), 194–95
- Green, Gerald, 133
- Greenbelt Alliance, 194
- Green buildings, potential drawbacks of, 176
- “Green collar jobs,” 72, 79–80
- Griscom, John H., 30
- Grocery stores. *See also* Food farmers markets (BVHP food-access programs), 111–12, 114
and HDMT, 185–87
“Growth machine,” 16–17, 65
- Habermas, Jürgen, 90
- Hamilton, Alice, 35
- Hancock, Trevor, 6–7
- Harlem
health disparities in, 2
incarceration in, 88
- Harrington, Michael, 54
- Hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), 70, 211–12n.19
- Hazard removal, 27
- Healey, Patsy, 68

- Health
 field model of, 117, 119
 social determinants of (SDOH), 4, 13, 63–64 (*see also* Social determinants of health)
- Health care
 and employment, 78
 and healthy urban governance, 71, 80
 as limited contribution to health (SFDPH report), 118
- Health disparities (inequalities), 209n.1
 and BARHII approach, 102
 for blacks vs. whites (Sydenstricker study), 50
 causes of, 3–4
 and economic status, 28–30, 31, 97
 as HHS priority, 118
 and laboratory view, 95
 social epidemiologists on, 59
 and neighborhoods in various cities, 2–3
 in poor neighborhoods (APHA documents), 51
 on population health perspectives, 97
Health and Environment (US report), 50
- Health and Environmental Assessment Task Force (HEAP), 108, 109
- Health equity
 change in attitude toward, 207
 connections of, 1
 and governance practices, 83
 and living wage ordinance (San Francisco), 115–16
 and San Francisco projects, 159
 SFDPH commitment to (PHES), 120, 122–25
 and SFDPH report, 118
 SOMCAN as advocate for, 160
- Healthful environment, as PHES commitment, 123–24, 125
- Health impact assessment (HIA), 136–37
 and California Healthy Places Act, 195
 in comparisons, 138–40, 173
 and community organizations, 159–60
 and Eastern Neighborhoods rezoning plan, 165, 173
 ENCHIA as, 21, 188 (*see also* Eastern Neighborhoods Community Health Impact Assessment)
 and environmental impact assessment, 136, 138–40, 144, 172
 and “significant impact,” 140, 145, 147, 148
 evidence base for, 176, 178
 experiments with across country, 207
 and Great Communities Collaborative, 194–95
 hidden costs identified through, 214n.33
 and Human Impact Partners, 195
 and People’s Plan, 163, 166
 quantitative vs. qualitative data for, 147–48
 in Rincon Hill Plan, 150, 151
 and San Francisco Department of Public Health, 130, 135–36, 140–41, 166–69
 and MAC role, 141
 and merging of laboratory with field-site view, 205–206
 for Trinity Plaza project, 144–46
 and San Francisco Planning Department, 161
 and TCE, 196
 WHO on, 135, 136, 166–67
- Health outcomes, measurement of, 48–49
- Health and Physique of the Negro American, The* (DuBois), 31
- Health of the population. *See* Population health

- Health promotion, WHO on, 6, 8. *See also* Public health
- Health research, and San Francisco community organizations, 160
- Healthy cities movement, international, 6–9
- Healthy Cities Project, 58–59
WHO principles for, 8, 58
- Healthy city, 207
ENCHIA vision of, 165, 169, 171, 204
and experiences of community residents, 179
objectives in, 174–75, 177
positive consequences from, 187–88
and science, 9–11 (*see also* Science)
utopian ideas for, 210n.10
WHO characteristics of, 7
- Healthy city planning, 1–2, 19, 197.
See also New politics of healthy city planning
- in Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood, 19–20 (*see also* Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood)
- challenges for, 198–99
“laboratory” and “field site” views to be avoided, 84
moral environmentalism and physical determinism to be avoided, 84
preventative strategies in, 83
and scientific rationality, 83–84
specialization and fragmentation to be avoided, 84
- diffusion of, 193–96
- for Eastern Neighborhoods of San Francisco, 21–22, 165–75 (*see also* Eastern Neighborhoods Community Health Impact Assessment)
- governance issues crucial to, 197
and health impact analyses, 160 (*see also* Health impact assessment)
- politics of, 199 (*see also* Politics of planning)
- regional and statewide institutions in, 206
and relational view of place, 204 (*see also* Relational view of places)
and San Francisco Bay Area, 17–19
science of, 202 (*see also* Science)
and social movements, 159, 160
transformation of environmental health for, 127
for Trinity Plaza redevelopment, 20–21 (*see also* Trinity Plaza redevelopment project)
- Healthy Development Measurement Tool (HDMT), 21, 165, 185–87, 191, 193, 195, 200–201, 201
certainty for developers through, 199
and co-production of science, 203
environmental stewardship example of, 186
and merging of laboratory with field-site view, 205–206
for Richmond, California, 194
- Healthy Food Access Survey, 110
- Healthy governance networks, from ENCHIA, 190
- Healthy People 2010 (US document), 118
- Healthy Places Coalition, 195
- Healthy planning, politics of, 11–12, 68, 200
place in, 12, 13–15
population health in, 12, 12–13
power in, 12, 16–17
processes in, 12, 15–16
- Healthy regional planning, 194–95
- Healthy transit planning, 195
- Healthy urban development, 159–61
and Trinity Plaza redevelopment, 20–21 (*see also* Trinity Plaza redevelopment project)
- Healthy urban governance approach, 68–70, 81
air quality in, 70, 71
education and child care in, 71, 75–76
employment and economic opportunities in, 72, 78–80

