Age substitution, 117
Anderson, K. H., 19
Assous, L., 55
Atkinson, A. B., 67–68, 77–79
Auditing, health status, 82, 84, 88–94, 97–106, 120
Bazzoli, G. J., 19
Belgium, 36
disability pensions, 8
increasing retirement age, 61–62
old-age pensions, 7
preferred retirement age, 54–55
seniority-based pay, 108
unemployment compensation, 104
Beveridgean system, 40–42
Bismarckian system, 40–41, 43
Blundell, R., 34
Boeri, T., 54
Boldrin, M., 116
Börsch-Supan, A., 54
Boskin, M. J., 19
Bound, J., 20, 83, 106
Britain. See United Kingdom
Burkhauser, R. V., 19–20
Canada, 36
Cohn, E., 111–112
Coile, C., 29, 33
Compensated demand derivatives, 76
Contributory pension system, 37, 40–41
Crawford, V. P., 38
Cremer, H., 50, 83, 90, 99
Crepon, B., 113–114
Deferral compensation, 109
Defined-benefit (DB) plan, 44, 51, 121–122
Defined-contribution (DC) plan, 44, 121
Demand side, 107–118, 120–121
Lazear model, 109–111
seniority-based pay, 108–109
wage-productivity gap, 107, 111–115
youth unemployment, 115–118
Deniau, N., 113–114
Denmark, 36
De Vits, E., 55
Disability insurance, 4, 8, 38
health status, 20–22
retirement age, 12–15
and retirement decision, 81–82
and social security wealth, 34
Disability insurance model, 81–106, 120
auditing, 82, 84, 88–94, 97–106
numerical illustrations, 93–94, 101–102
optimum/laissez-faire solutions, 86–87, 93–95, 103
Pareto function, 86, 103
paternalistic approach, 94–102
policy, 84–85
preferences and individuals, 83–84, 91–94, 100–103
retirement age, 91, 94, 103
second-best solutions, 87–93, 95–101, 103
social insurance, 85
social weights, 85–86
Disney, R., 47
Dohmen, T., 115
Dolado, J. J., 116
Earnings. See Wage income
Earnings tests, 47–50, 122
Debt policy, 67–68, 76–77, 79
Decentralization, 73–74, 94–95
Effective retirement age, 12–15
Employment. See Unemployment
Employment rate, 5–6
England. See United Kingdom

Fabel, D., 38
Feldstein, M., 38
Fenge, R., 25
Ferrera, M., 54
Flabbi, L., 114
Flexible retirement, 122
France, 36
attitudes to retirement age, 54–55
longevity, 1
maximal social security wealth, 30
residual lifetime, 16
wage-productivity gap, 113–114
Friedberg, L., 48
Fully funded (FF) system, 37, 40–42, 45–47
Germany, 12–15, 36
attitudes to raising retirement age, 54
disability pensions, 8, 12
duration of pensions, 16–17
health status, 20–21
implicit taxation, 24–27
labor-force status, 8–10
maximal social security wealth, 30
old-age pensions, 7
pensioners, 10–12
preretirement scheme, 10
Greece, 7
Gruber, J., 26–31, 33, 35, 43

Hamermesh, D. S., 117
Health, 1–2, 17–22. See also Disability insurance
and social security wealth, 34
testing, 82, 84, 88–94, 97–105
Hebbink, G. E., 117
Hellerstein, J. K., 113
Herbertsson, T., 29
Hoegeland, T., 113
Holland. See Netherlands
Hu, S. C., 67–68, 79
Hurd, M. D., 19

Ichino, A., 114
Implicit taxation, 2–3, 23–36
defined, 23, 26
option-value approach, 32–35
peak-value approach, 29–31, 34
and retirement decisions, 33–36
in retirement model, 42–44
single-year accruals, 23–29
Implicit tax on postponed activity, 40
Incentive constraints, 88–92, 99
Income. See Wage income
Induced-retirement approach, 38
Intertemporal equilibrium, 71–72
Italy
disability pensions, 8
maximal social security wealth, 30
old-age pensions, 7–8
Jacobs, L., 54
Japan, 36
Jimeno, J. F., 116
Job-performance ratings, 114–115
Kerkhofs, M., 20
Kiker, B. F., 111–112
Klette, T. J., 113
Köszegi, B., 38, 122
Labor supply, 2–3, 45
Labor-demand forces, 3, 107. See also Demand side
Labor-force status, 5–7
participation rate, 79, 119
unused capacity, 28–29
Laibson, D., 38
Laissez-faire solution, 87, 95
Lazear, E. P., 38, 108, 110–112
Lazear model, 109–111
Leisure benefit, 32–34
Leisure-prone workers, 84, 104
Levine, P., 117
Life expectancy, 15–17, 51, 119, 122
Lilien, D. M., 38
Lindeboom, M., 20
Longevity, 1, 15–17, 119, 122
Lozachmeur, J.-M., 49–50, 83, 90, 99
Manual labor, 8
Marginal productivity, 109–115
Maximal social security wealth, 30–31
Means tests, 47–50
Meghir, C., 34
Michel, P., 72–73
Mirrlees, J., 38, 105
Mitchell, O., 34, 117
Moore, R. L., 112
Mortality, 19
Mulligan, C., 65
Myers, R. J., 19

