A+ Plan for Education, 98, 108	Board of Education, Levittown Union Free
Abbott v. Burke, 19, 36, 68, 73	School District v. Nyquist, 61, 68
Abbott v. Burke II, 19	Brigham v. Vermont, 14, 69, 72, 283, 284,
Abbott v. Burke IV, 33	298, 306
Abbott v. Burke V, 19, 36	Building aid, 34
Abbott v. Burke VI, 19, 36	
Access equality, 9, 17, 66-67, 69	California, 22, 44, 60, 236, 244, 286–287
as compared to wealth neutrality, 9	Campaign for Fiscal Equity v. New York, 16
Accountability programs, 30, 91, 94, 107,	Capitalization, 42–43
274. See also School district account-	Capital spending, 33-34, 179, 297
ability	link to educational finance reform, 169
classification of, 6	Categorical aid programs, 19-20
fiscal, 107	Catholic schools, 32, 33
impact on student performance, 107, 270	Centralization of funding, 117, 121
incentives, 99	CFE v. New York, 29
levels based, 92	Charles A. Dana Center, 267
rewards and sanctions in, 6-7, 92-93,	Charter schools, 32, 241, 245
263	Claremont School District v. Gregg, 263
and state aid formulas, 92	Cleveland, 32
value-added-based, 92, 93-94, 97-100	Coefficient of variation, 174, 175, 260, 261,
ACT exam, 267, 270	298
Adequacy standard, 11, 17, 24, 68, 69, 91	Coleman Report, 153
definition, 68, 90	Common Core of Data, 292
as a lever for reform, 70	Commonwealth Accountability Testing
movement, 263	System, 201, 206
notions, 90	Compensatory programs, 19
Aggregation, 96	Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills, 201
three types, 96-97	Constitutionally adequate education
Alaska, 33	system, responsibility for, 72, 73
Annual Financial Reports, 211	Cost-of-living index, 103, 264, 270, 271
Arizona, 33	
Average daily attendance, 210, 257, 258,	Dallas, 31, 277
264	test score gaps in, 6
	Detroit, 233, 242
Baltimore, 35	Disparities, 4, 6, 34, 37, 49, 59, 60, 65, 88,
Block grant program, 126, 127-129, 131-	147–148, 149, 158, 187, 197, 225, 236,
133	244, 254, 259, 260, 263, 284

District consolidation, 21, 104, 161 local contribution, 11 District power-equalizing, 131, 220. See three waves in, 60-61 also Guaranteed tax base aid program Education finance system 3, 73, 149, 257 District size, 103 Education Law Center, 36 Durant v. State of Michigan, 227 Education Yes! Accreditation System, 242 Educational performance. See Student Edgewood Independent School District v. performance Kirby, 254 Equality, 9, 11, 88 Edgewood Independent School District v. of inputs, 88 Meno, 33, 69, 70-71, 74 of outcomes, 88 Edgewood II, 255 Equal opportunity, 69 Edgewood III, 255 Equal protection claims, 63–64 Edgewood IV, 256, 260 Equilibrium, 114–115, 121, 131–132 Edgewood V, 257 Equity objects, 65, 67 Educational adequacy, 9, 14 three categories in, 65 Educational cost index, 9, 10–11 Equity standard, 8, 11, 14, 65, 94 Educational costs, 13, 18-21, 40-41, 45, five questions in analyzing, 88-90 104, 105, 220 adjustments in, 20, 21, 101, 102, 106 Fair School Finance Council v. Oklahoma, 68 impact of poverty on, 19 Fiscal incentives, 99 impact of student characteristics on, 95, Fiscal neutrality, 14, 182, 255 97 Florida, 32, 98, 105, 108 amendment to education clauses in, 4 indexes, 163 per-pupil, 21 Fort Worth, test score gaps in, 6 variation in across districts, 20, 101 Foundation aid, 10-11, 17, 45, 46 Educational performance. See Student cost-adjusted, 41 formula, 10, 14, 16, 17 performance Education clauses of state constitutions, steps to design, 11 60, 61, 62, 63–64, 68, 69, 71, 72–74, 75, Foundation spending level, 17, 21 254 steps to prevail on, 61 Gainesville, 108 Education finance reform, 6, 21, 32 Gaming the system, 94, 104 Gaps. See Disparities equalization, 6 equity objective, 10 General equilibrium feedback effects of, 7, 44-45 effects, 44-46 financing of, 16 models, 114, 117, 118-120, 138-139 impact of court decisions on, 36 General obligation bonds, 157 Gifted students, aid given to, 21 impact of disparities in education spending, 24, 37, 67, 108, 229 Gini coefficient, 174, 175, 203, 260, 261, 298 impact of disparities in student Golden Apple Awards, 243 performance, 4, 6 Grand Rapids, 233 impact on private educational Guaranteed tax base aid program, 12-14, foundations, 42, 43, 47 17, 38, 48 impact on private school attendance, 43, formula for, 12 second-tier formula, 17, 18, 22, 23 impact on property values, 42, 123, 131– 134, 229 Hawaii, 34 impact on residential locations, 124 Highland Park Independent School impact on school district efficiency, 30, Districts, 277 High-poverty school districts, 4, 6 implementation of, 24, 25, 26, 41 Housing goods, 115–116 litigation, 4, 36 neighborhoods, 119