- Healthy urban governance approach
(cont.)
and living wage (San Francisco),
115–16, 122
goods and services in, 73, 80
health care in, 71, 80
housing and residential
environments in, 71, 76
noise pollution in, 68–69, 71
open space, parks and recreation in,
72, 78
pedestrian activity in, 71, 74
and racial residential segregation, 72,
76–77
and relational view of place, 204
and residential environments, 77–78
social cohesion in, 73, 80–81
transit and land use sprawl in, 71
74–75
- Healthy urban policies, and ENCHIA,
180–83
- HEAP (Health and Environmental
Assessment Task Force), 108,
109
- HIP (Human Impact Partners), 195,
196
- Homelessness, 142, 146
- Home mortgage insurance, 52–53
- Home mortgage subsidies, 77
- Housing
as BVHP concern, 105, 108
in healthy city (ENCHIA vision), 174,
177
and healthy urban governance, 72,
76
as social determinant of health, 64
- Housing Act (1940), 53
- Housing affordability, 131
in community discussions on
healthy city, 164
and racism (ENCHIA discussion),
176
and Rincon Hill Area Plan, 140, 150,
153, 156
in SFDPH letter on Trinity Plaza,
146–47
in SFDPH report, 142–44
and Trinity Plaza project, 133
- Howard, Ebenezer, 45, 47
- How to Create and Implement Healthy
General Plans*, 196
- Hull House, Chicago, 35, 36
survey form of (Social Statistics of
Cities), 36
- Hull House Maps and Papers*, 35
- Human Impact Partners (HIP), 195,
196
- Immigrants
inordinate disease rates in, 2
removal of, 85
- Impact assessment. *See*
Environmental impact
assessment; Health impact
assessment
- Incarceration, 86, 87–88
and reintegration programs, 88
- Inequalities in Health Report (Black
report), 59
- Inequality in quality of health. *See*
Health disparities
- “In-fill” development, 74
- Infrastructure, 32
in healthy city (ENCHIA vision), 174,
177
and Rincon Hill Plan, 152
- Institute of Medicine, US, 122–23
vs. biomedical view, 126
on medical care for minorities,
95–96
on public health, 6, 59
- Institutional view of planning, 66–67
- Integrative approach to health
planning, as WHO requirement,
9
- Interconnectedness, as PHES
commitment, 125
- Interdisciplinary advisory committees,
and San Francisco Department
of Public Health, 128
- International Association of Impact
Assessment, 214n.33