Net social security wealth, 40
Netherlands, 36
attitudes to raising retirement age, 54
disability pensions, 8
maximal social security wealth, 30–31
seniority-based pay, 108
wage-productivity gap, 115
Neumark, D., 113
Neutral social security benefits, 122

Old-age pensions, 7–8, 10–12
Oliviera, M. de, 111–112
Optimal-tax approach, 2
Option-value approach, 32–35
Orszag, J. M., 29
Overlapping-generations model, 67–79
debt policy, 67–68, 76–77, 79
decentralization, 73–74
government, 71
households, 69–70
intertemporal equilibrium, 71–72
optimal growth, 72–73
production, 70–71
retirement age, 73–74, 78
second-best policy, 74–76
steady-state conditions, 74
tax structure, 68, 77–79

Pareto function, 86, 103
Parsons, D., 19, 82–83, 106
PAYG system, 37, 40–47, 60, 67, 71
Peak-value approach, 29–31, 34
Pension systems. See also Disability insurance
contributory/redistributive, 37, 40–41, 48
DB/DC, 44, 51
FF, 37, 40–42, 45–47
old-age, 7–8, 10–12
PAYG, 37, 40–47, 60, 67, 71
portability, 121–122
reform, 54, 120–121
retirement age, 12–15
types of, 40–42
and unemployment, 8–10, 115–118
Peppermans, G., 54
Perez-Duarte, S., 113–114
Performance ratings, 114–115
Perrachi, F., 116
Pestieau, P., 50, 72–73, 83, 90, 99
Phillips, J., 34
Pigouian subsidy, 95
Policy recommendations, 119–121
Portability, 121–122
Portugal, 8
Preretirement compensation, 10
Pressure groups, 65
Productivity profiles, 109–115
Quinn, J. F., 19

Redistributive pension system, 37, 40–41, 48
Reform, social
attitudes toward raising retirement age, 54–55
enforcing, 64–65
resistance to, 62–64
retirement model, 45–47
and wage income, 60–62
Reservation wage, 109
Retirement age, 12–15
attitudes to raising, 54–55
determination of, 65–66
disability insurance model, 91, 94, 103
overlapping-generations model, 73–74, 78
political selection of, 56–60
postponing, 60–62
and tax force, 26–29
Retirement model, 37–51
distortions, 51
earnings tests, 47–50
and empirical model, 60–62
implicit tax, 42–44
individual utility, 62–64
labor supply, 45
optimal tax rates, 44–45, 58–60
pension systems, 40–42
retirement age selection, 56–58
social security reforms, 45–47
terminology, 38–39
Retirement rates, 35–36
Salai-Martin, X., 65
Samuelson, P. A., 67
Sandmo, A., 67–68, 77–79
Schoenbaum, M., 20
Schokkaert, E., 54
Second-best solution, 74–76, 87–93, 95–101
Second careers, 122
Seniority-based pay, 108–109
Shapiro, R., 54
Sheshinski, E., 106
Single-year accrual, 23–29
Smith, S., 34, 47
Social security wealth
accrual rates, 24–25
defined, 23
maximal, 30–31
net, 40
and PAYG system, 41–42
peak value, 29–31
tax force, 26–29
and wage income, 26, 32–33, 42
Spain, 8
longevity, 15
maximal social security wealth, 31
Stiglitz, J. E., 78
Stinebrickner, T. R., 20
Stock, J. H., 32
Sweden, 36
maximal social security wealth, 30
seniority-based pay, 108

Tabellini, G., 54
Tax, implicit. See Implicit taxation
Tax, optimal, 44–45
Tax distortion, 40
Tax force, 26–29
Theeuwes, J., 20
Time inconsistency, 122
Troska, K. R., 113

Unemployment, 81, 104–105
monitoring, 120
and preretirement compensation, 10
and public pensions, 8–10, 115–118
and severance pay, 111
youth, 55, 107, 115–118
United Kingdom, 36
disability insurance, 35
social security wealth, 30, 34–35
United States, 36
attitudes toward retirement age hike, 54
disability insurance, 82–83
maximal social security wealth, 31
retirement rate, 35
seniority-based pay, 108
wage-productivity gap, 113
Unprepared retirement, 38
Utility function, 32–33

Verhue, M., 54

Wage income
impact of reforms on, 61–62
and productivity, 107, 109–115
seniority-based, 108–109
and social security wealth, 26, 32–33, 42
Waidmann, T., 20
Werding, M., 25
Wise, D. A., 26–28, 30–32, 35, 43
Women
age substitution, 117
duration of pensions, 17
longevity, 15
old-age pensions, 7
retirement age, 13–15
Work-force participation rate, 79, 119

Youth employment, 55, 107, 115–118