opportunity cost, 123 Office of District Support Services, Hybrid systems, 126 Division of School Finance, 211 Office of Assessment and Accountability, Illinois, 267 Division of Assessment Implementation, Income effect, 24 Indiana, 17 Kentucky Education Reform Act of 1990, Innovations in American Government 195, 204 Award, 196 Kentucky Instruction Results Information Instrumental variables, 207 System, 200 Intermediate school districts, 244 Lamoille Superior Court, 284 Kansas, 20, 21, 24, 27, 35, 76 Local-option budget, 156-157, 158, 160, cost-adjusted spending in, 174, 175, 186 165–169, 171, 175, 185 dropout rates in, 181 Los Angeles, test score gaps in, 6 Low-poverty school districts, 4 performance on national tests in, 179 population, 148 Low-wealth districts, 18 pupil weights in, 159-160, 161, 163, 174, 175, 186 Maryland, 35 reform in, 148–150, 151–152, 160, 170, Massachusetts Education Reform Act of 185 1993, 207 rules of law, 149 Matching grants, 13, 126, 127-129, 131-Supreme Court, 75 133, 157 two-tiered aid system, 160 McLoone index, 183-184 Kansas Association of School Boards, 158, Measuring education, 8 165 methods of, 8, 10, 13-15, 20 Kansas City, 174 performance based method of, 8 Kansas State Department of Education, Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund, 254 Michigan, 20, 21, 22, 24, 27, 28, 29-30, 32, Kennedy School and Ford Foundation, 41,64 The, 196 Kenny, Lawrence W., 289 basic aid formula, 226 Kentucky, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, 31, 35, 41, 61, capitalization, 229 categorical grants, 226-227, 233, 236 accountability index, 201 expenditures per pupil, 216 categorical programs in, 199 foundation system, 224-225, 229-230, constitution, 62 235 - 236curriculum reforms, 201 guaranteed tax base, 219 education finance reform in, 25, 199 mathematics test scores, 237-240 education resources in, 202 operational expenditures, 230 functional illiteracy in, 196 options for choice, 241, 242, 245 General Assembly, 62 per-pupil state equalized value, 219, 229 high school completion rates in, 196 property tax, 221-222, 228-229 school-based decision-making councils, Proposal A, 215-218, 222, 226, 228-229, 233, 236, 240-241, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247 Supreme Court, 3, 7, 16, 30, 62, 195 relative academic achievement in, 216 tax bases in, 197 School Aid Fund, 222, 225, 246 Kentucky Department of Education, 200, three-tiered accreditation system, 242 202, 207 Michigan Merit Award Scholarship Annual Financial Reports, 204 Program, 243 Mill levy, 150 Core Content for Assessment, 200 Division of Data Policy Management and Milwaukee, 65