- International healthy cities
movement, 6–9
- International Hotel (“I-Hotel”), 154, 214n.35
- International Society of City and Regional Planners (ISOCARP) congress, 58
- Intersectoral action, as WHO principle, 8
- Introduction to City Planning: Democracy’s Challenge to the America City Marsh), 25, 42
- Iton, Anthony, 91
- Jacobs, Jane, 57
- Jim Crow segregation, through zoning, 45. *See also* Racism
- “Jobs versus the environment” debate, 58
- Jobs-housing mismatch, and Rincon Hill plan, 151–53
- Johnson, Lyndon B., 56
- Jones, Paula, 110
- Journal of the Association of Engineering Societies*, 38
- Jungle, The* (Sinclair), 39
- Justice, environmental
in NEPA reviews, 62
as social determinant of health, 64
- Karpati, Adam, 3
- Katz, Mitchell, 111, 115, 118, 124, 183
- Kaufman, Jerome, 114
- Keller, Evelyn Fox, 90
- Kelley, Florence, 25, 35
- Kettleman City, California, Latino community group in, 106
- Krieger, Nancy, 16
- Kuhn, Thomas, 88–89
- Laboratory view of city, 10, 46, 48, 94–96
in embodiment hypothesis, 99
and “field site” view, 117, 199, 205–206
- Labor unions, 39
- Land use, as social determinant of health, 64
- Laotian Organizing Project, 194
- Latino Issues Forum, 195
- Latinos
and bicycling, 176
inordinate disease rates among, 3
lawsuit filed by community group of, 106
- Learning, “single-loop” vs. “double-loop,” 201–202
- Lewis, Nelson, 43
- Literacy for Environmental Justice (LEJ), 110, 111
- Living wage ordinance, for San Francisco, 115–16, 122, 128
- Los Angeles, development agreement negotiated in, 101–102
- Ma’at Youth Academy, 194
- MAC. *See* Mission Anti-displacement Coalition
- Macris, Dean, 183
- Maltzer, Paul, 147, 166
- Maps
of generalized city, 47
in Hull House surveys, 35
- MARI (Monitoring, Accountability, Reporting, and Impact assessment), 8–9
- Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan, Port of Oakland, 92
- Marris, Peter, 93
- Marsh, Benjamin Clarke, 25, 27, 41–43
- Maternity and Infancy Protection Act (Sheppard-Towner Act), 39, 40
- Meaningful participation, as PHES commitment, 125
- Measurement, of health outcomes, 48–49
- Measurement and monitoring networks, 90–93, 202–203
- MEDA (Mission Economic Development Association), 132–33, 191, 196

- Medical care, as limited contribution to health (SFDPH report), 118.
See also Health care
- Medical model, 49
- Memphis, sanitary survey instituted in, 32–33
- Merseyside Health Impact Assessment, 136, 167
- Miasma
 environmental, 37
 in nineteenth-century theories, 3, 23, 28–31, 38
- MIG, Inc., 194
- Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 210n.9
- Minority communities
 medical care for, 95–96
 and NEPA reviews, 62, 63
- Mission Agenda, 132
- Mission Anti-displacement Coalition (MAC), 20, 132–33, 141–42, 159, 165–66
 and health-impact assessment, 141, 144, 167, 169
 and People's Plan, 134, 163
- Mission District, San Francisco, 132
 activists from, 129
 Eastern Neighborhoods of, 193
 rezoning plan for, 164
- Mission Economic Development Association (MEDA), 132–33, 191, 196
- Mission Housing Development Corporation (MHDC), 132
- Mission Neighborhood Community Impact Assessment process, 163
- Model Cities program, 57
- Monitoring, Accountability, Reporting, and Impact assessment (MARI), 8–9
- Monitoring and evaluation of health, as WHO requirement, 9
- Monitoring networks, 90–93
- Moral environmentalism, 10, 23, 27–28
 avoidance of, 84
- Morgenthau, Henry, 43
- Mortgage insurance, 52–53
- Mortgage subsidies, 77
- Moses, Robert, 54
- Muckrakers, 39
- Mumford, Lewis, 210–211n.12
- Municipal sanitary commissions, 28
- National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO), 102, 207
- National Conference on City Planning and the Problems of Congestion (first), 25, 43
- National Conference on City Planning and the Problems of Congestion (second), 25–26, 43
- National Conference on City Planning (third) 26
- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)(1969), 61, 138
- National Public Housing Conference, 52
- National Quarantine Act (1893), 33
- Neighborhood health centers, 39–40, 56, 96
- Neighborhood Union, Atlanta, 39–40
- Neighborhood unit concept, 45–46, 47–48, 50–52
- Neoclassical economics, 54
- NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act)(1969), 61, 138
- Networks, 190, 197
- New Deal programs, 50
- New England Journal of Medicine, The*, on racial categories, 95
- New politics of healthy city planning.
See also Healthy city planning;
 Politics of planning
 challenges for, 83–85
 embodiment hypothesis, 97–99
 prevention and precaution in place of removal, 85–93
 regional coalitions in place of professionalization and specialization, 99–102
 relational view of place, 93–97

