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Many scholars and activists have tackled the question of how to make democracy work. Some have also asked deeper questions about what it is for—that is, as a recipe for collective life, since “what works” is very much a matter of perception. It depends on what one believes worth doing. And in the first decade of the twenty-first century, in high-conflict regions and elsewhere, we are once again engaged in tough debates about the social conditions under which democracy can best develop where it is, by any definition, a new and largely untested idea. But at the same time, democracy looks awfully stunted and stymied where it has reigned longest and to greatest fanfare, such as in my country, the United States, where my parents were among the millions of immigrants who believed in the democratic ideal, not just the ideal of equal opportunity, and who worked hard and benefited.

This book is an effort to extend ideas about democracy at work, in all its ambiguity, untidiness, and contradiction, and through those ideas to improve the practice of democracy. I focus on the concept of civic capacity, not merely a capacity to set directions collectively but also to devise and implement the means of acting together more effectively, with and beyond government. This blurring of the traditional divide between direction setting (policy making) and outcomes (implementation) is at the heart of the story, and the target problems it encompasses are universals, including: how to manage urban growth as populations expand and concerns about environmental sustainability grow, how to restructure the material basis of our lives (the job economy) where old industries are no longer competitive, and how to invest in young people—through social protections and human development, broadly defined—so as to make every other goal possible.

Across the world, this idea of democracy—as an imperfect recipe for problem solving that engages the public, private, and not-for-profit or
“social” sector in collective action—is desperately in need of careful and creative attention. It is my contention that more of that attention should go to the tangible choices faced and strategies chosen by people and institutions as they problem-solve over time—that is, to the civic process that no longer stops at shaping official policy through advocacy but blends community agenda setting, strategy making, and productive action in a host of innovative ways, stretching institutions and the very idea of democracy in the process. The cases in this book are just that: not short-run episodes of conflict or resolution, of straightforward “participation” or “partnership” reforms, but sagas of multiple chapters and decision points, played out in specific local contexts on four continents: Africa, Asia, South America, and North America. While I am fascinated by changing governance in Europe, particularly as regional institutions evolve there, limited time and other resources led me to focus on less “state-centered” places—that is, where government does not consistently dominate entrepreneurial public action.

My direct interest in these themes began when I worked as a community planner, puzzling over how citizen participation at the street level scaled up, or did not, in the form of public policy and also major private commitments, such as corporate investments in distressed neighborhoods. That interest evolved when I began to design and lead training programs at Harvard University for senior practitioners struggling to make a difference while dealing with the contradictions and tensions in partnerships, participatory planning processes, and other popular ideas—ideas too often resting on weak practices and a resistance to scrutiny. But the experience that compelled me to research and write this book was working in America’s federal government and visiting a terrific variety of big cities and small towns facing common problems and holding a remarkably common assumption about the key to progress, roughly: “Give us the resources, without the red tape (restrictions), and we will get the job done.” Federal budget scarcity aside, this seemed to me a reasonable idea in places with a high capacity for creative collective action—that is, for not just pulling in one direction but managing to discover a promising direction and pursue it effectively. But the claim seemed much less reasonable in places with a long history of in-fighting and civic dysfunction, some of it exacerbated by the very resources “mailed in,” from higher levels of government, to help.

Given that genesis over a decade of work inside and outside of the academy, I owe many debts in the production of this book and the more practice-oriented work products that evolved as part of the same project.
First and most importantly, I was welcomed into six far-flung places to poke, prod, and learn from those who are trying to make a difference. For opening doors and ideas, I am particularly grateful to: Sheela Patel and Jockin Arputham (Mumbai, India); Edgar Pieterse, Alan Mabin, and Joel Bolnick (Cape Town, South Africa); Jeroen Klink and Carlos Vainer (Greater ABC Region, Brazil); Margaret Brodkin (San Francisco); Robert Grow and Steve Holbrook (Salt Lake City); and Sabina Deitrick and Robert Stumpp (Pittsburgh). For key insights and introductions, I am also indebted to Sharit Bowmik and Sudha Mohan (India), Sue Parnell (South Africa), and Ned Hill, Hal Wolman, Richard De Leon, and John Parr (United States).