average math scores in, 4

Research, 211

Minimum Foundation Program, 197, Power Equalization Program, 197, 207, 218 - 219Minimum property tax rate, 17 in Michigan, 219 Minority students, 288 Power equalizing aid formula. See Missouri, 17 Guaranteed tax base aid formula Mock v. Kansas, 149 Prediction error, 104 Modified foundation grant program, 223 Price of education, 12 Price effect, 24 Price elasticity, 13 National Assessment of Education Private education foundations, 43-44 Progress, 206 Private schools, 43 National Center for Education Statistics, attendance, 123, 133 cream skimming by, 134, 139 National Center for Education Studies, eligibility for admission, 119 163, 165 migration to, 137 National Education Longitudinal Survey Proficiency, 91 (1992 wave), 290 minimum levels of, 92 National Longitudinal Study of the High Property tax, 22, 24, 59, 64, 71, 112, 121, School Class of 1972, 290 123, 126, 131, 150, 158, 166, 179, 196-National Research Council's Committee 198, 215, 218–221, 223, 227–229, 253– on Education Finance, 11 257, 274, 276–279, 284–286, 305 National School Lunch Program, 155 disaffection with, 28, 252, New Hampshire, Supreme Court, 195 minimum rate requirement for, 17, 29, 47 New Jersey, 18, 33, 36, 73, 120-121, 126 transformation into a state tax, 23, 24-27, Supreme Court, 18, 31, 36 29 New Mexico, 33 Property values New Standards Reference Exam, 306 changes in, 42 New York City, 41, 66, 106, 161 impact of education finance reform on, 18, New York (state), 28, 40, 104, 267 42, 123, 131, 132, 134, 157, 229 school finance system, 61–62 Proposition 13, 236, 244, 287 student weights in, 163 Public school, 242 Supreme Court, 16 eligibility for admission, 119, 133 No Child Left Behind Act, 87, 109, 242, financing, 120, 123, 124 252, 262 inequities in, 114 local funding of, 114 Oakland, test score gaps in, 6 Public school academies, 241. See also Ohio, Supreme Court, 195 Charter schools Olsen v. Oregon, 68 Public service, 117-118 Omitted-variables bias, 240 Ordinary least squares, 208, 299 Quality performance accreditation, 156 Oregon, 68 five-year accreditation cycle, 156 Peer inputs, 117, 119 Rational-basis scrutiny, 63–64 Real world, aid formulas, 126 Performance adequacy, 183 Perinatal conditions, as predictors of Recapture of state aid to wealthy districts, disability status and academic 13, 22, 23, 285 problems, 105 Resource object, 67 Per-pupil spending, 37–38, 119, 121, 137, Robinson v. Cahill, 33, 36, 60–61, 73, 75 Robinson v. Cahill V, 36 Rose v. Council for Better Education, 16-17, Kansas state mandated maximum, 150 30, 31, 62, 68, 70, 90, 195, 199-200, 205, Poverty, impact on educational costs, 4, 19, 20, 163, 165, 174, 235, 253 206, 263

San Antonio Independent School District v. Special education finance, census-based Rodriguez, 60, 253 system of, 104 Sanctioned schools, 108-109 Standardized tests, 4, 98, 273, 274, 289 School aid reform. See Education finance gains in by minority students, 273 test score gaps, 4 School choice, 32, 92, 107, 245, 247 State aid to education, 7, 112-113, 120, link to education finance reform, 32, 133, 133, 255, 278 215, 218, 240-243 programs to account for district size, 103, School district accountability, 6, 108 106, 153, 161, 264 School District Data Book, 233 programs to account for gifted programs, School district efficiency, 40 impact of education finance reform on, programs to account for student 30, 40, 41, 45 characteristics, 104, 235-236, 266 School District Equalization Act, 148 programs to account for wage costs or School District Finance and Quality costs of living, 10, 18, 102-103, 235 Performance Act, 150, 158, 185 redistribution toward high-need districts, School district size, enrollment classes, 153 24, 27, 148 School district supplementation (or State educational aid, 19, 20 foundation amount), 11, 17, 22, 24 adjusting for educational costs, 20, 92 in high-need districts, 24, 157 financing of, 28–30 in low-need districts, 24 formulas, 7-8, 11, 92, 95, 104, 107, 110, prohibiting, 22 126, 132, 150, 197, 210, 252, 263, 297 reductions in, 21-22, 23, 25-28 State equalized variation, 220-221 School finance reform litigation. See also State income tax, vouchers funded by, 133, Education finance reform 134 four standards for analysis of, 66 State property tax, 12 School finance systems, 100 State selected tests, 6 School performance, 46, 87, 106 State taxes to fund education finance reform, 25-29, 46-47, 227, 246 measures of, 91–95 School spending, 13, 22, 26, 106, 112, 120, St. Louis, average reading scores in, 4 121, 131, 134, 137, 150, 174, 196, 197, Strict scrutiny, 63-64 202-203, 207, 209, 244, 276, 292, 298 Student background characteristics, 95 disparities in, 4, 6, 59, 65, 88 impact on educational costs, 10, 18, 30, impact of education finance reform on, 48, 104, 235–236, 266 24, 37, 67, 108, 229 subgroups, 97 School Tax Relief Program (STAR), 28 Student performance School vouchers, 32, 45, 113, 133–134, 137, disparities in, 4, 6 138 - 140as a measure of education, 19, 39, 107, Seattle School District No. 1 v. Washington, supplementary programs and, 19 SEEK Bulletins, 211 Students Segregation, 123 with limited English proficiency, 104, of households by income, 117, 118, 124-155, 266 receiving transportation services, 155 Serrano v. Priest (Serrano I), 3, 4, 9, 22, 28, special education classification of, 99, 60, 287 104, 155 state aid programs resulting from, 4-6, in vocational education, 155 Substantive object, 67 Serrano v. Priest (Serrano II), 4, 22 Support Education Excellence in state aid programs resulting from, 4-6 Kentucky, 198, 207 Shawnee County, Kansas, 149 base guarantee funding, 198 South Carolina, 95 two-tier optional program, 199