- Newsom, Gavin, 157
- New Urbanism, 93
- New York Association for Improving the Condition of the Poor (AICP), 34
- New York City
- Central Park in, 34
 - neighborhoods of concentrated incarceration in, 88
 - prevention approach in, 86, 87
 - Young Lords in, 56–57
- New York City area, health disparities in, 2
- New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, biomedically oriented program of, 119–20, 121
- Nixon administration, 57
- Noise pollution, 68–69, 71
- Nolen, John, 43–44
- Nonprofit Housing Association of Northern California, 194
- Occupational councils, for neighborhood health centers, 40
- Occupational Health and Safety Administration, 57
- Olmsted, Frederick Law Jr., 25–26, 27, 43
- Ona, Fernando, 113
- Open space. *See also* Parks and playgrounds
- and ENCHIA discussions, 178
 - and healthy urban governance, 72, 78
- Origins of the Urban Crisis, The: Race and Inequality in Postwar Detroit* (Sugrue), 55–56
- Other America, The* (Harrington), 54
- Ottawa Charter (1986), 6, 8
- Oversight committees, and San Francisco Department of Public Health, 128
- Pagoulatos, Nick, 133
- Paris, health correlated with wealth in, 28, 29
- Park, Robert E., 46, 47
- Parks and playgrounds, 34. *See also* Open space
- and ENCHIA discussions, 178
 - and healthy urban governance, 72, 78
 - potential drawbacks of, 176
- Participation
- and ENCHIA planning, 165
 - as PHES commitment, 125
- Pathogenic city, 23, 49–55, 88
- Paying with Our Health: The Real Cost of Freight Transport in California*, 101
- Pedestrian injuries and activity, and healthy urban governance, 71, 74
- People of color, inordinate disease rates in, 2
- People Organizing to Demand Environmental and Economic Rights (PODER), 133, 141
- People's Plan for Jobs Housing and Community, 134, 141, 160, 163, 166
- Perry, Clarence, 45, 47, 50
- Petersen, Jon A., 26
- PHES. *See* Program on Health, Equity and Sustainability
- Physical determinism, 93
- avoidance of, 84
- Physical removal and displacement, 27
- Pittsburgh, California, 195
- Places, in healthy city planning, 12
- and city as field site, 10
 - relational view of, 13–15, 93–97, 98, 203–205
 - Baldwin on, 212n.25
 - vs. universal applicability, 46, 48
- Plan of Chicago*, 40–41
- Planning. *See* City planning
- Planning, healthy. *See* Healthy city planning
- Planning, politics of. *See* Politics of planning

- Planning for city health development, as WHO requirement, 9
 Planning Department. *See* San Francisco Planning Department
Planning of the Modern City, The (Lewis), 43
Planning the Neighborhood, 50–52
Planning Neighborhoods for Small Houses (FHA), 53–53
 Planning processes. *See also* Processes and alternative assessments, 201
 and city politics, 65–66
 community participation in, 158, 159, 165, 177, 192
 and ENCHIA, 183, 189, 190, 192
 regional organizations building on, 194
 and environmental impact assessment, 61
 health analyses obstructed in, 63, 198, 199, 211n.17
 and human health, 1, 68–70, 81
 air quality in, 70, 71
 education and child care in, 71, 75–76
 employment and economic opportunities in, 72, 78–80
 goods and services in, 73, 80
 health care in, 71, 80
 housing and residential environments in, 71, 76
 noise pollution in, 68–69, 71
 open space, parks and recreation in, 72, 78
 pedestrian activity in, 71, 74
 and racial residential segregation, 72, 76–77 (*see also* Segregation, residential)
 and residential environments, 77–78
 social cohesion in, 73, 80–81
 transit and land use sprawl in, 71, 74–75
 Nolen on, 44
 and PHES, 131
 and politics of planning, 4–5, 83
 and relational view of places, 14, 199
 and social determinants of health, 23, 83 (*see also* Social determinants of health)
 as urban governance, 66–68, 199
 PODER (People Organizing to Demand Environmental and Economic Rights), 133, 141
 PolicyLink, 195–196
 Political coalition building, 38
 Political frames, need to attend to, 197–98
 Political governance practices, environmental health science linked with, 37
 Political power, and healthy urban governance, 73
 Politics of planning, 4–5, 65–66. *See also* New politics of healthy city planning
 of healthy planning, 11–12, 68, 200
 place in, 12, 13–15
 population health in, 12, 12–13
 power in, 12, 16–17
 processes in, 12, 15–16
 Pollution, urban, 30
 air-quality pollutants, 70 (*see also* Air quality)
 in Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood, 103
 and cancer findings, 107
 and green space, 78
 and sanitary engineering, 32–33
 West Oakland’s fight against, 91
 Population health
 determinants of, 1
 in healthy city planning, 12, 12–13
 Population health perspective, 96–97
 Pothukuchi, Kami, 114
 Potrero Hill area, 164, 193
 Poverty, urban, 2
 and health disparities, 59
 and NEPA reviews, 62, 63
 and Olmsted Jr.’s vision, 26
 “weathering” effect of, 98