Next, many academic colleagues have been unstinting in their support. At Harvard University, Archon Fung, Christine Letts, Jenny Mansbridge, Mark Moore, Bob Putnam, and Christopher Winship read and commented generously on early iterations of this work. Celeste Benson, K. K. McGregor, Luiza Nanu, and Camiliakumari of Wankaner kept me organized and productive while I taught at Harvard, and a small army of research assistants, most of them students in public policy and management but also law students and undergraduates, tackled topics as diverse as the productive functions of conflict, impacts of devolution and “glocalization,” trust and trust repair, game theory, urban political change, alliances and production networks, culture and group process, human rights as aspiration and as social policy, and participatory decision making. I am indebted to Iris Ahronowitz, Celeste Benson, Karen Bhatia, Nick Brown, Faisal Chaudhry, Jill Feldman, Evan Hochberg, Girija Kaimal, Silvia Kangara, Suzy Lee, Karen Mack, David Mihalyfy, Nidhi Mihrani, Dan Nicolai, Allan Oliver, Tim Reith, Shyamala Shukla, Jonathan Taylor, Ana Trbovich, and Derek Yi. In addition, hundreds of students in my Harvard course on negotiation and collaborative problem solving stimulated many new ideas. I thank them all.

MIT’s Martin Luther King, Jr. Fellowship, which brought me to the Department of Urban Studies and Planning as a visitor in 2002–2003, provided financial support, wonderful students, and supportive colleagues who offered advice on the project. The latter include Lang Keyes, Balakrishnan Rajagopal, Martin Rein, Bish Sanyal, Larry Susskind, Judith Tendler, Phil Thompson, and Larry Vale, some of whom also commented on the book manuscript. They later helped me to make MIT a wonderful new home for my work, where Daniela Trammell kept administrative wheels turning in countless helpful ways. Jainey Bavishi and Dulari Tahbildar provided superb research support, producing maps
and analyzing media coverage of ideas and events in this book. Students in my MIT courses on the politics of planning and collaborative problem solving encouraged me to push key arguments further without putting them out of reach to nonresearchers.

Other universities provided invaluable opportunities to get feedback on the work in early form, and for this I am especially grateful to Nicole Marwell (Columbia University), Lorena Barberia (Fundação Getulio Vargas in São Paulo), and Laura Evans (University of Washington).

The funders of this project were also partners in a host of ways, at multiple stages. Harvard’s Hauser Center for Nonprofit Organizations provided seed funding at the early stages of concept development, as well as a stimulating and collegial work environment. I am especially indebted to Mark Moore and Shawn Bohen there, along with Tiziana Dearing, David Brown, Peter Dobkin Hall, Marshall Ganz, Sanjeev Khagram, Christine Letts, and Peter Frumkin. The Kennedy School of Government’s Executive Education Program likewise provided challenging audiences of senior practitioners with whom I could develop and test key ideas in the classroom—in particular, the United Way of America/Casey Fellows and the NeighborWorks leaders in American community development—and a means of generating flexible funding for travel and other project needs. My colleague and friend Marty Linsky taught me through his unique training work with leaders, as well as his keen insights on the draft of the book.

Julia Lopez and Darren Walker at the Rockefeller Foundation, and Ralph Smith and Miriam Shark at the Annie E. Casey Foundation, also provided early financial support and endless encouragement, particularly as this study gave birth to a major online resource for practitioners and educators, now called The Community Problem-Solving Project @ MIT. Lopez also read and commented on the manuscript at a crucial stage. Miguel Garcia at The Ford Foundation supported vital fieldwork and offered critical questions about whether better civics actually leads to social innovations that matter.

To all these funder-partners: Your work, your personal examples, and your confidence in me have been tremendous gifts. Thank you.

Other colleagues and sources who commented generously and helpfully on the manuscript, some on very short notice, include Greg Behr, Joel Bolnick, Dexter Boniface, Tom Croft, Sabina Deitrick, Richard DeLeon, Mike Eichler, Kevin Fayles, Michael Funk, Christina Gabriel, Pat Getty, Robert Grow, Marie Huchzermeier, Jeroen Klink, NTanya Lee, Nicole Marwell, Alan Matheson, Harold Miller, Mark Moore, Steve
Page, Robert Putnam, Harold Richman, Rick Stafford, Bob Stumpp, and Beth Weitzman.

Several of my teachers inspired an interest in these topics and whatever skills I have developed for analyzing them, including Pat Collins, Bob Crain, Daniel Iacofano, Anita Miller, Jonathan Reider, Malcolm Sparrow, and Jim Sebenius.

Finally, my wife, Cynthia, made more sacrifices to see this project through than anyone. She patiently proofread and reread drafts, too. For her love and support, I am grateful always.