Taxpayer choices, 66 Vermont, 13, 14, 20, 21, 23, 24, 28, 35, 76, Teacher Cost Index, 102-103 283, 284 Teachers basic educational expenditures, 284-285 costs of attracting, 8 categorical aid programs, 297 quality, 102, 108 Equal Educational Opportunity Act (Act varying wages of, 8 60), 283, 285, 286, 287, 289, 292, 299, Temperature standard, 101 306-310 Test performance equalized pupils, 301-302 house prices and, 108 gold towns, 286, 291 inequality measures, 298-299, 310 school rankings based on, 96 Texas, 3, 6, 7, 13, 20, 21, 23, 27, 33, 35, 41, measures of expenditure, 297 60, 61, 76 power equalization scheme, 285 Available School Fund, 258 private school enrollment, 286 Chapter 41 recapture provision, 256, 259, property tax, 285, 286 277, 278 recapture, 285 constitution, 70, 276 school financing, 286 county education districts, 255 state aid, 284 education clause, 254 student performance, 306 Existing Debt Allotment, 259 student population, 293 foundation formula (tier 1), 255, 258, 273, Supreme Court, 14, 72, 299 variations in expenditures across Foundation School Program, 257, 261, districts, 292 271, 273 Vermont Comprehensive Assessment guaranteed tax base formula (tier 2), 255, System, 287 258, 259, 273-274, 279 Vermont Department of Education, 291 Vermont Indicators Online database, 292 Instructional Facilities Allotment, 259 Local Fund Assignment, 258 Vermont School Report, 291, 296 per-pupil cost, 271 Virginia, 98 property taxes, 276, 277, 278 Voucher programs, 33, 45 public school system, 251 school district costs, 264 Washington (state), 73, 74 Wealth neutrality, 9, 13-14, 16, 18, 47, 66, Senate Bill 1, 255 Senate Bill 7, 71, 255, 256, 257, 260, 261 69, 77-78, 261-262 Senate Bill 351, 255 as compared to access equality, 9 Supreme Court, 33, 254, 256, 277 Weighted full-time enrollment, 150, 151 Texas Assessment of Academic Skills, 256, Weighted pupils, 10, 13, 147, 150, 151, 157, 267, 270, 271, 274, 275 158, 161–163, 165, 174–175, 179, 186, Texas Assessment of Knowledge and 258 - 159West Orange-Cove Consolidated Independent Skills, 274 Texas Education Agency, 260, 275 School District v. Nelson, 276 Theil index, 203, 204 Whiting School District, 284 Title I, 106, 109-110 Whole school reform, 19, 31 Wisconsin, 17, 267 Unintended consequences, 42

U.S. Census, 19, 105

Finance, 203 U.S. Constitution

Census of Governments: School System

Fourteenth Amendment, 253 U.S. Department of Agriculture, 155 U.S. Supreme Court, 60, 253 Zelman v. Simmons-Harris, 32