- Power, in healthy city planning, 12, 16–17
- Precautionary principle, 85–86, 200–202
- President’s Research Committee on Social Trends, 50
- Prevention, 86, 87, 200–202
SFDPH efforts toward, 119
- Prevention Institute, 195
- Private sector developers, 199. *See also*
Rincon Hill Area Plan; Trinity Plaza redevelopment project and ENCHIA, 183
“hard data” needed (ENCHIA participant), 192
and Figueroa Corridor Coalition, 101–102
and “green collar” jobs, 72
and *Plan of Chicago*, 40–41
and planning processes, 65, 66
and politics of planning, 4–5
and urban renewal, 53, 55
and WOEIP, 92
- Processes, in healthy city planning, 12, 15–16. *See also* Planning processes
- Professionalization, 27, 28, 99
- Professional specialization, increase in, 39
- Program on Health, Equity and Sustainability (PHES), 105–106, 122–25, 129–30, 131, 141
documentation of health impacts undertaken by, 142
health-impact assessment workshops organized by, 140
and SFDPH Environmental Health Section, 134–35
- Progressive era, 10, 39, 41, 96
and Young Lords of NYC, 56
- Project Greenlight of, 88
- Public access and accountability, as PHES commitment, 123, 125
- Public health, 5–6. *See also* San Francisco Department of Public Health
categories of disease created by, 33–34
critical history of, 27–28
and environmental review, 62–63
functions of, 213n.31
histories of, 22, 60
birth of, 25–26
miasma and sanitary city (1850s to 1900s), 3, 23, 28–38
germ theory and “city scientific” (1900 to 1920s), 23, 38–49
biomedical model and pathogenic city (1930s to 1950s), 23, 49–55
crisis and activist city (1960s to 1980s), 23, 55–59
reconnection with public health (Healthy Cities movement), 58–59
refocusing (1990s to 21st century), 23, 59
and land use planning, 207
in search for “big cause,” 3
and social determinants of health, 118
systematic change difficult in, 198
and WHO on health promotion, 6, 8
and zoning, 44–45
- Public Health Institute, 102
- Public Health Law and Policy, 196
- Public health and planning agencies, and population health, 1–2
- Public Health Service, United States, 33
- Public housing
Chadwick’s recommendation of, 29, 30
conference on, 52
construction of ignored, 37
in social-justice agenda, 41
“war houses” in Hunters Point, San Francisco, 104
- Public participation. *See also*
Community participation;
Participation
and healthy urban governance, 73
and social cohesion, 81
as WHO requirement, 9

- Public-private partnerships
for “green collar” jobs, 72
Sugrue on, 56
- Public safety, in healthy city
(ENCHIA vision), 174, 177
- Pueblo para el Aire y Agua Limpio*
(People for Clean Air and Water),
California, 106
- Puerto Ricans, in Chicago, 3
- Quality Housing Work Responsibility
Act, 140
- Quantitative information, limitations
of, 178–79
- Quarantines, 33–34
- Quezada, Eric, 133
- Racial profiling, ENCHIA complaints
of, 178–79
- Racial residential segregation, and
healthy urban governance, 76–77
- Racism, 31–32
in ENCHIA discussions, 175–76, 192
in exclusion of African-Americans
from Chicago World’s Fair, 41
health disparities determined by
(San Francisco work group), 119
in late 19th and early 20th century,
48
in redlining, 53
residential segregation from, 55
in San Francisco quarantine, 33
structural, 17
vs. individual or institutional,
210n.6
and urban agriculture movements,
113
“weathering” effect of, 98
- Radburn, New Jersey, as Garden City,
45
- Raimi Associates, 196
- Rational city, 23
- Reason Why the Colored American Is
Not in the World’s Columbian
Exposition, The* (Wells, Douglass
et al.), 41
- Rebuilding the Unity of Health and the
Environment: A New Vision of
Environmental Health for the 21st
Century* (Institute of Medicine),
122–23
- Reconnecting America, 194
- Recreational facilities, and healthy
urban governance, 72, 78
- Re-defining (reframing) of
environmental health, 106, 204
in Bayview–Hunters Point, 19–20,
105–106, 126–28
and BVHP as over-burdened,
107–108
by campaigns against diesel truck
pollution, 101
by ENCHIA visioning, 189
by Figueroa Corridor Coalition,
101–102
in paradigm shifts (Frumkin), 200
and traditional planning issues, 19
- Redlining, 53, 77
- Regional coalitions and coalition-
building, 99–102, 195–96, 206
- Regional planning, healthy, 194–95
- Relational view of places, 13–15,
93–97, 203–205
Baldwin on, 212n.25
and embodiment hypothesis, 98
- Removal, 85
- Report of the Sanitary Commission of
Massachusetts* (Shattuck), 30
- Report on the Sanitary Conditions of the
Labouring Population in Great
Britain* (Chadwick), 28
- Research methods, 22
- Residential environments, 77–78
- Resilient city, 23
- Restrictive covenants
in federally subsidized mortgages, 53
as unconstitutional, 77
- Results from a Community Assessment
of Health and Land Use*, 180
- Richardson, Benjamin W., 210n.10
- Richmond, California, and ENCHIA,
194

- Richmond Equitable Development Initiative (REDI), 194
- Richmond Health Element planning process, 196
- Right to peace, as WHO principle, 8
- Rincon Hill Area Plan, 20, 148–55, 159
 - development impact fee for, 155–56
 - and community benefit agreement, 157–58
 - health impact assessment for, 152
 - SOMCAN as advocate for, 160
- Risk assessment, in environmental review processes, 62–63
- Risk factors
 - in biomedical view, 97
 - “downstream” vs. “upstream,” 13, 209n.4
- Roberts, Dorothy, 87
- Roosevelt, Theodore, 37
- Safety
 - as BVHP concern, 108
 - as nonquantitative value, 179
 - and Rincon Hill Plan, 152
- St. Peters Housing Committee, 132
- San Francisco, city of
 - Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood of, 19–20, 103–105 (*see also* Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood)
 - Chinatown quarantined in (1900), 33
 - Eastern Neighborhoods of, 164, 178 (*see also* Eastern Neighborhoods Community Health Impact Assessment)
 - environmental/health policy actions in, 18
 - living wage ordinance for, 115–16, 122, 128
 - prevention approach in, 86, 87
- San Francisco Bay area, 210n.7
 - Ditching Dirty Diesel coalition in, 100–101, 102
 - and ENCHIA, 165, 194
 - healthy city planning in, 17–19, 197
 - regional networks in, 206
- San Francisco Bike Coalition, 191
- San Francisco Department of Consumer Assurance, 111
- San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH), 160–61
 - and Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood, 105, 106–107, 108, 109–14, 128, 134, 204 (*see also* Bayview–Hunters Point neighborhood)
 - and Eastern Neighborhoods rezoning plan, 165, 166
 - and ENCHIA, 21, 169, 171, 183, 193 (*see also* Eastern Neighborhoods Community Health Impact Assessment)
 - and gathering of evidence (data), 178, 202
 - and merging of laboratory with field-site view, 205
 - and participants’ relationship with SFDPH, 190
 - participatory HIA process in, 188
 - and racism as focus, 176
 - research studies from, 179–80
 - and trust of community, 191–92
 - and vehicle level of service, 191
- Environmental Health Section of, 20, 105, 109, 110, 112, 114, 120, 122, 124, 134–35, 141
- and health-impact assessment (HIA), 135–36, 140–41, 163–64, 166–69
 - in comparison, 173
 - and MAC role, 141
 - and merging of laboratory with field-site view, 205–206
 - for Trinity Plaza project, 20, 144–46
- and Healthy Places Act, 195
- housing-availability report from, 142–44
- and institutionalizing of environmental health, 114–15
- in data book, 141

- San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) (cont.)
 living wage ordinance as, 115–16, 122
 and new foundation for
 environmental health planning, 126–28
 and Program on Health, Equity, and Sustainability (PHES), 105–106, 122–25, 129–30, 131, 134–35, 141
 and Richmond, California, health policy, 194
 and Rincon Hill Area Plan, 20–21, 148–55, 157, 158 (*see also* Rincon Hill Area Plan)
 and social determinants of health, 115, 116–20, 122, 160–61
 and Trinity Plaza project, 20, 129–30, 144–46, 201 (*see also* Trinity Plaza redevelopment project)
- San Francisco Food Alliance, 114
 San Francisco Food System (SFFS), 112–14
 San Francisco Foundation, 194
 San Francisco Foundation
 Community Initiatives program, 109
 San Francisco Health Commission, 119
 San Francisco League of Urban Gardeners (SLUG), 110
 San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (SFRPD), 178
 San Francisco Planning Department
 CEQA environmental impact assessment by, 172
 and ENCHIA, 169, 183, 189–90, 193
 and environmental impact assessment, 198
 and health assessments, 160, 161
 health mission denied by, 198
 and redevelopment, 133, 134
 and residential displacement or segregation, 200
 and rezoning for Eastern Neighborhoods, 163, 164–65, 165, 184
 social determinants of health ignored in, 166
 SFDPH letter to, 144–46
 and Planning Department response, 146–48
 and socioeconomic analysis, 173
 SOMCAN critique of, 153–55
 and Trinity Plaza project, 130
 Sanguicamo, Angelo, 131–32
 Sanitary City era, 23, 27, 28–38, 35, 37–38, 85. *See also* American Sanitary era
 Sanitary commission, municipal, 28
Sanitary Conditions of the Laboring Population of New York, The (Griscom), 30
 Sanitary engineering, 32–33
 Sanitary survey, 32–33, 37
 San Leandro, California, 195
 Scheper-Hughes, Nancy, 203
 Schön, Donald, 201
 Science
 co-production of, 88–90, 202–203
 measurement, assessment and monitoring for, 92
 and healthy city, 9–11
 post-normal, 11, 89, 202
 as process dimension, 16
 and universalism, 46, 48
 Science of the city, 10, 38, 96. *See also* “City scientific”
 new approaches needed for, 83–84
 Scientific rationality, 27, 88
 SDOH. *See* Social determinants of health
Search for the Ultimate Sink, The: Urban Pollution in Historical Perspective (Tarr), 32
 Segregation, residential, 51, 55. *See also* Racism
 and healthy urban governance, 72, 76–77
 and sale of homes, 77

- in urban parks, 34
- Seneca Village, 34
- Sennett, Richard, 48
- Settlement Houses movement, 10, 34–35, 96
 - and African-Americans, 210n.11
- SFDPH. *See* San Francisco
 - Department of Public Health
- Shattered Bonds: The Color of Child Welfare* (Roberts), 87
- Shattuck, Lemuel, 30
- Sheppard-Towner Act, 39, 40
- Showplace Square-Potrero Hill area, 164, 193
- Silent Spring* (Carson), 57
- Silicon Valley industries, and San Francisco gentrification, 132
- Simkhovitch, Mary, 25, 52
- Sinclair, Upton, 39
- Slum removal, 52, 53–54
- “Slums and City Planning” (Moses), 54
- Smart Growth, 93
- Smoke control, 50
- Social cohesion or exclusion
 - and healthy urban governance, 73, 80–81
 - and Rincon Hill Plan, 152
- Social determinants of health (SDOH), 4, 13, 63–64
 - and health-impact assessment across country, 207
 - and new understanding of public health, 118–19
 - and Planning Department environmental review, 166
 - and planning processes, 23, 83
 - and San Francisco Department of Public Health, 115, 116–20, 122
 - analysis of, 160–61
- Social epidemiology, 23, 59, 96
 - and relational view of place, 204, 205
- Social Statistics of Cities (Hull House survey form), 36
- Socioeconomic analysis, by Planning Department, 173
- Solid Facts, The: The Social Determinants of Health* (WHO), 119
- SoMa Community Stabilization Fund, 156–58, 161, 201, 206
- SoMa Community Stabilization Fund Advisory Committee, 157, 157–58, 201
- SOMCAN. *See* South of Market Community Action Network
- South Bronx
 - health disparities in, 2
 - incarceration in, 88
- South of Market area (SoMa) of San Francisco, 18, 20–21, 129, 132, 164, 214n.34
 - community land trust for, 157–58
 - division of, 153–55
 - East SoMa, 193
 - and Rincon Hill Plan, 148, 154–55
- South of Market Community Action Network (SOMCAN)
 - ENCHIA continuation by, 191
 - in Rincon Hill controversy, 153–55, 159
 - and development impact fee, 155–58
 - and TCE, 196
 - in Trinity Plaza controversy, 141–42
 - “Spatial match,” 151
- Special Investigation of the Slums of Great Cities, A* (Congress-sponsored study), 35
- Specialization, 39
 - and BARHII, 102
 - as challenge, 84, 85, 99
 - vs. co-production of science, 92
 - in laboratory view of city, 95
- Stakeholder Council, in health-impact assessment, 173
- Statistics
 - limitations of, 178–79
 - and relational views of place, 204
- Social Statistics of Cities (Hull House survey form), 36

- Stress
 displacement-related, 142, 144
 as health-disparities cause, 59
 and housing affordability, 145–46
 PHES on strategies for, 123
 response to as moderating factor, 118
 as social determinant of health, 13,
 64, 72, 73
 and access to natural areas, 174
 and low birth weights, 75
 and noise pollution, 69, 74
 “weathering” effect from, 16, 98
 social support against, 81
 from unemployment, 78
 among workers, 180
 among young people, 180
- Structural inequalities, and ENCHIA
 discussions, 175–76. *See also*
 Racism
- Subprime lending, 77
- Suburban sprawl, 53
 and healthy urban governance, 74
- Sugrue, Thomas J., 55
- Supermarkets, and HDMT, 185–87
- Supportive environments, as WHO
 principle, 8
- Supreme Court, in *Euclid v. Ambler*,
 44
- Sustainability
 as PHES commitment, 124, 125
 in WHO principles for health impact
 assessment, 167
- Sydenstricker, Edgar, 50
- Take Care New York program, 120,
 121
- TALC (Transportation and Land use
 Coalition), 194, 194–95, 196
- Tales of the City’s Workers: A Work and
 Health Survey of San Francisco’s
 Workforce* (SFDPH), 180
- Tarr, Joel, 32
- Taylorism, 43, 44
- Technology, and healthy city,
 9–11
- Training/capacity building activities,
 as WHO requirement, 9
- Transportation (transit)
 and food access (BVHP), 111
 health disparities determined by
 (San Francisco work group), 119
 in healthy city (ENCHIA vision), 174,
 177
 and healthy urban governance, 71,
 74–75
 and racism (ENCHIA discussion),
 176
 as social determinant of health, 64
- Transportation and Land use
 Coalition (TALC), 194, 194–95,
 196
- Transportation for a Livable City,
 191
- Triangle Shirtwaist fire, 39
- Trinity Plaza redevelopment project,
 20, 21, 129–30, 133, 159, 161
 focus groups organized on (MAC
 and SOMCAN), 141–42
 health impacts of (SFDPH letter),
 144–46
 and Planning Department
 response, 146–49
 and nondisplacement alternative,
 201
- “Truth spot,” 27, 210n.8
- UN Centre on Human Settlements
 (Habitat) (UNCHS), 11
- Uncertainty
 and healthy city planning, 127
 and precautionary principle, 86
- Unemployment. *See also* Employment
 and economic opportunities
 behavioral/educational explanation
 of, 54
 as BVHP concern, 105
- United States. health-impact
 assessment in, 136
- Universalism, vs. specifics of places
 and neighborhoods, 46, 48

- Urban development. *See also* Urban renewal
 - healthy, 20–21, 159–61
 - and San Francisco gentrification, 132–33
 - Trinity Plaza project as, 20–21, 129, 131–32 (*see also* Trinity Plaza redevelopment project)
- Urban Development Action Grant (197), 55
- Urban economy, assumptions about, 54–55
- Urban governance
 - “averted gaze” of, 203
 - city planning as, 4–5, 66–68
 - definition of (UN-HABITAT), 209n.2
 - and ENCHIA, 165
 - and health equity, 83
 - and Healthy Cities Project, 58
 - processes of, 15
- Urban Habitat, 194, 196, 216n.42
- Urban inequality, and structural racism, 17
- Urban place characteristics, 13–14
- Urban planners, and public health methods, 198. *See also* City planning; Healthy city planning; Planning; Politics of planning
- Urban policies, and ENCHIA, 180–83
- Urban politics, 11
 - and planning process, 65
 - power in, 17
 - and urban services, 30
- Urban poor. *See* Poverty, urban
- Urban renewal, 52, 53–54, 55
 - criticism of, 55
 - Jacobs on, 57
- Vaccination, for poor, 38–39
- Veneracion, April, 155, 160
- Vera Institute of Justice, 88
- Villermé, Louis René, 28, 29
- Violence
 - as BVHP concern, 108
 - and healthy urban governance, 73
- Wagner-Steagall Housing Act (1937), 52–53
- War on Poverty, 56
- Waste removal, 32–33
- Weathering hypothesis, 98
- Wells, Ida B., 41
- Western SoMa Citizens Planning Task Force, 191
- West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project (WOEIP), 91–92, 201, 203
- West Oakland Project Area Committee (WOPAC), 92
- WIC Farmers Market Nutrition Program (FMNP), 112
- WIC (Women Infants and Children) program, 112
- Wood, Edith Elmer, 52
- Workshops, on health-impact assessments (San Francisco), 135–36, 140–41
- World’s Columbian Exposition in Chicago (1893), 40, 41
- World Health Organization (WHO)
 - vs. biomedical view, 126
 - on community food security, 109
 - on drivers of health inequities, 4
 - on environmental health, 122
 - and health impact assessment, 135, 136, 166–67
 - and international healthy cities movement, 6–9
 - Office for Europe of, 58
 - on public health, 6
 - on social determinants of health, 63
 - The Solid Facts: The Social Determinants of Health*, 119
- Young Lords, 56–57
- Young people, concerns of (ENCHIA discussions), 180

Zaverukha, Lydia, 192
Zoning, 44–45
 Eastern Neighborhoods (San
 Francisco) rezoning, 163, 164–65,
 165
 and ENCHIA objectives, 175
 and Federal Housing
 Administration, 53
 and healthy urban governance, 72,
 73
 in People’s Plan, 134, 163
Zoning bonus, for Rincon Hill Area
 project, 156